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This is the combined version of this practical handbook. It contains information relevant to Biology, Chemistry and Physics. It will be useful for schools and colleges where more than one science is being taught. The examples given are from all three disciplines.

The emphasis on certain procedures or "rules" will vary from subject to subject. For example, Physics does not place as much emphasis on statistical tests as Biology does. For the extent to which specific sections relate to each subject, please see the individual practical handbooks.

The sections on tabulating data, significant figures, uncertainties, graphing, biological drawings, statistical tests in Biology, and subject specific vocabulary are particularly useful for students and could be printed as a student booklet by schools.

This combined document does not contain the practical ladders and exemplar experiments for each subject. For these, please see the separate handbooks for each subject.

The information in this document is correct, to the best of our knowledge as of October 2017.

---

**Key**

There have been a number of changes to how practical work will be assessed in the new A-levels. Some of these have been AQA-specific, but many are by common agreement between all the exam boards and Ofqual.

The symbol 😄 signifies that all boards have agreed to this.

The symbol 🟥 is used where the information relates to AQA only.

Some sections in this combined document relate equally to Biology, Chemistry and Physics. Where examples are given, they have been chosen to relate to all three subjects. The individual documents give similar examples that relate only to each specific science. Where a section relates mainly to one subject, the following symbols have been used:

- 🍏 Biology
- 🍺 Chemistry
- 📚 Physics
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Introduction

Practical work brings science to life, helping students make sense of the universe around them. That’s why we’ve put practical work at the heart of our Biology, Chemistry and Physics A-levels. Practical science allows scientific theory to transform into deep knowledge and understanding – scientific thinking. Through investigation, students uncover the important links between their personal observations and scientific ideas.

“In the best schools visited, teachers ensured that pupils understood the ‘big ideas’ of science. They made sure that pupils mastered the investigative and practical skills that underpin the development of scientific knowledge and could discover for themselves the relevance and usefulness of those ideas.”

Ofsted report
Maintaining Curiosity. A survey into science education in schools.
November 2013, No. 130135

The purpose of this practical handbook

This handbook has been developed to support you in advancing your students to fluency in science.

Over the years, there have been many rules developed for practical work in Biology, Chemistry and Physics. Some have been prescriptive; some have been intended as guidance. Although we have always attempted to be consistent within subjects, differences have emerged over time. For example, students taking Physics may also be taking Biology and find themselves confronted with contradictory rules and guidance.

This practical handbook is an attempt to harmonise the rules and guidance for Biology, Chemistry and Physics. There are occasions where these will necessarily be different, but we will try to explain why on the occasions where that happens.

The A-level specifications accredited for first teaching in September 2015 bring with them a complete change in the way practical work is assessed.

We have worked with teachers, technicians and examiners to produce this handbook. Unless specified, all guidance is common to Biology, Chemistry and Physics at both AS and A-level and subject-specific examples are for illustration only. However, the extent to which a particular aspect is assessed will differ. Teachers should refer to the specifications and specimen materials on aqa.org.uk/science for more information.
The purpose of practical work

There are three interconnected, but separate, reasons for doing practical work in schools and colleges. They are:

1. To support and consolidate **scientific concepts** (knowledge and understanding).

   This is done by applying and developing what is known and understood of abstract ideas and models. Through practical work we are able to make sense of new information and observations, and provide insights into the development of scientific thinking.

2. To develop **investigative skills**. These transferable skills include:
   - devising and investigating testable questions
   - identifying and controlling variables
   - analysing, interpreting and evaluating data.

3. To build and master **practical skills** such as:
   - using specialist equipment to take measurements
   - handling and manipulating equipment with confidence and fluency
   - recognising hazards and planning how to minimise risk.

By focusing on the reasons for carrying out a particular practical, teachers will help their students understand the subject better, to develop the skills of a scientist and to master the manipulative skills required for further study or jobs in STEM subjects.

The reformed A-levels in Biology, Chemistry and Physics separate the ways in which practical work is assessed. This is discussed in the next section.
Fluency in science practical work

At the beginning of a Year 12 course, students will need support and guidance to build their confidence. This could involve, for example, breaking down practicals into discrete sections or being more explicit in instructions. Alternatively, a demonstration of a key technique followed by students copying may support their development. This could be a better starting point than ‘setting students loose’ to do it for themselves.

Progression in the mastery of practical skills and techniques shows increasing independence and confidence.

Safety is always the responsibility of the teacher. No student should be expected to assess risks and then carry out their science practical without the support and guidance of their teacher.
“Practical work and experimentation is at the heart of science. It matters to science students, their teachers and their future universities and employers. But A-level students do not always have the chance to do enough of it.

Practical work counts for up to 30 per cent of the final grades and the vast majority of students get excellent marks for it, but still many enter university without good practical skills.

It is possible to do well in science A-levels without doing sufficient or stretching hands-on science, and other pressures on schools can make it difficult for science teachers to carve out enough time and resource to do it if students can get good A-level grades in any event. That is not right – so why is it so?

Students are assessed and marked on their performance in set tasks, but these are generally experiments that are relatively easy to administer and not particularly stretching. It has proved extremely difficult to get sufficient variety and challenge in these experiments, and so students do well even if they have not had the opportunity to do enough varied and stretching experimentation, and learn and demonstrate a variety of lab skills. What to do?

In future, science A-level exams will test students’ understanding of experimentation more so than now. Those who have not had the chance to design, conduct and evaluate the results from a good range of experiments will struggle to get top grades in those exams. They will also be required to carry out a minimum of 12 practical activities across the two year course – practical activities specific to their particular science, and that are particularly valued in higher education. Students will receive a separate grade for their practical skills (a pass/fail grade).

These reforms should place experimentation and practical skills at the heart of science teaching, where they should be. Students going to university to study a science are more likely to go well prepared. The reforms will also change the game for science teachers, enabling them to teach science in a more integrated and stimulating way and with more hands on science. Teachers will be able to say with justification that, without sufficient time and effort put into lab work, their students will struggle to get the grades they deserve.”

Glenys Stacey, Chief Regulator

The Ofqual blog: Practical Science.

This contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government License v.3.0.
The reformed AS and A-level specifications will have no direct assessment of practical work that contributes to the AS or A-level grades.

There are two elements to the practical work that students must carry out in their study of A-level Biology, Chemistry and Physics:

**Apparatus and techniques**

These have been agreed by all Awarding Organisations (AOs), so all students will have experienced similar practical work after following a science A-level course.

Examples:
- use of a light microscope at high power and low power, including use of a graticule
- purify a solid product by recrystallization
- use a laser or light source to investigate characteristics of light.

**12 required practical activities**

These have been specified by AQA. They cover the apparatus and techniques for each subject – so teachers do not have to worry about whether they are all covered.

Examples:
- use of aseptic techniques to investigate the effect of antimicrobial substances on microbial growth
- carry out simple test-tube reactions to identify cations and anions in aqueous solution
- determination of g by a free-fall method.

These will be assessed in two ways:

1. Questions in the written papers, assessed by AQA
2. The practical endorsement, directly assessed by teachers.

Teachers will assess student competence at carrying out practical work. They will assess each student on at least 12 different occasions. This could be whilst teaching the 12 required practicals, or could be during other practical work of sufficient challenge.

At the end of the course, teachers will decide whether or not to award a pass in the endorsement of practical skills. The teacher must be confident that the student has shown a level of mastery of practical work good enough for the student to go on to study science subjects at university.

5 competencies
1. Follows written instructions
2. Applies investigative approaches and methods when using instruments and equipment
3. Safely uses a range of practical equipment and materials
4. Makes and records observations
5. Researches, references and reports

Endorsement of practical skills
Students who miss a required practical activity

Written exam papers

The required practical activities are part of the specification. As such, exam papers could contain questions about the activities and assume that students understand those activities. A student who misses a particular practical activity may be at a disadvantage when answering questions in the exams.

It will often be difficult to set up a practical a second time for students to catch up, although if at all possible an attempt should be made. Teachers will need to decide on a case by case basis whether they feel it is important for the student to carry out that particular practical. This is no different from when teachers make decisions about whether to re-teach a particular topic if a student is away from class when it is first taught.

Endorsement

To fulfil the requirements of the endorsement, every student must carry out a minimum of 12 practicals. A student who misses one of the required practicals must carry out another practical to be able to gain the endorsement.

In most cases, this can be any experiment of A-level standard. However, students must have experienced use of each of the apparatus and techniques. In some cases, a particular apparatus and technique is only covered in one required practical activity. If a student misses that activity, the teacher will need to provide an opportunity for the student to carry out a practical that includes that activity. The list below shows the apparatus and techniques that are covered by one activity only, as well as alternatives to the required practical.

There is a possibility that the student could be asked questions about the required activity in written papers that would not be fully understood by carrying out the alternative. This should be considered when deciding whether to repeat the required activity.
### Biology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If a student misses this required practical activity...</th>
<th>...they won’t have covered this apparatus and technique.</th>
<th>Other practicals within an A-level Biology course involving this skill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Preparation of stained squashes of cells from plant root tips; set-up and use of an optical microscope to identify the stages of mitosis in these stained squashes and calculation of a mitotic index.</td>
<td>d. use of light microscope at high power and low power, including use of a graticule.</td>
<td>Examination of permanent mounts of any tissue, related to specification content, in which students use both high- and low-power objective lenses of an optical microscope and use a stage micrometer and eyepiece graticule to measure the actual size of cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Use of chromatography to investigate the pigments isolated from leaves of different plants, eg leaves from shade-tolerant and shade-intolerant plants or leaves of different colours.</td>
<td>g. separate biological compounds using thin layer/paper chromatography or electrophoresis.</td>
<td>Separation of any aqueous mixture related to specification content, eg, sugars or amino acids, by paper or thin layer chromatography. Extraction of 'chlorophyll' from a plant or alga and separation of its constituent pigments by paper or thin layer chromatography. Separation of DNA fragments by electrophoresis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Investigation into the effect of a named environmental factor on the distribution of a given species.</td>
<td>k. use sampling techniques in fieldwork.</td>
<td>Use of random quadrats to investigate the pattern of distribution of a named sessile organism. Examples include daisies in a school playing field, epiphytes on the bark of a tree and limpets on a rocky shore. Use of the mark-release-recapture technique to estimate the size of a population of woodlice in a garden or school playground/sports field.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Chemistry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If a student misses this required practical activity...</th>
<th>...they won’t have covered this apparatus and technique.</th>
<th>Other practicals within an A-level Chemistry course involving this skill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Make up a volumetric solution and carry out a simple acid-base titration. | e. use volumetric flask, including accurate technique for making up a standard solution.  
   f. use acid-base indicators in titrations of weak/strong acids with weak/strong alkalis. | Make up a standard solution for any other volumetric exercise.  
   There are many practical opportunities throughout the course to use acid–base indicators in titrations weak/strong acids with weak/strong alkalis. |
| 7. Measure the rate of reaction:  
   • by an initial rate method  
   • by a continuous monitoring method. | i. measure rates of reaction by at least two different methods, for example:  
   • an initial rate method such as a clock reaction  
   • a continuous monitoring method. | eg iodine clock or thiosulfate/acid  
   eg gas syringe, collection of gas over water, decrease in mass on top pan balance and colorimetrically. |
| 8. Measuring the EMF of an electrochemical cell. | j. set up electrochemical cells and measuring voltages. | (No obvious alternative) |
| 9. Investigate how pH changes when a weak acid reacts with a strong base and when a strong acid reacts with a weak base. | c. measure pH using pH charts, or pH meter, or pH probe on a data logger. | One of these methods for measuring pH is required. (No obvious alternative). |
| 10. Preparation of:  
   • a pure organic solid and test of its purity  
   • a pure organic liquid. | g. purify:  
   • a solid product by recrystallisation  
   • a liquid product, including use of separating funnel.  
   h. use melting point apparatus. | Preparation of a different solid and liquid from those that the rest of the class prepared. |
<p>| 12. Separation of species by thin-layer chromatography. | i. use thin-layer or paper chromatography. | Use thin-layer chromatography for separation of a different species. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If a student misses this required practical activity...</th>
<th>...they won’t have covered this apparatus and technique.</th>
<th>Other practicals within an A-level Physics course involving this skill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Investigation of interference effects to include the Young’s slit experiment and interference by a diffraction grating.</td>
<td>j. use laser or light source to investigate characteristics of light, including interference and diffraction.</td>
<td>Use diffraction (interference) grating mounted at end of rulers arranged in T-shape to view and take measurements of pattern produced when viewing monochromatic light source or TAP Teaching Advanced Physics - Episode 322: Diffraction gratings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Determination of $g$ by free-fall method.</td>
<td>d. use stopwatch or light gates for timing.</td>
<td>Using dynamics trolley, ramp and light gates to verify the suvat equations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Investigation of the inverse-square law for gamma radiation.</td>
<td>l. use ionising radiation, including detectors.</td>
<td>Absorption of alpha, beta and/or gamma radiation by different thicknesses of material. (Note: activity 12 was chosen to illustrate an example of an inverse square law relationship. Students would need to have experience of this as well, perhaps in a computer simulation or in an experiment involving an LDR and lamp).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Practical skills assessment in question papers

The AS and A-level papers will contain the following types of questions which relate to practical work:

1. Questions set in a practical context, where the question centres on the science, not the practical work.

Example (A-level Biology Specimen Paper 1)

These questions are set in the context of practical work that has been carried out.

However, the questions relate more to the basic Biology behind the situation, or mathematical skills.

---

Scientists measured translocation in the phloem of trees. They used carbon dioxide labelled with radioactive $^{14}$C.

They put a large, clear plastic bag over the leaves and branches of each tree and added $^{14}$CO$_2$. The main trunk of the tree was not in the plastic bag.

At regular intervals after adding the $^{14}$CO$_2$ to the bag, the scientists measured the amount of $^{14}$CO$_2$ released from the top and bottom of the main trunk of the tree. On the surface of the trunk of these trees, there are pores for gas exchange.

Figure 7 shows the scientists' results.

These questions are set in the context of practical work that has been carried out.

However, the questions relate more to the basic Biology behind the situation, or mathematical skills.

---

![Graph showing mean amount of $^{14}$C in CO$_2$ released from trunk of tree/ arbitrary units over time after $^{14}$C labelled CO$_2$ given / hours]

**09 2** Name the process that produced the $^{14}$CO$_2$ released from the trunk.

**09 3** How long did it take the $^{14}$C label to get from the top of the trunk to the bottom of the trunk? Explain how you reached your answer.

**09 4** What other information is required in order to calculate the mean rate of movement of the $^{14}$C down the trunk?
Example (AS Chemistry Specimen Paper 1)

4. Colourless solutions of X(aq) and Y(aq) react to form an orange solution of Z(aq) according to the following equation.

\[ X(aq) + 2Y(aq) \rightarrow Z(aq) \quad \Delta H = -20 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \]

A student added a solution containing 0.50 mol of X(aq) to a solution containing 0.50 mol of Y(aq) and shook the mixture. After 30 seconds, there was no further change in colour. The amount of Z(aq) at equilibrium was 0.20 mol.

Deduce the amounts of X(aq) and Y(aq) at equilibrium.

[2 marks]

Amount of X(aq) = \underline{________} \text{ mol} \quad \text{Amount of Y(aq) = } \underline{________} \text{ mol}

Example (A-level Physics Specimen Paper 3)

0.2. 6. The experiment is performed with a capacitor of nominal value 680 \( \mu \text{F} \) and a manufacturing tolerance of \( \pm 5 \% \). In this experiment the charging current is maintained at 66 \( \mu \text{A} \). The data from the experiment produces a straight-line graph for the variation of \( \text{pd} \) with time. This shows that the \( \text{pd} \) across the capacitor increases at a rate of 96 \( \text{mV s}^{-1} \).

Calculate the capacitance of the capacitor.

[2 marks]

\[ \text{capacitance} = \underline{________} \text{ } \mu \text{F} \]
2. Questions that require specific aspects of a practical procedure to be understood in order to answer a question about the underlying science.

Example (A-level Biology Specimen Paper 2)

This question requires the students to understand how oxygen production can be used as a proxy measure for photosynthesis, but no other details of the practical procedure are important.

Example (AS Chemistry Specimen Paper 2)

To answer this question, the student must understand the process of yield calculation (which will have been gained through practical work), but again the details of the practical procedure are unimportant.
3. Questions directly on the required practical procedures.

Example (AS Biology Specimen Paper 1)

Similarly, in this example, the students should have done a very similar experiment.

The first question is simple recall of the factors involved in the rate of enzyme controlled reactions.

The second requires the calculation of a gradient, which is a skill students will have learned through their practical and other work.
Example (A-level Chemistry Specimen Paper 3)

4. Questions applying the skills from the required practical procedures and the apparatus and techniques list.

Example (A-level Chemistry Specimen Paper 3)

Students who have completed the related required practical will have a greater understanding of each of the steps in the procedure and will be able to explain each in turn.

This question expects students to understand distillation which is one of the required practicals. It is not necessary for students to have carried out this precise experiment to understand the requirements.
Example (AS Physics Specimen Paper 2)

Data analysis question

Capillary action can cause a liquid to rise up a hollow tube. Figure 3 shows water that has risen to a height $h$ in a narrow glass tube because of capillary action.

Figure 3

![Diagram of capillary action with a narrow tube and water rise]

Figure 4 shows the variation of $h$ with temperature $\theta$ for this particular tube.

Figure 4

![Graph showing variation of $h$ with $\theta$]

The uncertainty in the measurement of $h$ is shown by the error bars. Uncertainties in the measurements of temperature are negligible.

1. Draw a best-fit straight line for these data (Figure 4). [1 mark]

2. It is suggested that the relationship between $h$ and $\theta$ is

$$h = h_0 - (h_0 k) \theta$$

where $h_0$ and $k$ are constants. Determine $h_0$. [1 mark]
Guidelines for supporting students in practical work

Developed in collaboration with NFER and CLEAPSS

Clarify the importance of keeping a lab book or other records of practical work

Explain that students need a record of their achievements to guide their learning. Lab books also can be an opportunity to develop a skill used both by scientists and in business. They allow students to accurately and clearly record information, ideas and thoughts for future reference which is a very useful life skill.

Warn students against plagiarism and copying

Explain the meaning of the term plagiarism and that the use of acknowledged sources is an encouraged and acceptable practice, but trying to pass off other people’s work as their own is not, and will not help them learn. Show students how sources should be cited.

Explain the learning criteria for each skill

This will help students learn and allow them to know when they have met the criteria. The student lab book contains the criteria, but they own the process and have the responsibility for collecting appropriate evidence of success.

Use clearly defined learning outcomes

For example, if you are running a practical session to teach students how to use a microscope and staining techniques safely and efficiently, then make sure they know why they are learning this. This will also make it much easier for them to know when they have met the criteria.

Start with simple tasks

Students need to become confident with the apparatus and concepts of practical work before they can proceed to more complicated experiments. It may be more effective to start with simple manipulation skills and progress to the higher order skills.

Teach practical work in your preferred order

Teach the skills as you see fit and suit your circumstances – the assessment process is aimed to be flexible and help you teach practical work, not to dictate how it should be done.

Use feedback and peer assessment

Feedback is essential to help students develop skills effectively. Allowing self and peer review will allow time for quality feedback as well as provide powerful learning tools. However, this is a decision for teachers. The scheme is designed to be flexible while promoting best practice.

Research shows that feedback is the best tool for learning in practical skills. Students who normally only receive numerical marks as feedback for work will need to be trained in both giving and receiving comment-based feedback. Provided it is objective, focused on the task and meets learning outcomes, students will quickly value this feedback.

Feedback does not need to be lengthy, but it does need to be done while the task is fresh in the students’ mind. Not everything needs written feedback but could be discussed with students, either individually or as a class. For example, if a teacher finds that many students cannot calculate percentage change, the start of the next lesson could be used for a group discussion about this.

The direct assessment of practical work is designed to allow teachers to integrate student-centred learning (including peer review), into day-to-day teaching and learning. This encourages critical
skills. Research indicates these are powerful tools for learning. For example, teachers could ask students to evaluate each other’s data objectively. The students could identify why some data may be useful and some not. This can be a very good way of getting students to understand why some conventions are used, and what improves the quality of results. This also frees up marking time to concentrate on teaching.

Don’t give marks

We have deliberately moved away from banded criteria and marks to concentrate on the mastery of key practical competencies. The purpose of marking should be changed to emphasise learning. Students should find it easier to understand and track their progress, and focus their work. We would expect most students, with practice and the explicit teaching of skills and techniques, to succeed in most competencies by the end of the course.

Use group work

This is a very useful skill, allowing students to build on each other’s ideas. For example, planning an experiment can be done as a class discussion. Alternatively, techniques such as snowballing can be used, in which students produce their own plan then sit down in a small group to discuss which are the best collective ideas. From this, they revise their plan which is then discussed to produce a new ‘best’ plan.
Use of lab books

Students do not need to write up every practical they do in detail. However, it is good practice to have a record of all they do. A lab book could contain this. It is a student’s personal book and may contain a range of notes, tables, jottings, reminders of what went wrong, errors identified and other findings. It is a live document that can function as a learning journal.

Lab books are not a requirement of the CPAC endorsement or the AQA AS and A-level specifications in Biology, Chemistry or Physics. They are highly valued by colleagues in higher education and are an easy way for students to demonstrate their mastery of Competence 5 “Researches, references and reports”.

Each institution has its own rules on lab book usage. The following guidelines are based on those from a selection of companies and universities that use lab books. They are designed to help students and teachers in preparing to use lab books for university but do not represent the only way that books could be used for A-level sciences. Teachers may wish to vary the following points to suit their purposes.

The purpose of a lab book

A lab book is a complete record of everything that has been done in the laboratory. As such, it becomes important both to track progress of experiments, and also, in industry and universities, to prove who developed an idea or discovered something first.

A lab book is a:
- source of data that can be used later by the experimenter or others
- complete record of what has been done so that experiments could be understood or repeated by a competent scientist at some point in the future
- tool that supports sound thinking and helps experimenters to question their results to ensure that their interpretation is the same one that others would come to
- record of why experiments were done.

Type of book

Spiral bound notebooks are not recommended as it is too easy to rip a page out and start again. It is generally advisable that a lab book has a cover that won’t disintegrate the moment it gets slightly wet. A lab book is often a hard-backed book with bound pages.

Style

Notes should be recorded as experiments are taking place. They should not be a “neat” record written at a later date. However, they should be written clearly, in legible writing and in language which can be understood by others.

Many lab books are used in industry as a source of data, and so should be written in indelible ink.

To ensure that an observer can be confident that all data are included when a lab book is examined, there should be no blank spaces. Mistakes should be crossed out and re-written. Numbers should not be overwritten, erased, or covered over. Pencil should not be used for anything other than graphs and diagrams.
Each page should be dated

Worksheets, graphs, printed information, photographs and even flat "data" such as chromatograms or TLC plates can all be stuck into a lab book. They should not cover up any information as this is not compatible with photocopying. Anything glued in should lie flat and not be folded.

Content

Generally, lab books will contain:

• title and date of experiment
• notes on the objectives of the experiment (eg apparatus and techniques covered or CPAC assessed)
• notes on the method, including all details (eg temperatures, volumes, settings of pieces of equipment) with justification where necessary
• estimates of the uncertainty of measurements
• sketches of how equipment has been set up can be helpful. Photographs pasted in are also acceptable
• data and observations input to tables (or similar) while carrying out the experiment
• calculations – annotated to show thinking
• graphs and charts
• summary, discussions and conclusions
• cross-references to earlier data and references to external information.

This list and its order are not prescriptive. Many experiments change as they are set up and trials run. Often a method will be given, then some data, then a brief mention of changes that were necessary, then more data and so on.
Cross-board statement on CPAC

Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)

The assessment of practical skills is a compulsory requirement of the course of study for A-level qualifications in biology, chemistry and physics. It will appear on all students’ certificates as a separately reported result, alongside the overall grade for the qualification. The arrangements for the assessment of practical skills are common to all AOs.

- A minimum of 12 practical activities to be carried out by each student which, together, meet the requirements of Appendices 5b (Practical skills identified for direct assessment and developed through teaching and learning) and 5c (Use of apparatus and techniques) from the prescribed subject content, published by the Department for Education. The required practical activities will be defined by each AO in their specification.
- Teachers will assess students using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC) issued jointly by the AOs. The CPAC are based on the requirements of Appendices 5b and 5c of the subject content requirements published by the Department for Education, and define the minimum standard required for the achievement of a pass.
- Each student will keep an appropriate record of their practical work, including their assessed practical activities.
- Students who demonstrate the required standard across all the requirements of the CPAC will receive a ‘pass’ grade.
- There will be no separate assessment of practical skills for AS qualifications.
- Students will answer questions in the AS and A level examination papers that assess the requirements of Appendix 5a (Practical skills identified for indirect assessment and developed through teaching and learning) from the prescribed subject content, published by the Department for Education. These questions may draw on, or range beyond, the practical activities included in the specification.
## Criteria for the assessment of practical competency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competency</th>
<th>Practical mastery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In order to be awarded a pass, a student must, by the end of the practical science assessment, consistently and routinely meet the criteria in respect of each competency listed below. A student may demonstrate the competencies in any practical activity undertaken as part of that assessment throughout the course of study. Students may undertake practical activities in groups. However, the evidence generated by each student must demonstrate that he or she independently meets the criteria outlined below in respect of each competency. Such evidence: a. will comprise both the student's performance during each practical activity and his or her contemporaneous record of the work that he or she has undertaken during that activity, and b. must include evidence of independent application of investigative approaches and methods to practical work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Follows written procedures</td>
<td>a. Correctly follows written instructions to carry out experimental techniques or procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Applies investigative approaches and methods when using instruments and equipment</td>
<td>a. Correctly uses appropriate instrumentation, apparatus and materials (including ICT) to carry out investigative activities, experimental techniques and procedures with minimal assistance or prompting. b. Carries out techniques or procedures methodically, in sequence and in combination, identifying practical issues and making adjustments when necessary. c. Identifies and controls significant quantitative variables where applicable, and plans approaches to take account of variables that cannot readily be controlled. d. Selects appropriate equipment and measurement strategies in order to ensure suitably accurate results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Safely uses a range of practical equipment and materials</td>
<td>a. Identifies hazards and assesses risks associated with these hazards, making safety adjustments as necessary, when carrying out experimental techniques and procedures in the lab or field. b. Uses appropriate safety equipment and approaches to minimise risks with minimal prompting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **4. Makes and records observations** | a. Makes accurate observations relevant to the experimental or investigative procedure.  
b. Obtains accurate, precise and sufficient data for experimental and investigative procedures and records this methodically using appropriate units and conventions. |
| **5. Researches, references and reports** | a. Uses appropriate software and/or tools to process data, carry out research and report findings.  
b. Cites sources of information demonstrating that research has taken place, supporting planning and conclusions. |
Extra information on the endorsement

The information below is based on the cross-board agreements, but is not in every case cross-board agreed wording.

‘Consistently and routinely’

Teachers should be confident that their students can demonstrate a particular competence going forwards. This means that demonstrating a competence once to the expected standard is unlikely to be enough, but there is no stipulated number of times that each competence must be demonstrated. The teacher should use professional judgement when holistically assessing their students at the end of the course.

Observing differences in standard over time

There is an expectation that students will improve in their skills and abilities in practical work throughout a two-year course. An adviser attending a school in the earlier part of the course would expect to see students working at a lower level than the same students would be working at by the end of the course.

There are many different ways of tracking students’ skills development towards competence. Advisers will not expect to see any particular method of tracking or showing this development during visits. Advisers will discuss tracking with teachers in order to become confident that the teachers understand the standard expected at the end of the course and that their planning supports students’ skills progression.

Demonstrations

Demonstrations cannot be substituted for any of the required practical activities. Teachers can demonstrate experiments when teaching new techniques, before students carry out the experiment in subsequent lessons. However, if CPAC 1 is being assessed, the instructions must not simply repeat what was shown in the demonstration.

The link between the apparatus and techniques and CPAC

All students should have experienced use of each of the apparatus and techniques. Their competence in practical work will be developed through the use of these apparatus and techniques. However, students are not being assessed on their abilities to use a particular piece of equipment, but on their general level of practical competence.

Simulations

Simulations are not acceptable for use in the place of the apparatus and techniques.

Helping students during practical work

Teachers can help students during practical work, but the amount of guidance will be dependent on the criteria being assessed. For example, if a student was being assessed on CPAC 3, and needed to be reminded on the basics of safety, they could not be assessed as passing.

It may be appropriate to help students through spot demonstrations if the equipment or the technique is new or unusual.

The amount of help would depend on when in the course the practical work was taking place. For example, at the beginning of Year 12 the teacher would be likely to be giving a lot of guidance, and tasks would include a lot of support. By the end of Year 13, there is likely to be minor prompting to help students as they become more confident and competent.
Language used by students

In written exams, students are expected to use scientific language that corresponds to the glossary of terms in this handbook. Whilst doing practical work, students should be encouraged to use the correct terms (such as discussing if results are ‘accurate’, ‘precise’, ‘repeatable’ etc), but should not be penalised for using incorrect vocabulary verbally. This is because the assessment is about the students’ abilities in practical work, not their use of terms.

Standardisation within centres

It is expected that there is communication and training within centres such that the outcomes for learners are consistent, independent of teaching staff. Whilst the opportunity for standardisation is not the same as with internally marked controlled assessments, there should be dialogue and the possibility for observations of other staff to ensure the comparability of outcomes. The common requirements of the Practical Endorsement allow centres to assure that the criteria for the Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC) are being implemented and recorded in all situations, including those where A-levels from different AOs are being delivered by one centre.

Candidate and centre records

There is no requirement for centres to retain candidate records. Candidate records are only required for review at the time of the monitoring visit. Similarly, there is no requirement for centres to retain centre records after completion of the course.

Certificates

Students will either have ‘Pass’ or ‘Not classified’ recorded on their certificate for the endorsement.

Resit candidates

Resit candidates who have passed the requirements for the practical endorsement may carry this result forward. They are not required to repeat the practical activities to achieve a pass grade, but may choose to repeat them along with the teaching and learning to increase their knowledge and understanding for the written exams.

JCQ are organising a national record of candidates who have achieved a pass in the practical endorsement, which may be accessed by an AO to assure that a candidate is eligible for the carry forward of their practical endorsement pass. This will include candidates who achieve an unclassified U grade in the exam and who will not have the outcome of the practical endorsement certificated.

Teachers who accept resit candidates from a different school, college or tuition centre should insist on having sight of the candidate certificate as proof of practical endorsement pass.

If the candidate is also resitting the practical endorsement, as they have failed to meet the pass standard in all CPAC criteria previously, teachers will need to assess all CPAC, not just areas of weakness highlighted previously.

Reasonable adjustments

The JCQ document Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments sets out arrangements for access arrangements for all assessments.

The arrangements applicable to the endorsement must not compromise the objectives of the assessment. So, for example, it may be reasonable for a student to have a reader or extra time while being assessed against CPAC 1. Students would be demonstrating their ability to follow instructions in the form the students were used to receiving them.
CPAC 2 and 3 make reference to the use of instruments, equipment and materials. The use of a practical assistant for a student with very poor motor coordination or a severe visual impairment could potentially compromise the purpose of the assessment (to develop manipulative skills).

Teachers should work with the special educational needs coordinator to determine which arrangements are appropriate and reasonable.

Tutorial colleges, private entries and home schooling

The provision of the Practical Endorsement and associated practical activities is a regulatory requirement. Any centre not providing opportunities to demonstrate the competences for a minimum of twelve practical activities, is in breach of the regulations for the reformed GCE Advanced level science qualifications. The same applies to centres that have not had a monitoring visit to confirm that they are assessing their students correctly against the CPAC. These breaches are subject to a series of sanctions from the regulator such as those for malpractice or maladministration, which will be instigated by the AO conducting monitoring of the centre, and communicated with all other AOs.

Candidates resitting the assessment at tutorial colleges may have demonstrated competence in all the practical requirements at a previous centre. Providing that centre passed its monitoring visit, the evidence of prior completion allows entry for the resit with a carry-forward of the practical endorsement result.

Private candidates can be entered for exams at a centre even if they are not enrolled there. Private candidates may be home-schooled, receiving private tuition or may be self-taught. The Practical Endorsement is an essential part of the course and will allow candidates to develop skills for further study or employment as well as imparting important knowledge that is part of the specification. Private candidates should have the opportunity to complete the Practical Endorsement and should, therefore, ensure that they are registered with a centre that has passed a monitoring visit and has this provision available. The centre may charge for this facility and it is recommended that any such arrangement is made early in the course.

New centres

Any new centre starting to deliver one of the sciences at GCE A-level should notify the AO with whom they intend to make entries so that a monitoring visit can be scheduled during the teaching of the first cohort. Contact details are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AO</th>
<th>Contact address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AQA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:MonitoringReports2017@aqa.org.uk">MonitoringReports2017@aqa.org.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson (Edexcel)</td>
<td><a href="mailto:TeachingScience@pearson.com">TeachingScience@pearson.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eduqas</td>
<td><a href="mailto:matthew.roberts@eduqas.co.uk">matthew.roberts@eduqas.co.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCR</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Science@OCR.org.uk">Science@OCR.org.uk</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Switching AOs

AOs will use entry data from summer 2017 to make contact with centres who they believe to be following their specification. Should a centre have switched AO, they should notify the monitor when they make contact. The monitor will then pass that information to the new board to allow the board for the course being delivered to carry out the visit.
Monitoring visits

We are committed to making the monitoring process a supportive one. Monitoring is not like an Ofsted visit, it’s an opportunity for students to show off their learning, and for teachers to show their teaching. It isn’t a ‘big stick’ - it should be positive and helpful.

We refer to our monitors as advisers.
Advisers will be looking to confirm two things:

- that schools are compliant with the rules
- that teachers are assessing students at the correct standard.

All schools have now been monitored for one subject by one of the boards during the first two years of the course. For example, if a school is taking Biology with AQA, while Chemistry and Physics with other boards, AQA have only visited the Biology department, and another board may have visited Chemistry or Physics. Larger schools and colleges (who tend to have separate departments) will have been visited three times, one visit to each department. AQA’s first visits took place between January and April 2016. Remaining first visits took place between September 2016 and May 2017. A similar pattern of visits is now intended throughout the lifetime of the specification.

Cross-board agreed process and code of conduct

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Adviser</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Collates all visits and outcomes from previous exam series and agrees on allocation and subject for monitoring.

Arranges monitoring visits and allocates advisers.

Visits centre.
Feeds back to AO and school.
Training

Training on the standard is free and available on our website. The Lead Teacher for each science must undertake this compulsory training and disseminate information to their subject team as requested by their AO.

Lead Teachers are not required to be the same person as previously notified to JCQ or the AOs, and there is no need to notify JCQ or the AO of any such staffing change.

Lead Teachers continuing in post do not have to repeat the training, but will want to ensure that any staff who are new to their centre are fully aware of the requirements of the Practical Endorsement.

Notice of monitoring

Each AO is expected to give schools or colleges at least two weeks’ notice of monitoring visits. Where possible, AOs may take into account the school/college’s timetables, but on most occasions it will be necessary for the school/college to make arrangements to allow the adviser to observe a practical lesson.

Materials required by the adviser on the day of the visit:

- documented plans to carry out sufficient practical activities which meet the requirements of CPAC, incorporating skills and techniques detailed in appendix 5, over the course of the A-level.
- a record of each practical activity undertaken and the date when this was completed
- a record of the criteria being assessed in that practical activity
- a record of student attendance
- a record of which student met the criteria and which did not
- student work showing evidence required for the particular task with date
- any associated materials provided for the practical activity, eg written instructions given

A timetable for the day and lists of people who the adviser will meet will also be required.

Notes on evidence

- Evidence 1: although there is an expectation that planning to cover the full requirements of the endorsement should take place, plans may be in outline form if seen in the first year of the course.
- Evidence 2–6: will only be available after particular activities have taken place. The adviser should take a proportionate view on whether sufficient practical activities have taken place by the time of the visit.
- Evidence 7: a similarly proportionate view should be taken on this requirement.

Before the day of monitoring

The adviser will communicate expectations with the centre, explaining the process, evidence required, the staff and students who will be observed or spoken to, and make arrangements for the day.

On the day of monitoring

The timings of the monitoring visit will be discussed with the centre and will be dependent on the number of students.
Advisers will be expected to:

- meet the Lead Teacher for the endorsement of practical work for the subject being visited
- observe a lesson including a practical activity (which may or may not be one of the required practicals) during which students are assessed against the competencies
- discuss the teacher’s assessment of the students in the class
- meet students and discuss the practical work that students have been doing (this may take place during the lesson if appropriate)
- view the work of students from lesson and other classes as per cross-board agreement
- view teachers’ records of assessment of practical work
- follow all rules and procedures as required by the school.

Advisers may undertake formal or informal monitoring for an additional A-level subject when in a school or college where teachers are using the adviser’s AO and have requested or agreed to such monitoring.

Advisers will under no circumstances:

- attempt to persuade teachers who are not currently teaching for the advisers’ AO to change AOs
- attempt to persuade teachers to change AOs for GCSE or other courses
- collect information about teachers’ names and AOs for subjects not taking exams with the adviser’s board
- meet teachers for A-level subjects where the board used is not the adviser’s board except where training is on another qualification where the teacher uses the adviser’s board (for example, when a teacher uses different boards for GCSE and A-level)
- accept any sort of gifts from the school or teachers
- make notes that could be constituted as a “lesson observation”, or feedback any judgement on teaching and learning to the teacher or school
- make audio, video or photographic records of students without prior explicit permission being granted by the senior leadership of the school and the parents of the students involved
- remove any original students’ work from the centre at the end of the visit
- expect teachers to be using a particular method of planning, teaching or assessment.

**Feedback**

The adviser will not give a formal judgement during the visit. Feedback will be received by the centre following review by the Lead adviser within two weeks of the visit.

A copy of the report will be sent electronically to the Head teacher, Lead Teacher and the exams officer. Please ensure your school server accepts email from AQA.

**Follow up actions**

On occasion, the adviser may require supplementary evidence. These will generally be any actions that can take place remotely (for example emailing or sending evidence or documents to the adviser).

**Non-compliant centres**

Centres that have not met the required standard will be reported to cross-board parties for follow up, which may include a follow up visit for the subject and/or monitoring for the other subjects.
Safety

At all times the adviser should comply with health and safety regulations and the instructions of the teacher unless they would put the adviser at risk. The safety of students is the responsibility of the teacher. In particular, advisers should not be left alone with classes, especially where practical work is taking place. Advisers should be chaperoned at all times.

Is the adviser role for you?

All of our advisers are practising teachers with a passion for practical work teaching. If you are interested in becoming one of our advisers, look out for our regular job advertisements.
Evidence for the endorsement

Schools/colleges will be visited by an adviser who will agree with teachers a date for their visit. They will observe practical work taking place and discuss their views of the competencies exhibited by the students with the teacher present.

There should be no need to coach students for this visit, as it is the teachers’ abilities to assess practical work that are being monitored, not the students’ performance.

The following minimum documentation requirements have been agreed by the awarding bodies, and would be expected to be available to the adviser to view. There is currently no requirement for any of the following to be sent into the AO.

There are many ways of fulfilling these requirements. We believe that teachers should have the ability to choose the methods they use to collect this documentation. Different schools and colleges will find different ways to track this information depending on local needs. We will be providing example methods of tracking this information, but will not require teachers to use specific forms. Advisers will be trained by AQA and will accept the following methods, or alternatives which contain the required information.

1. **Documented plans to carry out sufficient practical activities which meet the requirements of CPAC, incorporating skills and techniques detailed in appendix 5, over the course of the A-level.**

   Appendix 5 here refers to the DfE subject criteria. The apparatus and techniques are listed in the specifications on the AQA website, as well as the next section in this handbook.

   Teachers may wish to keep this information in the following ways:
   - long-term schemes of work which include the required practicals (and any other practicals where teachers will be assessing students’ competencies)
   - timetables or lists of dates of each of the practicals
   - sheets stuck in the front of students’ lab books.

2. **A record of each practical activity undertaken and the date when this was completed.**

3. **A record of the criteria being assessed in that practical activity.**

   These records could be kept:
   - in long-term scheme of work, there may be bullet points after each practical identifying the competencies to be completed
   - on student sheets, the competences that the teacher will be assessing could be detailed
   - on tracking spreadsheets.
4. A record of student attendance.

This could be done via normal school systems if teachers feel that cross-referencing between SIMS or similar and their schemes of work allow them to be confident that all students have done each experiment.

Alternative methods could include:
- tracking spreadsheets
- teacher mark books
- sheets stuck at the front of students’ lab books.

5. A record of which student met the criteria and which did not.

Examples of how this could be recorded:
- tracking spreadsheets
- on individual pieces of work/lab book pages
- an overview page per student at the front of lab books.

6. Student work showing evidence required for the particular task with date.

Teachers must be confident that they are able to assess the quality of students’ work in accordance with the relevant CPAC criteria. For example:
- in lab books (allowing all practical work to be kept in one place)
- in students’ folders, interspersed with their theory work (allowing the link between practical and theory to be highlighted)
- in computer-based systems
- on individual sheets collected at the end of practical sessions
- in pre-printed workbooks.

In each case, teachers must be able to locate students’ work if an adviser visits the centre and asks to see it.

7. Any associated materials provided for the practical activity, eg written instructions given.

This could include:
- notes in lesson plans or schemes of work
- worksheets or workbooks
- notes made on tracking sheets.

These materials should allow an adviser to understand how much guidance students were given. For example, they could show that teachers gave students full details of an experiment, which would limit the ability of the students to demonstrate the ability to apply investigative approaches.
Cross-board apparatus and techniques and AQA required activities

The apparatus and techniques lists for Biology, Chemistry and Physics are common to all boards. Students taking any specification in these subjects are expected to have had opportunities to use the apparatus and develop and demonstrate the techniques throughout the duration of the course.

The required practical activities in each subject are specific to AQA. We have written our specifications so that AS is co-teachable with the A-level specification. Therefore the first six required practicals are included in both specifications and the second six are A-level only.

Carrying out the 12 required practicals in the full A-level will mean that students will have experienced each of the expected apparatus and techniques. Teachers are encouraged to develop students’ abilities by inclusion of other opportunities for skills development, as exemplified in the right-hand column of the content section of the specification.

Teachers are encouraged to vary their approach to the required practical activities. Some are more suitable for highly structured approaches that develop key techniques. Others allow opportunities for students to develop investigative approaches.

This list is not designed to limit the practical activities carried out by students. A rich practical experience for students will include more than the 12 required practical activities. The explicit teaching of practical skills builds students’ competence. Many teachers will also use practical approaches to the introduction of content knowledge in the course of their normal teaching.

Students’ work in these activities can also contribute towards the endorsement of practical skills.

For the endorsement, all students must have experienced use of one of the alternatives in the apparatus and techniques list. For example, in Physics students can pass the endorsement if they have used digital or vernier scales.

However, to best prepare students for exams, teachers should ensure that all students understand each of the alternatives so they can answer questions on practical work that involve any of these. Therefore, all “or” statements in the apparatus and techniques list should be viewed as “and” statements for the written exams.

We are keen to encourage teachers to use alternative methods that support students to develop their understanding of the apparatus and techniques statements. More detailed advice, additional activities and alternative methods can be found on the CLEAPSS website:

- Biology
- Chemistry
- Physics

Whichever method you use, it is your responsibility to check that you have covered all aspects of the apparatus and techniques criteria.
### Biology apparatus and techniques

#### Apparatus and techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AT a</th>
<th>Use appropriate apparatus to record a range of quantitative measurements (to include mass, time, volume, temperature, length and pH).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT b</td>
<td>Use appropriate instrumentation to record quantitative measurements, such as a colorimeter or photometer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT c</td>
<td>Use laboratory glassware apparatus for a variety of experimental techniques to include serial dilutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT d</td>
<td>Use of light microscope at high power and low power, including use of a graticule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT e</td>
<td>Produce scientific drawing from observation with annotations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT f</td>
<td>Use qualitative reagents to identify biological molecules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT g</td>
<td>Separate biological compounds using thin layer/paper chromatography or electrophoresis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| AT h | Safely and ethically use organisms to measure:  
  - plant or animal responses  
  - physiological functions.                                                                                                      |
| AT i | Use microbiological aseptic techniques, including the use of agar plates and broth.                                                 |
| AT j | Safely use instruments for dissection of an animal organ, or plant organ.                                                           |
| AT k | Use sampling techniques in fieldwork.                                                                                                |
| AT l | Use ICT such as computer modelling, or data logger to collect data, or use software to process data.                               |
# Biology required activities (1-6 AS), (1-12 A-level)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required activity</th>
<th>Apparatus and technique reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Investigation into the effect of a named variable on the rate of an enzyme-controlled reaction</td>
<td>a, b, c, f, l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Preparation of stained squashes of cells from plant root tips; set-up and use of an optical microscope to identify the stages of mitosis in these stained squashes and calculation of a mitotic index</td>
<td>d, e, f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Production of a dilution series of a solute to produce a calibration curve with which to identify the water potential of plant tissue</td>
<td>c, h, j, l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Investigation into the effect of a named variable on the permeability of cell-surface membranes</td>
<td>a, b, c, j, l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dissection of animal or plant gas exchange or mass transport system or of organ within such a system</td>
<td>e, h, j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Use of aseptic techniques to investigate the effect of antimicrobial substances on microbial growth</td>
<td>c, i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Use of chromatography to investigate the pigments isolated from leaves of different plants, eg leaves from shade-tolerant and shade-intolerant plants or leaves of different colours</td>
<td>b, c, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Investigation into the effect of a named factor on the rate of dehydrogenase activity in extracts of chloroplasts</td>
<td>a, b, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Investigation into the effect of a named variable on the rate of respiration of cultures of single-celled organisms</td>
<td>a, b, c, i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Investigation into the effect of an environmental variable on the movement of an animal using either a choice chamber or a maze</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Production of a dilution series of a glucose solution and use of colorimetric techniques to produce a calibration curve with which to identify the concentration of glucose in an unknown ‘urine’ sample</td>
<td>b, c, f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Investigation into the effect of a named environmental factor on the distribution of a given species</td>
<td>a, b, h, k, l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Chemistry apparatus and techniques

### Apparatus and techniques

| AT a | Use appropriate apparatus to record a range of measurements (to include mass, time, volume of liquids and gases, temperature) |
| AT b | Use water bath or electric heater or sand bath for heating |
| AT c | Measure pH using pH charts, or pH meter, or pH probe on a data logger |
| AT d | Use laboratory apparatus for a variety of experimental techniques including:  
  - titration, using burette and pipette  
  - distillation and heating under reflux, including setting up glassware using retort stand and clamps  
  - qualitative tests for ions and organic functional groups  
  - filtration, including use of fluted filter paper, or filtration under reduced pressure |
| AT e | Use volumetric flask, including accurate technique for making up a standard solution |
| AT f | Use acid–base indicators in titrations of weak/strong acids with weak/strong alkalis |
| AT g | Purify:  
  - a solid product by recrystallisation  
  - a liquid product, including use of separating funnel |
| AT h | Use melting point apparatus |
| AT i | Use thin-layer or paper chromatography |
| AT j | Set up electrochemical cells and measuring voltages |
| AT k | Safely and carefully handle solids and liquids, including corrosive, irritant, flammable and toxic substances |
| AT l | Measure rates of reaction by at least two different methods, for example:  
  - an initial rate method such as a clock reaction  
  - a continuous monitoring method |
Chemistry required activities (1-6 AS), (1-12 A-level)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required activity</th>
<th>Apparatus and technique reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Make up a volumetric solution and carry out a simple acid–base titration</td>
<td>a, d, e, f, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Measurement of an enthalpy change</td>
<td>a, d, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Investigation of how the rate of a reaction changes with temperature</td>
<td>a, b, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Carry out simple test-tube reactions to identify:</td>
<td>d, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• cations – Group 2, NH₄⁺</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• anions – Group 7 (halide ions), OH⁻, CO₃²⁻, SO₄²⁻</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Distillation of a product from a reaction</td>
<td>b, d, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Tests for alcohol, aldehyde, alkene and carboxylic acid</td>
<td>b, d, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Measuring the rate of reaction:</td>
<td>a, k, l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• by an initial rate method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• by a continuous monitoring method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Measuring the EMF of an electrochemical cell</td>
<td>j, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Investigate how pH changes when a weak acid reacts with a strong base and when a strong acid reacts with a weak base</td>
<td>a, c, d, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Preparation of:</td>
<td>a, b, d, g, h, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• a pure organic solid and test of its purity</td>
<td>b, d, g, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• a pure organic liquid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Carry out simple test-tube reactions to identify transition metal ions in aqueous solution</td>
<td>b, d, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Separation of species by thin-layer chromatography</td>
<td>i, k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Physics apparatus and techniques

<p>| ATa   | Use appropriate analogue apparatus to record a range of measurements (to include length/distance, temperature, pressure, force, angles, volume) and to interpolate between scale markings |
| ATb   | Use appropriate digital instruments, including electrical multimeters, to obtain a range of measurements (to include time, current, voltage, resistance, mass) |
| ATc   | Use methods to increase accuracy of measurements, such as timing over multiple oscillations, or use of fiduciary marker, set square or plumb line |
| ATd   | Use stopwatch or light gates for timing |
| ATe   | Use calipers and micrometers for small distances, using digital or vernier scales |
| ATf   | Correctly construct circuits from circuit diagrams using DC power supplies, cells, and a range of circuit components, including those where polarity is important |
| ATg   | Design, construct and check circuits using DC power supplies, cells, and a range of circuit components |
| ATh   | Use signal generator and oscilloscope, including volts/division and time-base |
| ATi   | Generate and measure waves, using microphone and loudspeaker, or ripple tank, or vibration transducer, or microwave/radio wave source |
| ATj   | Use laser or light source to investigate characteristics of light, including interference and diffraction |
| ATk   | Use ICT such as computer modelling, or data logger with a variety of sensors to collect data, or use of software to process data |
| ATl   | Use ionising radiation, including detectors |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required activity</th>
<th>Apparatus and technique reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Investigation into the variation of the frequency of stationary waves on a string with length, tension and mass per unit length of the string</td>
<td>a, b, c, i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Investigation of interference effects to include the Young's slit experiment and interference by a diffraction grating</td>
<td>a, j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Determination of $g$ by a free-fall method</td>
<td>a, c, d, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Determination of the Young modulus by a simple method</td>
<td>a, c, e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Determination of resistivity of a wire using a micrometer, ammeter and voltmeter</td>
<td>a, b, e, f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Investigation of the emf and internal resistance of electric cells and batteries by measuring the variation of the terminal pd of the cell with current in it</td>
<td>b, f, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Investigation into simple harmonic motion using a mass-spring system and a simple pendulum</td>
<td>a, b, c, h, i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Investigation of Boyle’s (constant temperature) law and Charles’ (constant pressure) law for a gas</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Investigation of the charge and discharge of capacitors. Analysis techniques should include log-linear plotting leading to a determination of the time constant $RC$</td>
<td>b, f, g, h, k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Investigate how the force on a wire varies with flux density, current and length of wire using a top pan balance</td>
<td>a, b, f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Investigate, using a search coil and oscilloscope, the effect on magnetic flux linkage of varying the angle between a search coil and magnetic field direction</td>
<td>a, b, f, h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Investigation of the inverse-square law for gamma radiation</td>
<td>a, b, k, l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tabulating data

It is important to keep a record of data while carrying out practical work. Tables should have clear headings with units indicated using a forward slash before the unit. See example below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance /cm</th>
<th>Count rate/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although using a forward slash (solidus) is the standard format, other formats are generally acceptable. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume in cm³</th>
<th>Time taken in s</th>
<th>Time (hours)</th>
<th>Number of cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is good practice to draw a table before an experiment commences and then enter data straight into the table on collection. This can sometimes lead to data points being in the wrong order. For example, when investigating the temperature at which an enzyme works best, a student may do a number of experiments at 25, 30, 35, 40 and 45°C, and then investigate the range between 30 and 40 further by adding readings at 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38 and 39°C. Whilst this is perfectly acceptable, it is generally a good idea to make a fair copy of the table in ascending order of temperature to enable patterns to be spotted more easily. Reordered tables should follow the original data if using a lab book.

It is also expected that the independent variable is the left hand column in a table, with the following columns showing the dependent variables. These should be headed in similar ways to measured variables. The body of the table should not contain units.

Tabulating logarithmic values

When the logarithm is taken of a physical quantity, the resulting value has no unit. However, it is important to be clear about which unit the quantity had to start with. The logarithm of a distance in km will be very different from the logarithm of the same distance in mm.

These should be included in tables in the following way:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading number</th>
<th>Time/s</th>
<th>Log (time/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Significant figures

Data should be written in tables to the same number of significant figures. This number should be determined by the resolution of the device being used to measure the data or the uncertainty in measurement. For example, a sample labelled as “1 mol dm⁻³ acid” should not be recorded in a table of results as 1.0 mol dm⁻³ acid.

There is sometimes confusion over the number of significant figures when readings cross multiples of 10. Changing the number of decimal places across a power of ten retains the number of significant figures but changes the accuracy. The same number of decimal places should therefore generally be used, as illustrated below.

| 0.97 | 99.7 |
| 0.98 | 99.8 |
| 0.99 | 99.9 |
| 1.00 | 100.0 |
| 1.10 | 101.0 |

It is good practice to write down all digits showing on a digital meter.

Calculated quantities should be shown to the number of significant figures of the data with the least number of significant figures.

Example:
Calculate the size of an object if the magnification of a photo is ×25 and it is measured to be 24.6 mm on the photo.

\[
\text{size of real object} = \frac{\text{size of image}}{\text{magnification}}
\]

\[
\text{size of real object} = \frac{24.6 \times 10^{-3}}{25}
\]

\[
\text{size of real object} = 9.8 \times 10^{-4}
\]

Note that the size of the real object can only be quoted to two significant figures as the magnification is only quoted to two significant figures.

Equipment measuring to half a unit (eg a thermometer measuring to 0.5°C) should have measurements recorded to one decimal place (eg 1.0°C, 2.5°C). The uncertainty in these measurements would be ±0.25, but this would be rounded to the same number of decimal places (giving measurements quoted with uncertainty of (1.0 ± 0.3)°C etc).
Uncertainties

Sources of uncertainties

Students should know that every measurement has some inherent uncertainty.

The important question to ask is whether an experimenter can be confident that the true value lies in the range that is predicted by the uncertainty that is quoted. Good experimental design will attempt to reduce the uncertainty in the outcome of an experiment. The experimenter will design experiments and procedures that produce the least uncertainty and to provide a realistic uncertainty for the outcome.

In assessing uncertainty, there are a number of issues that have to be considered. These include:

- the resolution of the instrument used
- the manufacturer’s tolerance on instruments
- the judgments that are made by the experimenter
- the procedures adopted (eg repeated readings)
- the size of increments available (eg the size of drops from a pipette).

Numerical questions will look at a number of these factors. Often, the resolution will be the guiding factor in assessing a numerical uncertainty. There may be further questions that require candidates to evaluate arrangements and procedures. Students could be asked how particular procedures would affect uncertainties and how they could be reduced by different apparatus design or procedure.

A combination of the above factors means that there can be no hard and fast rules about the actual uncertainty in a measurement. What we can assess from an instrument’s resolution is the minimum possible uncertainty. Only the experimenter can assess the other factors, based on the arrangement and use of the apparatus. A rigorous experimenter would draw attention to these factors and take them into account.

Readings and measurements

It is useful, when discussing uncertainties, to separate measurements into two forms:

- readings: the values found from a single judgement when using a piece of equipment.
- measurements: the values taken as the difference between the judgements of two values.

Examples

When using a thermometer, a student only needs to make one judgement (the height of the liquid). This is a reading. It can be assumed that the zero value has been correctly set.

For protractors and rulers, both the starting point and the end point of the measurement must be judged, leading to two uncertainties.

The following list is not exhaustive, and the way that the instrument is used will determine whether the student is taking a reading or a measurement.
### Reading (one judgement only) vs. Measurement (two judgements required)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>thermometer</td>
<td>ruler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH meter</td>
<td>vernier calliper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>top pan balance</td>
<td>micrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measuring cylinder</td>
<td>protractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volumetric flask</td>
<td>stopwatch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>digital voltmeter</td>
<td>analogue meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geiger counter</td>
<td>burette</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The uncertainty in a **reading** when using a particular instrument is **no smaller** than plus or minus half of the smallest division or greater. For example, a temperature measured with a thermometer is likely to have an uncertainty of ±0.5 °C if the graduations are 1°C apart.

Students should be aware that readings are often written with the uncertainty. An example of this would be to write a voltage as (2.40 ± 0.01) V. It is usual for the uncertainty quoted to be the same number of decimal places as the value. Unless there are good reasons otherwise (e.g., an advanced statistical analysis), students at this level should quote the uncertainty in a measurement to the same number of decimal places as the value.

**Measurement example: length**

When measuring length, **two** uncertainties must be included: the uncertainty of the placement of the zero of the ruler and the uncertainty of the point the measurement is taken from.

As both ends of the ruler have a ±0.5 scale division uncertainty, the measurement will have an uncertainty of ±1 division.

![Diagram of measurement uncertainty](image)

For most rulers, this will mean that the uncertainty in a measurement of length will be ±1 mm.

This 'initial value uncertainty' will apply to any instrument where the user can set the zero (incorrectly), but would not apply to equipment such as balances or thermometers where the zero is set at the point of manufacture.

In summary

- The uncertainty of a reading (one judgement) is at least ±0.5 of the smallest scale reading.
- The uncertainty of a measurement (two judgements) is at least ±1 of the smallest scale reading.
The way measurements are taken can also affect the uncertainty.

**Measurement example: the extension of a spring**

Measuring the extension of a spring using a metre ruler can be achieved in two ways.

1. Measuring the total length unloaded and then loaded.

   Four readings must be taken for this: the start and end point of the unloaded spring’s length and the start and end point of the loaded spring’s length.

   The minimum uncertainty in each measured length is ±1 mm using a meter ruler with 1 mm divisions (the actual uncertainty is likely to be larger due to parallax in this instance). The extension would be the difference between the two readings, so the minimum uncertainty would be ±2 mm.

2. Fixing one end and taking a scale reading of the lower end.

   Two readings must be taken for this: the end point of the unloaded spring’s length and the end point of the loaded spring’s length. The start point is assumed to have zero uncertainty, as it is fixed.

   The minimum uncertainty in each reading would be ±0.5 mm, so the minimum extension uncertainty would be ±1 mm.

Even with other practical uncertainties this second approach would be better.

Realistically, the uncertainty would be larger than this and an uncertainty in each reading of 1 mm or would be more sensible. This depends on factors such as how close the ruler can be mounted to the point as at which the reading is to be taken.
Other factors

There are some occasions where the resolution of the instrument is not the limiting factor in the uncertainty in a measurement.

Best practice is to write down the full reading and then to write to fewer significant figures when the uncertainty has been estimated.

Examples:

A stopwatch has a resolution of hundredths of a second, but the uncertainty in the measurement is more likely to be due to the reaction time of the experimenter. Here, the student should write the full reading on the stopwatch (e.g., 12.20 s), carry the significant figures through for all repeats, and reduce this to a more appropriate number of significant figures after an averaging process later.

If a student measures the length of a piece of wire, it is very difficult to hold the wire completely straight against the ruler. The uncertainty in the measurement is likely to be higher than the ±1 mm uncertainty of the ruler. Depending on the number of “kinks” in the wire, the uncertainty could be reasonably judged to be nearer ±2 or 3 mm.

The uncertainty of the reading from digital voltmeters and ammeters depends on the electronics and is not strictly the last figure in the readout. Manufacturers usually quote the percentage uncertainties for the different ranges. Unless otherwise stated it may be assumed that ±0.5 in the least significant digit is to be the uncertainty in the measurement. This would generally be rounded up to ±1 of the least significant digit when quoting the value and the uncertainty together. For example (5.21 ± 0.01) V. If the reading fluctuates, then it may be necessary to take a number of readings and do a mean and range calculation.

Uncertainties in given values

The value of the charge on an electron is given in the data sheet as 1.60 × 10\(^{-19}\) C.

In all such cases assume the uncertainty to be ±1 in the last significant digit. In this case the uncertainty ±0.01 × 10\(^{-19}\) C. The uncertainty may be lower than this but without knowing the details of the experiment and procedure that lead to this value there is no evidence to assume otherwise.

Example:

If the number of lines per m is quoted as 3.5 × 10\(^3\), it is usual to assume that the uncertainty is ±1 in the last significant figure, ±0.1 × 10\(^3\) since there is no indication of the uncertainties in the measurements from which that figure came.

Multiple instances of measurements

Some methods of measuring involve the use of multiple instances in order to reduce the uncertainty. For example, measuring the thickness of several sheets of paper together rather than one sheet, or timing several swings of a pendulum. The uncertainty of each measurement will be the uncertainty of the whole measurement divided by the number of sheets or swings. This method works because the absolute uncertainty on the time for a single swing is the same as the absolute uncertainty for the time taken for multiple swings, but there is a lower percentage in the time taken for multiple swings.

Example:

Time taken for a pendulum to swing 10 times: (5.1 ± 0.1) s

Mean time taken for one swing: (0.51 ± 0.01) s
Repeated measurements

Repeating a measurement is a method for reducing the uncertainty.

With many readings it’s possible to also identify those that are exceptional (that are far away from a significant number of other measurements). Sometimes it will be appropriate to remove outliers from measurements before calculating a mean. On other occasions, particularly in Biology, outliers are important to include. For example, it is important to know that a particular drug produces side effects in one person in a thousand.

If measurements are repeated, the uncertainty can be calculated by finding half the range of the measured values.

For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repeat</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance/m</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.32 – 1.22 = 0.10 therefore

Mean distance: (1.26 ± 0.05) m

Percentage uncertainties

The percentage uncertainty in a measurement can be calculated using:

\[
\text{Percentage uncertainty} = \frac{\text{uncertainty}}{\text{value}} \times 100\%
\]

The percentage uncertainty in a repeated measurement can also be calculated using:

\[
\text{Percentage uncertainty} = \frac{\text{uncertainty}}{\text{mean value}} \times 100\%
\]

Further examples:

Example 1. Some values for diameter of a wire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repeat</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter/mm</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The exact values for the mean is 0.355 mm and for the uncertainty is 0.015 mm.

This could be quoted as such or recorded as 0.36 ±0.02 mm given that there is a wide range and only 4 readings. Given the simplistic nature of the analysis then giving the percentage uncertainty as 5% or 6% would be acceptable.

Example 2. Different values for the diameter of a wire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repeat</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter/mm</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean here is 0.3533 mm with uncertainty of 0.005 mm.

The percentage uncertainty is 1.41% so may be quoted as 1% but really it would be better to obtain further data.
Titration

Titration is a special case where a number of factors are involved in the uncertainties in the measurement.

Students should carry out a rough titration to determine the amount of titrant needed. This is to speed up the process of carrying out multiple samples. The value of this titre should be ignored in subsequent calculations.

In titrations one single titre is never sufficient. The experiment is usually done until there are at least two titres that are concordant ie within a certain allowable range, often 0.10 cm$^3$. These values are then averaged.

For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Titration</th>
<th>Rough</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final reading</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>47.40</td>
<td>24.10</td>
<td>47.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial reading</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>24.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titre/cm$^3$</td>
<td>23.85</td>
<td>23.20</td>
<td>23.45</td>
<td>23.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, titres 1 and 3 are within the allowable range of 0.10 cm$^3$ so are averaged to 23.23 cm$^3$.

Unlike in some Biology experiments (where anomalous results are always included unless there is good reason not to), in Chemistry it is assumed that repeats in a titration should be concordant. If they are not, there is likely to have been some experimental error. For example, the wrong volume of solution added from the burette, the wrong amount of solution measuring the pipette or the end point might have been misjudged.

The total error in a titre is caused by three factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading the burette at the start of the titration</td>
<td>Half a division = ±0.05 cm$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading the burette at the end of the titration</td>
<td>Half a division = ±0.05 cm$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judging the end point to within one drop</td>
<td>Volume of a drop = ± 0.05 cm$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>± 0.15 cm$^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This will, of course, depend on the glassware used, as some burettes are calibrated to a higher accuracy than others.

Uncertainties in exams

Wherever possible, questions in exams will be clear on whether students are being asked to calculate the uncertainty of a reading, a measurement, or given data.

Where there is ambiguity, mark schemes will allow alternative sensible answers and credit clear thinking.

It is important that teachers read the reports on the exam following each series to understand common mistakes to help their students improve in subsequent years.
Uncertainties in practical work

Students are expected to develop an understanding of uncertainties in measurements through their practical work. Teachers may use students’ assessments of uncertainties in measurements, and their recording, as evidence towards several of the endorsement criteria. Teachers will decide on each occasion what acceptable uncertainty values are, and the ways in which they expect students to record these.

Examples:

CPAC 2: Students should be attempting to reduce the uncertainties in experiments. This could be by choosing appropriate equipment (CPAC 2d), or by choosing procedures such as repeating readings that reduce overall uncertainties (CPAC 2c).

CPAC 4: Students’ records should take into account uncertainties. For example, students should be making sensible decisions about the number of significant figures to include, particularly in calculated values.

CPAC 5: Students could comment on the uncertainties in their measurements. For example, students could comment on whether the true value (e.g., for a concentration, or the acceleration due to gravity) lies within their calculated range of uncertainty. With some measurements, students may compare their value with those from secondary sources, contributing evidence for CPAC 5b.

Error bars in Physics

There are a number of ways to draw error bars. Students are not expected to have a formal understanding of confidence limits in Physics (unlike in Biology). The following simple method of plotting error bars would therefore be acceptable:

- plot the data point at the mean value
- calculate the range of the data, ignoring any anomalies
- add error bars with lengths equal to half the range on either side of the data point.
Uncertainties from gradients

To find the uncertainty in a gradient, two lines should be drawn on the graph. One should be the “best” line of best fit. The second line should be the steepest or shallowest gradient line of best fit possible from the data. The gradient of each line should then be found.

The uncertainty in the gradient is found by:

\[
\text{percentage uncertainty} = \left| \frac{\text{best gradient} - \text{worst gradient}}{\text{best gradient}} \right| \times 100\%
\]

Note the modulus bars meaning that this percentage will always be positive.

In the same way, the percentage uncertainty in the y-intercept can be found:

\[
\text{percentage uncertainty} = \left| \frac{\text{best } y \text{ intercept} - \text{worst } y \text{ intercept}}{\text{best } y \text{ intercept}} \right| \times 100\%
\]
Combining uncertainties

Percentage uncertainties should be combined using the following rules:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Adding or subtracting values \( a = b + c \) | Add the absolute uncertainties \( \Delta a = \Delta b + \Delta c \) | Length of leaf on day 1 = (5.0 ± 0.1) cm  
Length of leaf on day 2 = (7.2 ± 0.1) cm  
Difference in length = (2.2 ± 0.2) cm |
| Multiplying values \( a = b \times c \) | Add the percentage uncertainties \( \varepsilon a = \varepsilon b + \varepsilon c \) | Voltage = (15.20 ± 0.1) V  
Current = (0.51 ± 0.01) A  
Percentage uncertainty in voltage = 0.7%  
Percentage uncertainty in current = 1.96%  
Power = Voltage × current = 7.75 W  
Percentage uncertainty in power = 2.66%  
Absolute uncertainty in power = ± 0.21 W |
| Dividing values \( a = \frac{b}{c} \) | Add the percentage uncertainties \( \varepsilon a = \varepsilon b + \varepsilon c \) | Mass of salt solution = (100 ± 0.1) g  
Mass of salt = (20.0 ± 0.5) g  
Percentage uncertainty in mass of solution = 0.1%  
Percentage uncertainty in mass of salt = 2.5%  
Percent composition by mass = \( \frac{\text{mass of salt}}{\text{mass of solution}} \times 100\% = 20\% \)  
Percentage uncertainty of percentage = 2.6%  
Absolute uncertainty = ±0.5% |
| Power rules \( a = b^c \) | Multiply the percentage uncertainty by the power \( \varepsilon a = c \times \varepsilon b \) | Radius of circle = (6.0 ± 0.1) cm  
Percentage uncertainty in radius = 1.6%  
Area of circle = \( \pi r^2 = 113.1 \text{cm}^2 \)  
Percentage uncertainty in area = 3.2%  
Absolute uncertainty = ± 3.6 cm\(^2\)  
(Note – the uncertainty in \( \pi \) is taken to be zero) |

Note: Absolute uncertainties (denoted by \( \Delta \)) have the same units as the quantity.  
Percentage uncertainties (denoted by \( \varepsilon \)) have no units.  
Uncertainties in trigonometric and logarithmic functions will not be tested in A-level exams.
Graphing

Graphing skills can be assessed both in written papers for the A-level grade and by the teacher during the assessment of the endorsement. Students should recognise that the type of graph that they draw should be based on an understanding of the type of data they are using and the intended analysis of it. The rules below are guidelines which will vary according to the specific circumstances.

Please note: The Royal Society of Biology suggests that even straight lines on graphs should be referred to as curve. This convention is not used in the following pages to ensure clarity.

Labelling axes

Axes should always be labelled with the variable being measured and the units. These should be separated with a forward slash (solidus):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Axes should not be labelled with the units on each scale marking.

Data points

Data points should be marked with a cross. Both × and + marks are acceptable, but care should be taken that data points can be seen against the grid.

Error bars, standard deviation and ranges can take the place of data points where appropriate.
Scales and origins

Students should attempt to spread the data points on a graph as far as possible without resorting to scales that are difficult to deal with. Students should consider:

- the maximum and minimum values of each variable
- the size of the graph paper
- whether 0.0 should be included as a data point
- whether they will be attempting to calculate the equation of a line, therefore needing the y intercept (Physics only)
- how to draw the axes without using difficult scale markings (e.g., multiples of 3, 7, 11 etc)
- in exams, the plots should cover at least half of the grid supplied for the graph.

Please note that in the Uncertainties and Graphing sections, many generic graphs are used to illustrate the points made. For example, the following three graphs are intended to illustrate the information above relating to the spread of data points on a graph. Students producing such graphs on the basis of real practical work or in exam questions would be expected to add in axes labels and units.

![Graph Example](image-url)
This graph is on the limit of acceptability. The points do not quite fill the page, but spreading them further would result in the use of awkward scales.

At first glance, this graph is well drawn and has spread the data out sensibly.

However, if the graph were to later be used to calculate the equation of the line, the lack of a y-intercept could cause problems. Increasing the axes to ensure all points are spread out but still including the y-intercept is a skill that requires practice and may take a couple of attempts.
Lines of best fit

Lines of best fit should be drawn when appropriate. Students should consider the following when deciding where to draw a line of best fit:

- are the data likely to be following an underlying equation (for example, a relationship governed by a physical law)? This will help decide if the line should be straight or curved
- are there any anomalous results?
- are there uncertainties in the measurements? The line of best fit should fall within error bars, if drawn.

There is no definitive way of determining where a line of best fit should be drawn. A good rule of thumb is to make sure that there are as many points on one side of the line as the other. Often the line should pass through, or very close to, the majority of plotted points. Graphing programs can sometimes help, but tend to use algorithms that make assumptions about the data that may not be appropriate.

Lines of best fit should be continuous and drawn as a thin pencil that does not obscure the points below and does not add uncertainty to the measurement of gradient of the line.

Not all lines of best fit go through the origin. Students should ask themselves whether a 0 in the independent variable is likely to produce a 0 in the dependent variable. This can provide an extra and more certain point through which a line must pass. A line of best fit that is expected to pass through (0,0), but does not, would imply some systematic error in the experiment. This would be a good source of discussion in an evaluation.

Dealing with anomalous results

At GCSE, students are often taught automatically to ignore anomalous results. At A-level, students should think carefully about what could have caused the unexpected result and therefore whether it is anomalous. A student might be able to identify a reason for the unexpected result and so validly regard it as an anomaly. For example, an anomalous result might be explained by a different experimenter making the measurement, a different solution or a different measuring device being used. In the case where the reason for an anomalous result occurring can be identified, the result should be recorded and plotted but may then be ignored.

Anomalous results should also be ignored where results are expected to be the same.

Where there is no obvious error and no expectation that results should be the same, anomalous results should be included. This will reduce the possibility that a key point is being overlooked.

Please note: when recording results it is important that all data are included. Anomalous results should only be ignored at the data analysis stage.

It is best practice whenever an anomalous result is identified for the experiment to be repeated. This highlights the need to tabulate and even graph results as an experiment is carried out.
Scatter graphs

Often in Biology we find a relationship between two continuous variables but cannot infer that the relationship is causal. For example, in the UK, is there a relationship between the number of worms and the number of woodlice? We could plot values for these two continuous variables as a graph but it would not be valid to join the plotted points. We use a scatter graph to investigate correlations. A line of best fit indicates a positive, negative, or absent correlation.

Jagged-line graphs

In Biology, the Royal Society of Biology recommends that where the interim values of a continuously changing variable are not known, data points should be joined by straight lines.
Histograms

As with a line graph and scatter graph, a histogram is used to show the distribution of a continuous variable. In this case, the data for the dependent variable are arranged into non-overlapping groups. These groups could cover an equal span of data, eg, 0.0 to 4.9, 5.0 to 9.9, 10.0 to 14.9, or an unequal span of data, eg 0 to 0.9, 1 to 3.9, 4 to 7.9, 8 to 8.9.

These groups are arranged on the x-axis with widths scaled to represent each span of data. When the dependent variable is plotted, the area under each rectangle is equal to the frequency of the observations in that interval.

In a histogram, the bars touch.
Bar charts

Line graphs and histograms are used when the data are continuous. In contrast, bar charts are used when the data are discontinuous because they are:

- categoric – only certain values can exist (e.g., reading at week 1, reading at week 2, etc)
- nominal – there is no ordering of the categories (e.g., red flowers, pink flowers, and white flowers of *Antirrhinum*).

Since these data are not continuous, the intervals on the x-axis should show this and, unlike a histogram, the rectangles must **not** touch.

Unlike histograms, the bars of a bar chart should be of equal width (including a single straight line), so that the height of the bar represents the frequency of each category.

![Graph showing death rate from cancer by country](attachment:image.png)

**Death rate from cancer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Death rate/unit time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measuring gradients

When finding the gradient of a line of best fit, students should show their working by drawing a triangle on the line. The hypotenuse of the triangle should be at least half as big as the line of best fit.

\[ \text{gradient} = \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} \]

When finding the gradient of a curve, e.g., the rate of reaction at a time that was not sampled, students should draw a tangent to the curve at the relevant value of the independent variable (x-axis).

Use of a set square to draw a triangle over this point on the curve can be helpful in drawing an appropriate tangent.
The equation of a straight line

Students should be able to translate graphical data into the equation of a straight line.

\[ y = mx + c \]

Where \( y \) is the dependent variable, \( m \) is the gradient, \( x \) is the independent variable and \( c \) is the \( y \)-intercept.

\[
\Delta y = 28 - 9 = 19 \\
\Delta x = 90 - 10 = 80 \\
\text{gradient} = \frac{19}{80} = 0.24 \ (2 \text{ sf}) \\
y\text{-intercept} = 7.0
\]

equation of line:
\[ y = 0.24 \times + 7.0 \]
Testing relationships

Sometimes it is not clear what the relationship between two variables is. A quick way to find a possible relationship is to manipulate the data to form a straight line graph from the data by changing the variable plotted on each axis.

For example:

**Raw data and graph**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>8.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>8.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>9.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is clearly not a straight line graph. The relationship between x and y is not clear.

**Manipulated data and graphs**

A series of different graphs can be drawn from these data. The one that is closest to a straight line is a good candidate for the relationship between x and y.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>√y</th>
<th>y²</th>
<th>y³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This is an idealised set of data to illustrate the point.
The straightest graph is $y^2$ against $x$, suggesting that the relationship between $x$ and $y$ is

$$y^2 \propto x$$
More complex relationships

Graphs can be used to analyse more complex relationships by rearranging the equation into a form similar to \( y=mx+c \).

**Example one**

When water is displaced by an amount \( l \) in a U tube, the time period, \( T \), varies with the following relationship:

\[
T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{l}{2g}}
\]

This could be used to find \( g \), the acceleration due to gravity.

- Take measurements of \( T \) and \( l \).
- Rearrange the equation to become linear:

\[
T^2 = 4\pi^2 \frac{l}{2g}
\]

- Calculate \( T^2 \) for each value of \( l \).
- By re-writing the equation as:

\[
T^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{2g} l
\]

It becomes clear that a graph of \( T^2 \) against \( l \) will be linear with a gradient of \( \frac{4\pi^2}{2g} \).

- Calculate the gradient (\( m \)) by drawing a triangle on the graph.

- Find \( g \) by rearranging the equation \( m = \frac{4\pi^2}{2g} \) into \( g = \frac{4\pi^2}{2m} = \frac{2\pi^2}{m} \).
**Example two**: testing power laws

A relationship is known to be of the form $y = Ax^n$, but $n$ is unknown.

Measurements of $y$ and $x$ are taken.

A graph is plotted with $\log(y)$ plotted against $\log(x)$.

The gradient of this graph will be $n$, with the $y$ intercept $\log(A)$, as $\log(y) = n(\log(x)) + \log(A)$

**Example three**

The equation that relates the rate constant of a reaction to temperature is:

$$k = Ae^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}}$$

This can be rearranged into:

$$\ln(k) = -\frac{E_a}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + \ln(A)$$

So a graph of $\ln(k)$ against $\frac{1}{T}$ should be a straight line, with a gradient of $-\frac{E_a}{R}$ and a $y$-intercept of $\ln(A)$

**Use of mirrors**

It is possible to use mirrors in class to draw lines of best fit. However, mirrors are *not* allowed to be used in exams.
Biological drawing

The purpose of drawing in the teaching of Biology is the development of observational skills. A student must look very closely at a specimen in order to draw it accurately and must have sound knowledge of the component structures in order to choose what to draw and what to omit from the drawing.

Drawings should always be in pencil. Fine detail cannot be represented accurately unless the pencil has a sharp point.

The outlines of any structures should be drawn but there should be no colouring or shading. The relative sizes of the structures drawn should be accurate. Construction lines or frames could be used to solve this problem. If the relative size of any structure has been exaggerated, eg because an actual cell wall was too thin to be able to draw its outline using two pencil lines, a note should be added to the drawing to explain this.

If required, the drawn structures should be labelled, using label lines that do not cross or obscure the drawing, with brief annotations about their functions or interrelationships.

The drawing should have an explanatory title and an indication of the real size of the structures drawn or of the magnification used.

During an AS or A-level Biology course, students are likely to make three types of drawing. These three are:

**Cell drawing**

The purpose of this drawing is to show accurately the components of individual cells observed using an optical microscope. The drawing should be detailed but should not show more than two or three cells.

**Tissue map**

The purpose of a tissue map is to show the location and extent of tissues in an organ or in a whole organism. Cellular detail of any of the tissues should not be shown. Instead, the outline of each tissue should be drawn. This often presents a problem, since cell differentiation is seldom discrete. Students must use their background knowledge and understanding to interpret what they see.

**Body plan**

Following dissection, a morphological drawing should provide a lifelike representation of the main body parts exposed by the dissection.
Common errors in biological drawing

The table below shows errors that commonly occur when students begin to practise drawings of biological material. Each would reduce the value of the drawing and result in loss of credit being awarded. Most result from lack of attention or care and are easily solved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Incorrect</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell outline</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue with too much detail</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossing lines</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanging lines</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over casual</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sketch rather than draw</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Statistical tests in Biology**

During development of the new specification, there was general agreement amongst examiners, teachers and representatives from higher education and industry that there is no value in students calculating test statistics manually as computers are used to carry out calculations in most commercial and academic institutions. Consequently, we expect that wherever possible most students will be encouraged to use electronic devices to calculate test statistics during their classwork.

In written exams, students might be asked to perform simple calculations such as finding a mean value. In written exams, students will not be asked to perform a calculation using a statistical test. Instead, it is important for students to understand how to select a statistical test that is appropriate for given data and to be able interpret the results of such a statistical test. Students could also be asked in a written exam to explain their choices and interpretation. They should also be able to interpret the probability value calculated from such a statistical test in terms of accepting or rejecting a null hypothesis.

Students taking A-level Biology should be familiar with the language of statistics and understand the need to devise random sampling procedures that avoid observer bias.

Students will be expected to be familiar with the following types of statistics.

- **Descriptive statistics** that provide an understanding of the data.
- **Inferential statistics** that enable inferences about a population based on the sample of data that has been collected.

Teachers should decide the best method of teaching students the use of statistical tests. In some circumstances, the full numerical analysis may be appropriate so that students understand the information needed for a particular test. In other circumstances, using computers to carry out the analysis followed by discussion of the findings will be sufficient.

**Descriptive statistics**

At A-level, we usually assume that populations and samples show a normal distribution. This enables students to use a **mean** and **standard deviation of the mean** to describe data.

Students could calculate mean values and their standard deviations during class work but will not be asked to calculate a standard deviation in a written examination. They should appreciate the advantage of using standard deviation in preference to the range of values, the latter being overly influenced by outlying values.

When calculating the mean value from a **sample**, the mean is represented as $\bar{x}$ (pronounced x-bar). It is the sum of all the values, divided by the number of values, ie:

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\Sigma x}{n}.$$
The standard deviation (SD) gives an indication of the spread of values around the mean of those values. It is found using the below formula.

\[ SD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1}} \]

In interpreting the values of standard deviations, students should realise that ± 2 standard deviations from the mean includes over 95% of the data. Whilst not strictly valid, this allows students to use the presence or absence of overlap of the standard deviations of different means as an indication of whether differences in the means are likely to be due to chance.

In addition to the mean, students should be confident in identifying and using the median and mode values of data.

95% Confidence intervals (95% CI): since students will calculate a standard deviation, teachers could introduce them to the standard error of the mean (SE), though this is not a specification requirement. This gives an indication of how close the mean of a sample might be to the mean of the population from which the sample was taken or to the mean of another sample from the same population. It is calculated by dividing the standard deviation of the mean by the square root of the sample size, ie:

\[ SE = \frac{SD}{\sqrt{n}} \]

Since the true population mean ± 1.96 SE will include 95% of the sample means, the standard error enables students to use 95% confidence intervals.

To calculate the 95% confidence interval, we multiply the standard error of each mean by 1.96. Subtracting this value from the mean gives the lower 95% confidence limit and adding it to the sample mean gives the upper 95% confidence limit.

\[ 95\% \ CI = \bar{x} \pm SE \times 1.96 \]

We can use the 95% confidence interval to state that:

• we are 95% confident that the true mean value of the population from which the sample was taken lies between the upper and lower confidence limits
• if the intervals of two calculated means do not overlap, we are 95% confident that these means are different.
Statistical tests

Students should be aware that statistical tests are used to test a theory, known as a hypothesis. Perhaps, counter-intuitively, the hypothesis is usually that there is no difference between the samples being studied, i.e., it is a null hypothesis. The table shows how hypotheses can be turned into null hypotheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Null hypothesis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chickens fed maize supplemented by lipid produce more male offspring than those fed maize alone.</td>
<td>There is no difference between the number of male and female offspring of chickens fed maize supplemented by lipid and those fed maize alone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are fewer slugs in dry areas.</td>
<td>There is no difference between the number of slugs found in wet and dry areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco plants exhibit a higher rate of growth when planted in soil rather than peat.</td>
<td>Tobacco plants do not exhibit a higher rate of growth when planted in soil rather than peat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The incidence of sunburn is associated with the sale of ice cream.</td>
<td>There is no association between the incidence of sunburn and the sale of ice cream.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once we have a null hypothesis, we design an experiment to try to disprove it. Thus, the result of a statistical test either disproves or fails to disprove (supports or fails to support) that null hypothesis; it can never prove a hypothesis to be true.

Significance levels

Given the results of an experiment, we need to know if any difference between the results we predicted from our null hypothesis and those we obtained could be due to chance. If this difference is likely to be due to chance, it is said to be ‘non-significant’ and the null hypothesis cannot be rejected. On the other hand, if this difference is not likely to be due to chance, it is said to be significant and the null hypothesis can be rejected.

Each statistical test is associated with a table which enables us to calculate a significance level.

For convenience, students can assume that if the probability (P) of the difference in their results being due to chance is equal to, or less than, 1 in 20 (P ≤ 0.05), the difference is significant.

Note that students should **not** refer to their results being due to chance (or to their results being significant/insignificant). They should always refer to the differences or associations in their results being due to chance (or differences or associations in their results being significant/insignificant).
Choice of statistical test

No single statistical test is suitable for all data. The mathematical requirements of this Biology specification include three statistical tests: the chi-squared ($\chi^2$) test, the student’s $t$-test and a correlation coefficient. Although students should use data from their practical work to perform calculations using these tests, they will **not** be asked to do so in a written exam. They can, however, be asked to:

- choose which test would be appropriate for given data
- justify their choice of statistical test
- interpret a given probability value in terms of accepting or rejecting a null hypothesis.

The following decision-making flowchart can be used to decide which of the three tests is appropriate for given data. This flowchart will **not** be provided in written examinations.

Flowchart for deciding which statistical test to use

1. **Decide if you are looking for associations between data or differences between samples**
   - **Looking for association?**
     - Yes: Correlation coefficient
     - No: Comparing frequencies?
   - **Comparing frequencies?**
     - Yes: Chi-squared test
     - No: Student’s $t$-test
Further guidance on teaching statistics in Biology

The Department for Education criteria for A-level Biology (GCE AS and A-level subject content for Biology, Chemistry, Physics and Psychology) include the following mathematical skills that are relevant to statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Mathematical skill</th>
<th>Exemplification of mathematical skill in the context of biology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS 1.9</td>
<td>Select and use a statistical test</td>
<td>Students may be tested on their ability to select and use:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• the chi-squared test to test the significance of the difference between observed and expected results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• the student's t-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• the correlation coefficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS 1.10</td>
<td>Understand measures of dispersion, including standard deviation and range</td>
<td>Students may be tested on their ability to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• calculate the standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• understand why standard deviation might be a more useful measure of dispersion for a given set of data, e.g. where there is an outlying result.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The description of these skills has been included verbatim in our specification for AS and A-level Biology. Since these statements are not in bold type, they could all potentially be examined in both AS and A-level papers.

In teaching the AQA Biology AS and A-level specifications, we recommend that ‘the correlation coefficient’ with which students become familiar is Spearman’s rank, as in the legacy specification. Ultimately, though, the choice is left to the teacher. Consequently, if a question in a written test requires students to recognise that a correlation coefficient is the appropriate statistical test to use with given data, the answers ‘Spearman’s rank’, ‘correlation coefficient’ or any named correlation coefficient will be credited.

In written exams, students might be asked to perform simple calculations such as finding a mean value. **Students will not be asked to perform a calculation using a statistical test** (or to calculate the standard deviation of a mean). This policy reflects the recognition by examiners and teachers that the tariff in the legacy ISA and EMPA does not reflect the time spent in performing such calculations. We would expect students to perform such calculations during their class work, however. While teachers might feel there is value in students performing these calculations manually, the use of devices reflects the general agreement in higher education and industry that there is little value in students calculating test statistics manually when computers are used in most commercial and academic institutions. In line with this, we won’t test student ability to use tables of critical values for the three specified statistical tests in exams.

In preparing for written exams, it will be important for students to understand how to select a statistical test appropriate for given data and interpret the results of such a statistical test, in terms of rejection of the null hypothesis if $P \leq 0.05$. Students could also be asked to justify their choices and interpretation.

Although the subject criteria do not differentiate between AS and A-level, AQA papers will expect progression in the understanding of statistical tests in AS and A-level exams.
Traditionally, statistics have been taught as part of the second year of the course. We are confident that the inclusion of statistics in first year (co-teachable AS) can be managed within the class time and that sound understanding by students can be achieved with very little effect on teaching time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In AS exams, students could be expected to:</th>
<th>In A-level exams, students could also be expected to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>formulate a null hypothesis</td>
<td>evaluate the null hypothesis of another investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• for the experiments they perform during their class work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• when given appropriate information, for experiments carried out by others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>devise and justify an appropriate table in which to record their raw data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>devise and justify an appropriate way to represent their processed data graphically</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>select, and justify the selection of, an appropriate statistical test for data they will subsequently collect themselves or data that might be collected by others. The statistical tests are restricted to:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• chi-squared test when the data are categoric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• the student’s t test when comparing the mean values of two sets of data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• a correlation coefficient when examining an association between two sets of data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interpret a given probability value in terms of the probability of the difference between observed data and expected data (chi-squared test), the difference between the means of two samples (student’s t test) or a correlation between two variables (correlation coefficient) being due to chance.</td>
<td>interpret a given probability value in terms of acceptance or rejection of a null hypothesis, using 0.05 as the critical probability value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluate the conclusions from the same data made by another commentator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>show an understanding of ‘degrees of freedom’ so that, when given appropriate information, a student can use a given result of a statistical test to find the correct probability value from an abridged table of values.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teaching statistics at AS

There are many opportunities for students to be introduced to statistical concepts during their AS course. The start of every investigative practical presents an opportunity for students to:

- formulate a null hypothesis that is appropriate for the investigation they will perform, eg ‘temperature (the independent variable) has no effect on the rate of an enzyme-catalysed reaction (the dependent variable)’
- devise an appropriate way to tabulate the raw data they will collect
- devise an appropriate way to represent their processed data graphically.

The following examples show how the choice and justification of appropriate statistical tests could be included in class work during an AS Biology course. Students could also be encouraged to calculate and interpret the result of their chosen statistical test. These are intended only as a guide to areas in which the statistical tests could be used and are not specification requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Opportunities for skills development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1.4.2 Required practical 1</td>
<td>Students could select and use an appropriate statistical test to find the significance of differences in the rates of reaction following use of a continuous variable (eg pH, temperature, enzyme concentration or substrate concentration) or of a discontinuous variable (eg presence and absence of an enzyme inhibitor).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1.1</td>
<td>Students could select and use an appropriate statistical test to find the significance of different mean numbers of a particular organelle (eg mitochondria or chloroplasts) in different types of cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.2 Required practical 2</td>
<td>Students could select and use an appropriate statistical test to find the significance of differences in the number of cells undergoing mitosis at two close, but different, distances from the root tip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.2</td>
<td>Students could select and use an appropriate statistical test to find the significance of a correlation between data about an environmental variable and data about the incidence of a particular lung disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.4.1</td>
<td>Students could select and use an appropriate statistical test to find the significance of a correlation between data about an environmental variable and data about the incidence of a particular cardiovascular disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.4.2</td>
<td>Students could select and use an appropriate statistical test to find the significance of differences in the number of stomata on the upper and lower surfaces of leaves of a single plant species or on the lower surfaces of leaves of different plant species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.4 Required practical 6</td>
<td>Students could select and use an appropriate statistical test to find the significance of differences in the effect of different antibiotics on the growth of a species of bacterium or of a single antibiotic on the growth of more than one species of bacterium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.7</td>
<td>Students could select and use an appropriate statistical test to find the significance of differences in the mean values they have collected or been given.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject specific vocabulary

The language of measurement

The following subject specific vocabulary provides definitions of key terms used in our AS and A-level science specifications. This information is accurate at the time of publication, but see our website for the most up to date subject specific vocabulary.

**Accuracy**
A measurement result is considered accurate if it is judged to be close to the true value.

**Calibration**
Marking a scale on a measuring instrument.

This involves establishing the relationship between indications of a measuring instrument and standard or reference quantity values, which must be applied.

For example, placing a thermometer in melting ice to see whether it reads 0°C, in order to check if it has been calibrated correctly.

**Data**
Information, either qualitative or quantitative, that has been collected.

**Errors**
See also uncertainties.

**Measurement error**
The difference between a measured value and the true value.

**Anomalies**
These are values in a set of results which are judged not to be part of the variation caused by random uncertainty.

**Random error**
These cause readings to be spread about the true value, due to results varying in an unpredictable way from one measurement to the next.

Random errors are present when any measurement is made, and cannot be corrected. The effect of random errors can be reduced by making more measurements and calculating a new mean.

**Systematic error**
These cause readings to differ from the true value by a consistent amount each time a measurement is made.

Sources of systematic error can include the environment, methods of observation or instruments used.

Systematic errors cannot be dealt with by simple repeats. If a systematic error is suspected, the data collection should be repeated using a different technique or a different set of
equipment, and the results compared.

**Zero error**
Any indication that a measuring system gives a false reading when the true value of a measured quantity is zero, e.g., the needle on an ammeter failing to return to zero when no current flows. A zero error may result in a systematic uncertainty.

**Evidence**
Data which has been shown to be valid.

**Fair test**
A fair test is one in which only the independent variable has been allowed to affect the dependent variable.

**Hypothesis**
A proposal intended to explain certain facts or observations.

**Interval**
The quantity between readings, e.g., a set of 11 readings equally spaced over a distance of 1 metre would give an interval of 10 centimetres.

**Precision**
Precise measurements are ones in which there is very little spread about the mean value. Precision depends only on the extent of random errors – it gives no indication of how close results are to the true value.

**Prediction**
A prediction is a statement suggesting what will happen in the future, based on observation, experience or a hypothesis.

**Range**
The maximum and minimum values of the independent or dependent variables; important in ensuring that any pattern is detected.

For example a range of distances may be quoted as either: 'from 10 cm to 50 cm' or 'from 50 cm to 10 cm'.

**Repeatable**
A measurement is repeatable if the original experimenter repeats the investigation using the same method and equipment and obtains the same results.

**Reproducible**
A measurement is reproducible if the investigation is repeated by another person, or by using different equipment or techniques, and the same results are obtained.

**Resolution**
This is the smallest change in the quantity being measured (input) of a measuring instrument that gives a perceptible change in the reading.
**Sketch graph**
A line graph, not necessarily on a grid, that shows the general shape of the relationship between two variables. It will not have any points plotted and although the axes should be labelled they may not be scaled.

**True value**
This is the value that would be obtained in an ideal measurement.

**Uncertainty**
The interval within which the true value can be expected to lie, with a given level of confidence or probability, eg “the temperature is 20°C ± 2°C, at a level of confidence of 95%.

**Validity**
Suitability of the investigative procedure to answer the question being asked. For example, an investigation to find out if the rate of a chemical reaction depended upon the concentration of one of the reactants would not be a valid procedure if the temperature of the reactants was not controlled.

**Valid conclusion**
A conclusion supported by valid data, obtained from an appropriate experimental design and based on sound reasoning.

**Variables**
These are physical, chemical or biological quantities or characteristics.

- **Categoric variables**
  Categoric variables have values that are labels, eg names of plants or types of material.

- **Continuous variables**
  Continuous variables can have values (called a quantity) that can be given a magnitude either by counting (as in the case of the number of shrimp) or by measurement (eg light intensity, flow rate etc).

- **Control variables**
  A control variable is one which may, in addition to the independent variable, affect the outcome of the investigation and therefore has to be kept constant or at least monitored.

- **Dependent variables**
  The dependent variable is the variable of which the value is measured for each and every change in the independent variable.

- **Independent variables**
  The independent variable is the variable for which values are changed or selected by the investigator.

- **Nominal variables**
  A nominal variable is a type of categoric variable where there is no ordering of categories (eg red flowers, pink flowers, blue flowers).
Practical ladders and example experiments

The practical ladders and exemplar experiments can be found in the separate Biology, Chemistry and Physics handbooks which are available on our practical resources page. They are not included in this combined document.

During the development of our A-levels in Biology, Chemistry and Physics, we spoke to hundreds of teachers. Teachers also helped us to decide which practical activities to include in our 12 required practicals for each subject.

Both in development and in our launch meetings, we were asked for full, comprehensive practical instructions. In response, we have included a sample method for each practical on the following pages. These have been prepared so that a reasonably equipped school or college can cover the required activity with their students. It gives one possible version of the experiment that teachers could use. They will help inform planning the time required and ensure schools and colleges have the right equipment. Many are based on existing ISA and EMPA tasks as we know they worked well and that schools and colleges are familiar with them.

Photographs of a set-up of the sample practical methods provided can be found in our mini-guide for each practical, which are available on our practical resources page.

The sample methods should only be seen as a starting point. We do not intend to stifle innovation and would encourage teachers to try different methods. Students will not be examined on the specific practical work exemplified in this section, but on the skills and understanding they build up through their practical work. It is important that students are able to apply these skills and this understanding to novel contexts in written exams. Teachers can vary all experiments to suit their needs.

Using set methods to assess students’ competence for the endorsement

Students who are given a method that is fully developed, with full, clear instructions, will be able to demonstrate some competencies (eg following written instructions), but not others (eg researching and reporting).

We have developed ‘ladders’ which will help you to modify each of the given practicals to allow your students greater freedom to develop and demonstrate these wider practical skills. Each ladder identifies how slight modifications to the way the experiment is presented can change the focus of it and allow students to demonstrate more independence. In turn, they will allow you to be more confident in your judgement of student abilities for the endorsement of practical skills.

Investigation

Students do not need to carry out a full investigation. To achieve the endorsement, teachers must be confident that students can carry out practicals using ‘investigative approaches’. In some practicals, teachers will wish to give full instructions for every stage in the activity. In other activities, teachers will give students some choice over how they carry out the activity, for example choosing the apparatus or the conditions for the experiment. On other occasions, teachers will wish to give students choice over how they analyse the data.

This approach means that students will be able to demonstrate all aspects of investigation over the A-level course without the practical problems associated with a full investigation.
Safety

At all times, the teacher is responsible for safety in the classroom. Teachers should intervene whenever they see unsafe working. Risk assessments should be carried out before working, and advice from CLEAPSS and other organisations should be followed.

It is appropriate to give students at A-level more independence when making decisions about safety. They should be taught how to assess risks and how to write risk assessments when appropriate. They should also understand the appropriate use of safety equipment and how to put measures in place to reduce risks.

To support teachers further, Mary Philpott, Biology Adviser, previously from CLEAPSS, outlines the difference between identification of major hazards, associated risk and control measures and a full risk assessment:

The risk assessment should always be complete, as it is this that prevents injury or ill-health.

The risk assessment is fundamentally the *thinking* that has taken place before and during an activity, so that any foreseeable risk is reduced to a minimum. A written record is necessary only to show that the thinking has taken place.

We tend to get caught up in the paperwork that provides evidence for the risk assessment, but the guidance from the Health and Safety Executive is that the written record should be on a *point-of-use document* and there is no particular form etc that needs to be filled in.

The tables/forms etc that many schools use are simply planning documents that the teachers use to provide the point of use risk assessment for each of their lessons. Incidentally, CLEAPSS members must refer to our current advice when preparing their point-of-use documents.

The student is not responsible for their risk assessment. In a large part, therefore, the student's risk assessment will be that they carry through the safety measures that the teacher has put in place. It is therefore fine if the student makes a note on their point-of-use document that shows they have thought about how to behave safely, and carried it through. The teacher will also be able to record what they have seen in a practical that shows that the student's risk assessment is effective. For example, the student's written risk assessment could be as simple as making notes on a method sheet about where they will put on eye protection or how they will arrange any heating equipment so that there is a minimum risk of scalding or burning themselves or the person next to them.

The teacher's observation notes will refer to whether they have carried out their written plans.

It might help the students to think safely if the teacher gives them a little time at the start of each practical to highlight or make notes about the safety aspects, and a class discussion about safety could show up any safety aspects that perhaps the teacher had not considered.

The students may also note where they have reminded other students about any safety issues.

The teacher should pass the student's CPAC when the students are seen to carry out the safety measures that they have written on their point of use document.

If the students are planning their own practical activities, they could use the safety advice given in the CLEAPSS Student Safety Sheets.

In this case, they could identify hazards, risks and control measures.

In this case, they would make their own point of use document, with the control measures clearly identified.

The teacher would need to check that the risk assessment is adequate before they let the students proceed with the activity.
Appendix: questions from teachers

The following questions were received during our end-of-year webinar: practical skills endorsement – best practice one year in – July 2016, after the first year of the practical endorsement. The answers were provided by Catherine Witter, our Lead Practical Adviser.

CPAC 1

Can you test a large group of students by getting them to do written answers to questions for CPAC 1? Some pupils are expressing concern quietly that they feel like they are being continuously assessed because of the directed questioning - do you have any advice as to how to get around this?

These two questions go together well. To pass CPAC 1, students need to follow the written instructions in the order written, be able to explain the reasons for doing each step and to collect a set of data that would be expected. If they complete some questions that secure independent access to the pass, that would be a good alternative to questioning them during the lesson.

Do you have to have an accompanying checklist for CPAC 1, or is it enough to record what a student did incorrectly?

When assessing students against CPAC 1, they need to be correctly carrying out the method steps in the right order. They also need to be able to explain why they are doing each step and to get a set of data that you would expect. Lots of teachers are choosing to keep a checklist to secure robust evidence against these assessment criteria but we will not ask to see it. Teachers can make records in whatever form they would like to, to allow them to make an accurate assessment.

Is it wrong to do a ‘dry demo’ of a titration and then next lesson students carry out the titration. You go round and ask question and award CPAC 1?

Teaching the general titration technique before expecting students to do it is good teaching, and this is the case before any assessment of CPAC is carried out. Dry demonstrating the practical method steps the lesson before they follow the written instructions is far less acceptable as the students need to independently show you that they can meet the pass standard in CPAC 1. Circulating the laboratory and asking questions as they carry out the practical following written method steps provided will allow you to assess whether they can justify the reasons for carrying out each step. This is one of the assessment criteria for a pass in CPAC 1 and so would be good practice.

Do students have to complete all CPAC 1 for all 12 practicals?

To be endorsed for CPAC 1, your students will have to have consistently and routinely met the pass standard in CPAC 1. This may take three attempts; it may take all 12 attempts or more if you invite them to be assessed on your own level 3 challenge practical work. When you feel strongly, without question, that your students could follow a set of written instructions, justify the reasons for carrying out each step and collect a set of expected data totally independently of you – and when at university in their first year – then you are less likely to want to assess them on CPAC 1. They must be in this position at the end of the course and so only assessing CPAC 1 to this point in Year 12, for example, before stopping would not be recommended.
CPAC 2

You mentioned that writing a method showed mastery of CPAC 1. I thought writing up a method related to CPAC 2 not CPAC 1?

To demonstrate the pass standard for CPAC 2c, students must be able to write a method and determine which variables to change, control and measure.

To demonstrate the pass standard in CPAC 1, students must follow a written set of instructions provided, be able to explain why they are carrying out each step and collect expected data.

If students followed their own written method steps, providing that they have been carefully checked for both safety and to ensure they will generate expected data, that is a greater step towards total independence and hence a mastery of CPAC 1 is being developed.

Many teachers ask us “Is it okay if students plan a method but then I give them one to follow?” Often the technician has not got the capacity (or sometimes the apparatus) to support several different methods being followed each time. Of course, we could support that and students would have access to the pass standard for CPAC 1 and 2c if given this task.

If a student is supposed to write their own method, why are they published by AQA in a handbook?

Writing a method is a skill that students need to develop. Our example practical work will give students access to the apparatus and techniques that they will be examined on. There are a multitude of practical experiments that you could ask your students to write a set of method steps for, to allow them to access this CPAC 2c strand.

Can we assess some CPAC areas for a plan of an investigation (not a required practical) even if the students don’t carry out the practical itself?

Absolutely, yes. Aspects of CPAC 2 require students to be able to plan an investigation and if they do this successfully they would reach the pass standard in CPAC 2c. This can be set as a discrete task, you don’t have to assess any other CPAC against the work they have done unless you choose to.

CPAC 3

For CPAC 3, if a student has broken glassware and not attempted to clean it up, does that mean they have failed for that time?

It is not as clear cut as that. For CPAC 3, students need to be able to identify major hazards, associated risks and control measures. During the practical lesson, they need to be observing the non-negotiable, practical specific safety measures.

Leaving broken glass on the desk or floor without dealing with it will certainly mean that the student has not reached pass standard on that occasion but will hopefully have partially met it. We would also like to think that teachers would report progress to students as them ‘not having shown evidence towards the pass on this occasion’ rather than the much stronger, negative word fail.
Is it physics' fault if students complete CPAC 3 on a simple and safe experiment - they can still carry out a full risk assessment sheet - it may just be limited?

Some practicals are much better vehicles to assess CPAC 3 as they present a greater challenge to students when identifying the major hazards, associated risks and control measures. There are practicals in physics that are more suitable. This includes required practicals 1, 2, 5 and 12 amongst others. All CPAC do not have to be assessed during every practical.

Is 3a about planning and identifying the hazards and risks, and 3b about the ‘doing’?

Yes.

I showed my class a video for the required practical where they make cyclohexene as we do not have enough fume cupboards. I assessed them based on their risk assessment and planning, is this okay despite them not carrying it out themselves?

Assessing CPAC 3a in this way is absolutely fine for this practical although your students do need to complete a practical involving simple distillation. The cyclohexene preparation is a very routine example of how this technique can be used and refers to some important theory, which is why we have chosen it, but there are others. Please note that required practicals 5a or 5b can be used as alternatives as they both involve the use of simple distillation.

CPAC 4

When assessing CPAC 4, you’ve said ‘full headings’ are required - is it sufficient to write, for example, “T/s” in Physics as a heading for time period measured in seconds? This was always the preferred method for the old ISAs when standard symbols were used. Would abbreviations include ‘V’ in place of voltage etc?

There is a section in our practical handbooks to support teachers and their students to keep a record of data whilst carrying out practical work.

Does ‘biological drawing’ relate to CPAC 4?

Yes, this is a way of recording qualitative data. Please see one the ‘Biological drawings guidance (CLEAPSS)’ downloadable attachments on our A-level practicals page for support from CLEAPSS if that would be helpful in your own teaching.

We couldn’t get good results for Physics practical 4 (Young modulus) despite ordering two new sets of apparatus. Is it okay for pupils to have poor results and assess that data and still get credit?

Some of the Biology practicals have not worked through no fault of the students. Can we still assess them on a practical that did not give the expected results?

To meet the pass standard for CPAC 4, students need to be able to design a suitable data table and record their data on collection. If the data was poor but is what you as their teacher would expect (as you also collected similar data) the students should not be penalised. If they then go on to process that data to formulate a conclusion, it would be a good exercise to then do some research and evaluate their data against a secondary source. This would open access to CPAC 5 in a very meaningful way.
Students had previously carried out individual test-tube reactions on individual chemicals and were asked to record observations on an unknown, but they could not record all observations and they could not identify the unknown. Can I award CPAC4?

From this information we would suggest they have not met the pass standard in CPAC 4. However, if they have carried out the chemical tests correctly after following a set of written instructions to get a set of data that you would expect, even if they could not independently record it, you may wish to give them credit for meeting the pass standard in CPAC 1 through this practical experience.

Is it still acceptable for students to record data in tables CPAC 4b to the same number of decimal places or do they have to record to same number of significant figures?

When recording data, students should record data to the correct resolution of the apparatus that they have used to collect that data. For example, a titration would be expected to be collected to two decimal places.

We were informed by AQA that practicals involving fume hoods could include demonstrations by the teacher (rather than having each pupil in a class taking a turn at a fume hood). If the pupils record observations, is this correct?

Could the pupils use their research to inform how the teacher should best carry out the demonstration etc? Would this pass the CPAC?

If you are only assessing CPAC 4 then this approach would be fine. If you had chosen to assess CPAC 1, demonstrations would clearly not be acceptable in the same lesson unless the student was struggling to meet the pass standard and you wanted to use demonstration at the time to improve their technique.

For the second part of your question I recommend using this research towards evidencing CPAC 3 and 5. Students could identify major hazards and associated risks to inform you how to carry out a safe demonstration. Their research could then be referenced fully.

Is it appropriate (due to lack of equipment) for individual or pairs of students to make one measurement (eg count rate at one distance) and then combine all results to get class set? (We only have one GM tube and gamma source).

If you can extend that to allow each individual to make a set of repeats of the same measurement, to allow them to independently collect and record a set of data, that would be more in keeping with the expected pass standard.

CPAC 5

In CPAC 5: "sources of information are cited demonstrating that research has taken place supporting planning and conclusions". This seemed to imply that it will inform the practical they are doing rather than "inform further practical work". Is it the latter?

The research element of CPAC 5 is to enable students to inform their past, current or future practical work. The potential for research use is large and spans: whether it is to support method planning, the apparatus to use, to compare the data collected to a secondary source or to support a conclusion or practical evaluation.
Students should be taught how to research most effectively and how to reference their sources to enable the source to be found again. Students should also have an understanding of the reliability of that resource of course as has been standard at GCSE level.

Do students need to use a system for referencing? Our school asks for Harvard system across the board. If pupils reference but not use Harvard what would I mark them as?

Our CPAC student pen portraits and online practical endorsement training show that the successful use of the Harvard system for example, would demonstrate mastery in this element of CPAC 5. If they did not do this but referenced the sources well to allow them to be found again, they would be meeting the pass standard.

If they research a method and it is not feasible to do (ie not the one they would use in class) would they still pass that CPAC?

Do they have to carry out their plan or can they be given a pre-prepared plan AFTER the research phase and still get a positive assessment?

Successful research to inform current or future practical work or to support evaluation of practical work has been done and, if referenced correctly, can be used for the assessment of CPAC 5. It is likely that teachers will give a written method of their own to follow for CPAC 1. This is absolutely fine as it is very likely that students will have chosen to use apparatus that may not be available in a routine school laboratory.

I marked a titration practical and gave feedback. Referencing was not as good as I wanted. They asked if they could redo the write-up after feedback. Can I still award CPAC 5 for the second draft or is it only the first draft that counts?

Students will have plenty of opportunity to access a pass on each of the CPAC areas as they go through the course. The first draft will count, the students concerned will have partially met CPAC 5, but they will have also understood what you require for referencing when they next meet some research work.

To meet the ‘pass’ standard, students must independently meet it consistently and routinely across all five competency areas by the end of the course. A second draft as described would mean that they hadn’t independently achieved the CPAC 5b pass standard.

On CPAC 5 it says ‘Uses appropriate software and/or tools to process data and report findings’. Must they use software to pass this, or would drawing graphs by hand, using calculators to perform calculations suffice?

A calculator is a tool and so, to gain evidence towards the pass for CPAC 5 this would suffice. However all the apparatus and techniques in the specification must be covered to ensure that your students have full access to the exam questions and so, if data can be collected and processed using dataloggers or Excel for example, that will be a good experience for your students to have.
For the award of CPAC 5, is it okay for students to write up instructions for testing for ions after having carried out the experiments?

If you are assessing CPAC 2, planning a method, then the way that you have described has not given them independent access to the task in hand as they have simply copied out the instructions given. They would not pass CPAC 5 on this occasion.

CPAC 5, Researches, references and reports involves data processing and referencing in addition to providing a structured report of what a student has done.

General queries

What level of detail is required in terms of marking the key practical assessments to show evidence that students have met the required competency standards?

Visiting advisers do not expect to see feedback given in any particular way. The majority of teachers are however using written feedback to communicate to their students how they might improve against the pass assessment criteria for any particular CPAC.

The adviser will be quality assuring teacher assessment of CPAC during their visit. If there is no feedback in student lab book records, it will be necessary for the adviser to question the subject teachers to ensure they can assess student work correctly.

Have schools used a standard proforma for marking and assessment of student practical work?

No. We will not be providing one as the online practical endorsement training clearly outlines what the pass standard looks like for all five CPAC areas. If you look on the website, you will find a teacher checklist that we have written, to crystallise the assessment criteria for pass. Teachers will then use those as guidance to think through in advance how the practical they are delivering will allow student access to those criteria.

We have provided an example set of 12 required practicals that together will ensure that students access all the apparatus and techniques they will need to be able to answer the practical questions in the written exams. Providing common proformas that all teachers mark to will, in effect, mean that we have gone backwards as teachers will then see them as 12 controlled assessments.

Teachers have the flexibility to assess CPAC as often as they want to, through any practical work of appropriate challenge they wish to. When teachers have fully grasped the key messages from the online training they will be in a position to assess CPAC accurately without the need for prescriptive mark schemes.

When two worksheets are given in the practical handbook for a required practical, for example 5a and 5b in Chemistry, do we need to do both, or is only one is enough? With my group, I only did 5b which required more skills compared to 5a.

In this case, 5a or 5b are optional, you were right to choose only one as they both gave the students the opportunity to experience simple distillation. You do need to ensure that you have covered the theory associated with both practical schedules.

However, required practicals 7 and 10 in Chemistry also each contain parts a and b. In the case of required practicals 7 and 10, these are not optional as they cover different apparatus and techniques and so students must complete practical work that covers those apparatus and techniques.
Will we have to send off the practical books to the AO?
Your monitoring visit is to quality assure teacher assessment of CPAC. Once we are confident that the assessment criteria can be applied accurately you can endorse your students at the end of Year 13 without providing further evidence.

What are the most common reasons why schools have not been endorsed?
Approximately 10% of schools and colleges who have already had their first monitoring visit require a second visit. Most commonly that is because subject teachers have not completed the necessary training to be able to apply the CPAC assessment criteria accurately. Tracking progress inaccurately is also a common reason.

Teachers are currently working very hard during the planning stage to enable students to access CPAC assessment regularly to enable students to eventually be able to ‘consistently and routinely’ meet the pass standard. Therefore if the planning documentation is not in place, more correspondence between the adviser and lead teacher will be required before the written report is finalised.

Do we notify AQA via e-AQA of the pass/not classified?
Yes. The final date for reporting this to AQA is 15 May each academic year.

Can students pass the A-level if they are 'not classified' in the practical skills endorsement?
Students will get a certificate in the A-level if they achieve a grade E or above overall. If they pass the practical skills endorsement and fail to achieve a grade, they will not receive a certificate. Students can therefore pass the A-level grades A*–E if they are given a non-classified report in their practical skills.

What is the consequence for getting a ‘not classified’? What are the consequences of failing our AQA practical audit for pupils?
Higher education admissions tutors were a strong voice in A-level reform. Over time, AQA expects the practical endorsement reported to be a significant part of the student offer as universities get to grips with the changes to practical work.

We feel strongly that upwards of 95% of all students taking A-level sciences should be able to reach the pass standard and so pass the practical skills endorsement. Good science teachers will give their students many opportunities to hone their skills to ensure they can demonstrate them routinely and consistently.

Ofqual also plans to do some research. They will then be able to measure the impact of the changes to practical work assessment in the reformed specifications.

May the requirement of CPAC grades be classified in Distinction, Merit, Pass or Fail?
The only two ways of reporting the practical skills endorsement are ‘pass’ or ‘non-classified’. Most teachers will encourage their students to demonstrate a mastery of the five CPAC however.
One of my students has missed their Biology TLC practical. We are also doing TLC in Chemistry - can they use evidence from the Chemistry practical for the Biology endorsement?

We agree that the TLC technique is the same regardless of subject. Please be aware that students often find applying their knowledge difficult, so it may be best to encourage a catch-up opportunity.

What is the best support we can give to our Chemistry technician who will have to do all practicals for A-levels and has no experience of A-level Biology or Physics?

We have recently surveyed a large number of science technicians who support teachers delivering A-level science. We suggest that you give them a copy of the practical handbook for each subject, where they will find technician notes. They may also contact one of our technician advisers directly.

If they are not already a member of a technician forum, that might be something to consider. Many technicians tap into a support network every day to share best practice.

If we are only assessing 6–8 students in a lesson and only assessing one or two CPACs at a time and only tracking progress of the required practicals, there is a worry that we will not be able to get through all students and all CPACs isn’t there?

Yes. For schools and colleges who plan only to deliver the minimum number of required practicals, detailed in our practical handbooks, this is a risk if the approach in the question is taken.

Assessment of CPAC needs to be robust however and manageable in the practical lesson time if teachers are assessing CPAC 1,2a, 2b, 2d, 3b and 4.

Teachers who adopt this approach are therefore utilising homework and testing well to enable CPAC assessment. Many teachers are recycling legacy ISA and EMPA questions that fit with CPAC assessment and using those.

I thought the verbal feedback stamp was out of date and frowned upon. Verbal feedback is usually always given. Surely a stamp does not confirm this? This seems to be here to tick certain boxes for school marking policy.

Feedback can be given to students in many ways and teachers will take the approach no doubt that is most beneficial to their own students and the progress they make against the CPAC.

Can students annotate their instruction sheets?

Often this is a good way of assessing CPAC 2b. When students are carrying out their written instructions, they may identify ways to adjust the method slightly to enable more accurate data to be collected. If CPAC 1 is the focus of assessment then students can only access the pass standard if they have followed the written instructions independently, and so annotation reflecting whole class support prior to the start of the practical would clearly not be conducive.

Can’t we assess the 5 CPACs in each practical? Do you think an average student can meet all the CPAC standards in just the required practical work?

If teacher plans reflect a rigorous assessment of each CPAC area before the end of the course then we would support completion of the minimum 12 required practicals as enough to allow students to reach a pass in the endorsement.
All five CPAC can be assessed in a single practical experience yes, but it is unlikely that it would be possible in the practical lesson time alone, even for a handful of students. Using homework and test questions and time, maybe a lesson before and/or after the practical lesson itself, would allow teachers to plan specific activities through which all five competencies could be assessed.

When will the new tracker be available?
Our new trackers are available on our website now.

We have had an email saying an adviser is coming for our Biology A-level, but have not had communication regarding Chemistry. Will this be done on the same visit?

If we have already had a monitoring visit, would we get another one this year?
Small centres, where each subject has fewer than 140 entries, have one visit to one subject for each exam series.
If successful, all three subjects at the school or college can endorse their students by 15 May of the year of A-level entry.

How will you manage the monitoring visits to overseas schools such as ours?
All international schools and colleges who offer our qualifications worldwide will be contacted to arrange monitoring of their lesson, teacher records and student records.

Is a Physics example lab book on the AQA website? Is there anywhere centrally we can access each practical proforma already planned out like the one in worksheet 1 to save us all doing these?
This is not the type of resource we typically produce, but on the practical website page you will find the webinar recording, slides and a number of other useful resources.
The required practicals in our specifications are suggested practicals that incorporate the apparatus and techniques that students will be examined on. We aim to keep practical work at A-level very open and so will not be creating or sharing a set of materials bespoke to each of the 12 practicals.

Do you have additional support for teachers outside subject specialism? Do we have dates for the courses yet? Where can we find them?
We have run a number of very successful courses in Manchester and London. These courses are aimed at offering teachers the opportunity to carry out the 12 required practicals for themselves with guidance about how to integrate CPAC assessment.
You will find details in the science section of the CPD area of our website.

Are you allowed to discuss which CPAC students need to work on more over the two-year course?
Many teachers are sharing the tracking documents with their students to inform which CPAC needs more work if they are to reach the pass standard and their practical skills be endorsed at the
end of year 13. We have seen many students tracking their own progress, interacting with teacher written feedback after practical work has taken place.

**How do we carry out the distillation of ethanal practical given the recent safety concerns? We only have three fume cupboards.**

Our required practicals are only suggestions. We have worked hard to incorporate the apparatus and techniques for teachers but recognise that teachers may wish to choose an alternative practical that incorporates simple distillation. Practicals 5a or 5b are alternatives as they both include simple distillation, so only one needs to be completed. However, these are not the only options.

**During the monitoring visit, do we have to show an endorsed practical?**

During the visit, your adviser will need to see students doing some practical work. It can be any practical work of level 3 challenge; it does not need to be one of our 12 required practicals. The purpose of the visit is to quality assure teacher assessment and so it might be helpful to assess some of the CPAC criteria whilst teaching the lesson, although this is not compulsory.

**Please could you advise how I can access the compulsory Lead Teacher online training? Do all teachers have to do the CPAC training and get their own certificate?**

The [practical page of our website](#) signposts all the help that you will need to deliver the A-level practical work and endorsement of your students. Our Lead Teacher training is only compulsory for the Lead Teacher as indicated, but in our experience many teachers are completing the training. Many technicians are also completing it.

**Do students need to complete a full write up for each practical?**

That is entirely up to you and depends on what you require from the exercise. You may have assessed one of the competency areas and just need evidence for that, for example?

All the required practicals can be assessed through exams and so should be written up in a form that students can revise from.

**If a student drops Physics, ie not studying in Year 13, do we need their documents for any reason?**

The CPAC are generic across all three sciences and so if this applies we would recommend passing their work across to their other science teachers to provide extra evidence.

You will no longer need to keep your own records of the CPAC progress in Physics if they are not likely to take it any further or move to another establishment to study it.

**Will the AQA examiner be looking at OCR courses at the centre? For example my Physics course is AQA, but Chemistry and Biology are OCR.**

The AQA adviser, if allocated an AQA subject visit by JCQ, will only monitor the work done by teachers and students in that specific subject.
Do you have any tips to improve consistency of approach across the department?

There are many subject teams that have a large number of teachers and there are strategies they are using to secure strong quality assurance. We would advise discussing the practical work first as a team, deciding which CPAC might be more suitable to assess in each one, then collectively deciding on the assessment criteria you would be looking for uniformly.

Lots of teachers are using checklists to help them to do this but we would not be asking to see them during a monitoring visit.

**CPAC5a and CPAC5b could be assessed in different experiments. How would that be recorded in the tracker?**

Our sample endorsement trackers have a tab for each of the required practicals and there are also tabs to record teacher assessments after other practical work has been completed.

Holistically, as long as the ‘pass’ standard has been met in CPAC 5 consistently and routinely, the student can be endorsed in CPAC 5.

**With Physics there are some practicals that require equipment we cannot afford to buy as class sets. How can we assess pupils for the CPACs for this?**

We understand that equipment, particularly in Physics, can be costly. Students must be able to demonstrate the five competencies independently to be endorsed and many schools and colleges are using a carousel in practical Physics lessons for example to ensure this can happen with limited apparatus.

**One of our students has transferred to us from another college which also used AQA. What are our responsibilities concerning CPACs?**

As the second centre, you have full responsibility for the assessment of CPAC and the endorsement of this student’s practical skills. They must be assessed at ‘pass’ standard consistently and routinely across all five CPAC areas and so in Year 13 it is important that he or she has many opportunities to demonstrate this to you.

I recognise that this is difficult and potentially very time consuming and so I recommend contacting the previous college and asking for any related documentation to be passed across to you. The student file with their AS work will also be a useful starting point for evidence of CPAC 4 and 5 for example.

**You said many schools are doing more than 12 minimum practicals. I am struggling to fit in the 'official' practicals (recommended ones) but can I also include any other practicals?**

Absolutely. The CPAC can be assessed through any level 3 challenge practical work as well as through the minimum 12 required practicals. Many schools and colleges are doing more to allow the teaching of practical technique or access to new apparatus (for example) before assessing students on the use of them.

It is also likely that some of your students will need a few more attempts at demonstrating a competency area before they are routinely and consistently reaching the expected pass standard.
For our visit next year, would you expect to see the work of students who are not continuing onto the full A-level?

The endorsement of practical skills happens at the end of Year 13 and so we would not need to see the work of any students who have chosen not to continue with the subject. During your visit a sample of work will be chosen from all students who are in Year 12 or 13. Your adviser will explain how we do this when they make contact with you.

We have class sizes of around 22. I would be interested in any approaches to carrying out necessary discussions with students during lesson time.

Perhaps consider only assessing one student in each pair, for example, during the lesson or if your lesson time is short, only a third of the class on any one occasion. This will depend on how many practicals you plan to do over the duration of the course.

Students need to be able to explain the reasons for carrying out each step as they do a practical if you are assessing CPAC 1. Using an associated homework or test question to allow them to do this may also be a valuable way of assessing numbers of students at any one time and we understand that this may be your preference.

If a student has achieved a CPAC during that particular practical, but not often enough for it to be ‘consistently and routinely’ - would this appear as green or amber on the endorsement tracker you’ve provided?

The trackers are optional resources, not required documentation. There is a practical tab for each of the required practicals and if you are using these over time, you might expect the student to meet the pass standard in each CPAC more regularly. In other words, moving through the tabs if the colour green is seen for CPAC 1 more often than not, the students is consistently and routinely meeting the pass standard.

If you are using just one sheet to record all progress made towards the pass standard in CPAC 1, you will be moving from red to amber through to green as you assess students are meeting the pass standard more and more over time. Through your records you make a note of how many times that you have given them access to each competency.

Can I tell students exactly what I will be looking for in order for them to pass a criterion before the practical?

This is common. It is perfectly acceptable to ‘scaffold’ a task to allow them to access the assessment criteria for ‘pass’. Over time, the withdrawal of that scaffolding will allow students to become independent, which is indicative of a mastery of the competency area.

If a student doesn’t meet the criteria for a particular part of a given CPAC, does this mean they fail their A-level? Also, if they do not meet the criteria for a given CPAC, are they allowed to repeat the practical?

Each student must meet the pass standard in all parts of all five CPAC, consistently and routinely, before the end of the course in order for you to endorse their practical skills.

Repeating a practical will not stretch or challenge a student but if students are struggling to meet the pass in CPAC 1 for example, access to another level 3 practical would allow them to demonstrate their ability to follow a set of written instructions. This would be the next step.
Will centres have the checklist that monitors have for their visit?

All the paperwork our advisers use to support their visit can be found on the practicals page on our website. Most of this is also emailed out to the lead teacher when the adviser makes first contact.

I visited a school last week who said they had a record of the practicals and just ticks in the books. They were told more feedback was needed from the teachers. I’m feeling confused with the level of feedback you want us to give.

The adviser who carries out your visit will look at a sample of student lab book records and will be able to see if the pass standard has been reached across the CPAC areas. This is more difficult for the quality assurance of CPAC 1, 2a, 2b, 2d, 3b without teacher feedback as they are competencies that are assessed during a lesson as students manipulate apparatus, work safely etc.

Feedback can be given in many forms and is essentially for the student to make progress. If the adviser finds their quality assurance exercise difficult, with the absence of feedback to students, they will question teachers on assessment criteria. We will support you as much as you need to become fully fluent with all the CPAC assessment criteria both through our online training and other associated resources that you will find on our practical page of the website.

We were told that a hardback lab book was most appropriate during our monitoring visit. Can we continue to use exercise books or should we buy new ones?

Hard backed books are more resilient to everyday use and are most portable over time but are not the only way to correctly house practical work.

If a student completes a practical but does an incorrect calculation, can they still be awarded a CPAC? Also, if students are given an opportunity to correct the calculation is that work no longer acceptable for CPAC?

This depends on what you have chosen to assess as only CPAC 5 involves calculation work and data processing. CPAC 1, for example, assesses a student’s ability to follow a set of written instructions and so, in this case your student could still access ‘pass’ if they collected an expected set of data.

If your students were given help after their first attempt at a calculation and you regard it as too much help for them to independently correct the calculation, then it may be a partial pass if most but not all of the calculation steps were carried out correctly.

For A-level Physics practical 12 (inverse square law for gamma radiation). Can I use UV Photo diode instead of gamma? A class set is cheaper to get than gamma. It works really well.

For a student to be awarded the practical endorsement, he or she must gain experience in all of the apparatus and techniques in the specification. This is a compulsory part of the full A-level course. AT I demands the use of ionising radiation, including detectors. It is therefore a requirement for the full A-level. Additionally, one of the required practicals is an investigation of the inverse-square law for gamma radiation, so we would expect students to be familiar with that particular experiment and its underlying principles.
Using a UV photodiode or an LDR would reinforce general skills in the inverse square law, but by itself, it is not a suitable replacement for AT I or Practical 12 as students are not gaining experience of using a source of ionising radiation.

It is clear that students must have, either individually or in a group, hands-on experience of the use of ionising radiation including the use of detectors. This can be achieved using simple domestic equipment that emits ionising radiation. Such equipment could consist of thorium-coated gas mantles or commercial smoke detectors of the type that include an alpha emitter.

In terms of a follow-up investigation, this could include a practical simulation involving radiation from the electromagnetic spectrum other than gamma radiation. It could also include spreadsheet analysis of raw data obtained from a gamma experiment, but which had not necessarily been obtained within the centre itself.
Get help and support

Visit our website for information, guidance, support and resources at aqa.org.uk

You can talk directly to the Science subject team

E: AlevelsScience@aqa.org.uk
T: 01483 477 756