



A-LEVEL

FURTHER MATHEMATICS

MS03 Statistics 3
Report on the Examination

6360
June 17

Version: 1.0

Further copies of this Report are available from aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2017 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

General

Almost all students sitting this paper were well versed in the topics examined. As a result, very few indeed achieved marks that did not warrant a grade, whereas a significant proportion achieved marks worthy of the highest grade. It was pleasing to see adequate working for final answers so the awarding of zero marks for unsubstantiated answers was a very rare event.

Question 1

This proved to be an initial confidence booster for most students with most scoring full marks. A small minority of students lost a couple of marks through omitting the factor of '2' when stating a formula for the confidence interval width or using an incorrect z -value.

Question 2

Again most students score full marks by stating "random" and then calculating the correct limits for the confidence interval. The usual rare error was to use other than $\sqrt{\frac{0.175 \times 0.825}{400}}$ for the estimated standard error of \hat{p} .

Question 3

In part **(a)**, some students ignored "Use an exact test to ..." and so attempted a normal test so losing most, if not all, of the available marks. In part **(b)**, there were many correct solutions with the straightforward $z = \frac{348 - 400}{\sqrt{400}}$ more popular than the equivalent $z = \frac{6.96 - 8}{\sqrt{8/50}}$. Such is the power of modern calculators that one or two students actually quoted the value for $P(X \leq 480 | \lambda = 400)$; something not prohibited by the question's wording.

Question 4

It was gratifying to see the large proportion of students who first drew a tree diagram or less frequently constructed a 2-way table; something encouraged in previous reports. As a result, all these students, and some others, scored highly in parts **(a)**, **(b)** and **(c)**.

Part **(d)**, which involved the double "does not", proved more challenging, particularly for those students working directly from the information provided.

Question 5

The overall standard of answers here showed a marked improvement over that achieved on similar questions in previous series. Thus it was not unusual to see a student score full marks. Where marks were lost, it was usually for premature approximation or, in part **(b)**, for using correlation instead of covariance.

Question 6

In part **(a)**, most students were clearly aware of what was needed but some derivations lacked sufficient clarity or accuracy.

Similarly in part **(b)**, similar uncertainties were in evidence when proving that $E(Y(Y-1)) = \lambda^2$.

A few students took the time and trouble to show that $E(Y) = \lambda$, this despite the result been given in the question.

Almost all students correctly used $B(50, 0.005)$ in part **(c)(i)**, and $Po(1.25)$ in part **(c)(ii)**, although, in the latter, worthless attempts at a normal approximation was seen. In part **(c)(iii)**, most students realised that a normal approximation was needed but many used $N(250, 250)$ instead of $N(250, 248.75)$ and/or omitted the continuity correction. A minority used $Po(250)$ for 3 marks.

Question 7

In part **(a)**, answers were much improved with an award of full marks not at all unusual. A minority of students lost marks for:

- using \bar{X} and \bar{Y} in hypotheses;
- calculating biased estimates of variances;
- pooling variances for a t -statistic; incorrect here as $\sigma_X^2 \neq \sigma_Y^2$.

In part **(b)**, students were required to evaluate their expressions to more than two decimal places and not just state “= 1.68”. Students are now much better at calculating the power, or Type II error, of a test with the result that many completed the paper with 4/4 marks.

Use of statistics

Statistics used in this report may be taken from incomplete processing data. However, this data still gives a true account on how students have performed for each question.

Mark Ranges and Award of Grades

Grade boundaries and cumulative percentage grades are available on the [Results Statistics](#) page of the AQA Website.

Converting Marks into UMS marks

Convert raw marks into Uniform Mark Scale (UMS) marks by using the link below.
[UMS conversion calculator](#)