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| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | continuous |  | B1 |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 (a) | James' sample size is too small/ Kia's sample size is better <br> James' method is more biased as all at a bus stop <br> Kia's method is better as she uses more than one day <br> James' method is quicker/cheaper <br> Kia's method uses random sampling whereas James' method uses cluster sampling | B2 | B1 each correct comparison |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Two comments about the same aspect of the collection is B1 only eg <br> James' method is quicker <br> and <br> Kia's method is more time-consuming |  |  | B1 |
|  | James method is more biased |  |  | B0 |
|  | James asks less people |  |  | B1 |
|  | James only asks people at a bus stop |  |  | B1 |
|  | James asks people at a bus stop |  |  | B0 |
|  | James only asks 10 people |  |  | B0 |
|  | Accept convenience or opportunity for cluster |  |  |  |
|  | Allow two comparisons in one answer space |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore incorrect statements if non-contradictory |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(b) | 20, 8, 2 or 19, 8, 3 or 19, 9, 2 | B2 | B1 19, 8, 2 <br> or <br> B1 $\frac{84}{130} \times 30$ or $19.4 \ldots$ <br> or $\frac{36}{130} \times 30$ or $8.3 \ldots$ <br> or $\frac{10}{130} \times 30$ or $2.3 \ldots$ |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | $9,45,66,74,78,80$ B1 <br>  Fully correct cumulative frequency <br> graph joined with lines or smooth <br> curve <br> 3(a)  | implied by correct heights <br> allow one cumulative addition error |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3(b) | Correct reading for cf of 16 from their increasing graph | B2ft | allow 11900 or 11944 from interpolation B1 $0.2 \times 80$ or 16 or correct ft reading for their 16 for an increasing graph |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | If an increasing histogram is drawn then only the B1 for 16 is available |  |  |  |
|  | Use of 16 may be implied from a mark at 16 on the vertical axis $\pm 1 / 2$ square |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3(c) | Alternative method 1 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & (80-\text { their } 50) \div 80(\times 100) \\ & \text { or } \\ & [0.37,0.38] \times 100) \end{aligned}$ | M1 | their 50 must be reading at 22000 for an increasing graph $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
|  | [37, 38] | A1ft | ft their 50 which may be ro nearest integer | ded to |
|  | Alternative method 2 |  |  |  |
|  | $\left(\frac{8}{10} \times 21+8+4+2\right) \div 80(\times 100)$ <br> or $30.8 \div 80(\times 100)$ <br> or $31 \div 80(\times 100)$ <br> or $[0.385,0.39](\times 100)$ | M1 | oe <br> for $\frac{8}{10} \times 21$ allow rounding |  |
|  | [38.5, 39] | A1 |  |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | If a cumulative histogram is drawn in part a) then there must be a vertical line up from £22000 to show where they are taking their reading |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Makes assumption about number of pupils in the school <br> eg 1000 | B1 | allow 500 to 2500 <br> oe <br> eg 5 or 7 year groups with 300 students in each |  |
|  | Makes an assumption about proportion that have school meals eg 80\% | B1 | allow $20 \%$ to $80 \%$ <br> oe <br> eg 300 in each year group of which 200 have school meals <br> may include staff |  |
|  | Makes an assumption about the number of school days in a year eg 190 | B1 | allow 150 to 252 days <br> or 5 or 6 days a week for 30-42 weeks per year |  |
|  | Works out their percentage $\times$ their number of pupils $\times$ their days in a school year eg $1000 \times 0.8 \times 190$ | M1 |  |  |
|  | Accurate answer for their calculations | A1ft | ft their assumptions |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | For the final 2 marks they may use numbers outside the allowed ranges. <br> Example $100 \times 0.2 \times 365=7300$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { B0B1B0M1 } \\ \text { A1ft } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |



| Q | Answer | Mark |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(36000-26575) \times 0.09$ or 848.25 | M1 | oe repayment in year 1 |  |
|  | $23700 \text { - their } 848.25$ <br> or 22851.75 | M1 | amount owing on 31 August 2021 before interest added |  |
|  | their $22851.75 \times 1.034$ or 23628.71 or 23628.70 | M1 | oe amount owing 1 September 2021 their 22851.75 can be 23700 but cannot be 848.25 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & {[(37000)-(26575)] \times 0.09} \\ & \text { or } \\ & 938.25 \end{aligned}$ | M1 | new annual repayment |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (their } 23628.71 \text { - their } 938.25) \times 1.034 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 22690.46 \times 1.034 \end{aligned}$ | M1 | oe |  |
| 6 | 23461.92 or 23461.93 or 23461.94 | A1 | SC3 23523.65 or 23523.66 |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Adding the interest before deducting the payments can gain up to M3$\begin{aligned} & \text { eg }(36000-26575) \times 0.09=848.25 \\ & \quad 23700 \times 1.034=24505.80 \\ & 24505.80-848.25=23657.55 \\ & {[(37000)-(26575)] \times 0.09=938.25} \\ & 23657.55 \times 1.034-938.25=23523.66 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { M1 } \\ \text { M1 } \\ \text { M0 } \\ \text { M1 } \\ \text { M0A0 } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Adding interest to the payment(s) can gain up to M3$\begin{aligned} & \text { eg }(36000-26575) \times 0.09=848.25 \\ & \quad 848.25 \times 1.034=877.09 \\ & 23700-877.09=22822.91 \\ & \quad[(37000)-(26575)] \times 0.09=938.25 \\ & 22822.91-(938.25 \times 1.034)=21852.76 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { M1 } \\ \text { M0 } \\ \text { M1 } \\ \text { M1 } \\ \text { M0A0 } \end{gathered}$ |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7(a) | Alternative method 1 |  |  |
|  | Works out a possible area for a 4-bedroom house $\text { eg } 10 \times 20$ <br> or $11.5 \times 29$ <br> or $[200,335]$ | M1 |  |
|  | 3-bedroom plot assumption of area that is less than their area for 4-bedroom plot eg $300 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | B1 |  |
|  | 2-bedroom plot assumption of area that is less than their assumption for the 3-bedroom plot eg $250 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | B1 |  |
|  | States an approximation for the length and width of the road | B1 | pavement must be included length must be between 800 m and 1200 m and width $5.5+2 \times 1.35$ or $5.5+2 \times 2$ |
|  | Their length of road $\times$ their width of road $\text { eg } 800 \times 9.5=7600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> or <br> 0.76 hectares | M1 | their length and width can be any values |
|  | Works out plot used for 2-bedroom houses <br> eg <br> $0.25 \times 20$ or 5 <br> or $0.25 \times(20-$ their amount for roads) <br> eg $0.25 \times(20-0.76)=4.81$ hectares <br> or $48100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | M1 | accept rounding to 5 hectares <br> 2-bedroom can be $25 \%$ of total plot or $25 \%$ of plot - roads |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7(a) <br> cont'd | Assumption of proportional split between 3 and 4-bedroom houses <br> eg (20 - their area for roads - their area for 2-bed houses) $\div 2$ <br> eg $(20-0.76-4.81) \div 2=7.215$ | B1 | allow rounding |
|  | Calculate number of houses for one of their three areas <br> 2-bedroom <br> eg $48100 \div 250=192$ <br> or <br> 3-bedroom <br> eg $72150 \div 300=240$ or 241 <br> or <br> 4-bedroom <br> eg $72150 \div 333=216$ or 217 | M1 | must be integer number of houses allow rounding to nearest ten |
|  | Total of their 2, 3 and 4-bed houses eg $192+241+217=650$ | A1 | must be an integer |

Alternative method 2 is on the next page

| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 7(a) } \\ \text { cont'd } \end{gathered}$ | Alternative method 2 |  |  |
|  | Works out number of 4-bedroom houses per hectare eg $10000 \div(10 \times 20)$ or 50 or $10000 \div(11.5 \times 29) \text { or } 30$ | M1 | implied by number of houses in range $[30,50]$ |
|  | Assumption of number of 3-bedroom houses per hectare that is more than their 4-bedroom value <br> eg their 4-bedroom $=40$ <br> their 3-bedroom $=45$ | B1 | must be less than their 2-bedroom value |
|  | Assumption of number of 2-bedroom houses per hectare that is more than their 3-bedroom value <br> eg their 3-bedroom $=45$ <br> their 2-bedroom $=50$ | B1 |  |
|  | State an approximation for the length and width of the road | B1 | pavement must be included length must be between 800 m and 1200 m and width $5.5+2 \times 1.35$ or $5.5+2 \times 2$ |
|  | Their length of road $\times$ their width of road eg $800 \times 9.5=7600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or 0.76 hectares | M1 |  |
|  | Works out plot used for 2-bedroom houses <br> eg <br> $0.25 \times 20$ or 5 <br> or $0.25 \times(20-$ their amount for roads) <br> eg $0.25 \times(20-0.76)=4.81$ hectares or $48100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | M1 | accept rounding to 5 hectares <br> 2-bedroom can be $25 \%$ of total plot or $25 \%$ of plot - roads |
|  | Assumption of proportional split between 3 and 4-bedroom houses <br> eg (20 - their area for roads - their area for 2 bed houses) $\div 2$ $\text { eg }(20-0.76-4.81) \div 2=7.215$ | B1 | allowing rounding |



| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7(b) | Acceptable explanation <br> Example <br> If the estimate for the area of roads was higher then there would/might have been fewer houses <br> or <br> If the estimate for the area of roads was lower then there would have been more houses. <br> or <br> If the proportion of 4-bedroom houses built was greater than 3bedroom then there would have been fewer houses built. <br> or <br> If the proportion of 4-bedroom houses built was less than 3bedroom then there would have been more houses built | B1 | must relate to their assumption about the proportion or to their assumption about the amount of land not used for housing |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8(a) | (116000-100000) $\div 2$ or 8000 | M1 |  |
|  | 4500 | A1 |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8(b) | $37500 \times 0.2$ or 7500 | M1 | basic rate tax |
|  | $(150000-37500) \times 0.4$ or 45000 | M1 | higher rate tax |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & (165000-150000) \times 0.45 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 15000 \times 0.45 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 6750 \end{aligned}$ | M1 | additional rate tax <br> total tax 59250 implies M3 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & (50000-9500) \times 0.12 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 40500 \times 0.12 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 4860 \end{aligned}$ | M1 | basic NI |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & (165000-50000) \times 0.02 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 115000 \times 0.02 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 2300 \end{aligned}$ | M1 | higher NI <br> total NI 7160 implies M2 |
|  | their 7500 + their 45000 + their $6750+$ their $4860+$ their 2300 or $59250+7160$ | M1 | totals their tax and NI values - at least one of each |


| 8(b) cont'd | 66410 | A1 | total tax and NI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | their $66410 \div 165000$ or $0.402 \ldots$ or $\frac{2}{5} \times 165000 \text { or } 66000$ | M1 |  |
|  | 0.402 and Yes <br> or <br> 66410 and 66000 and Yes | A1ft | ft their 66410 |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



| Q | Answer | Mark |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9(b) | Frequency density $96 \div 4$ or 24 or $96 \div 0.8$ or 120 (small squares) or $96 \div 8$ or 12 (rows of ten) | M1 |  |  |
|  | Correct bar drawn width from 10 to 14 , height 2.4 cm | A1 | $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ square |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Alternative method 1 |  |  |
|  | 0.205 or 1.205 seen or used | M1 |  |
|  | $1200=\frac{A}{1+\text { their } 0.205}+\frac{A}{(1+\text { their } 0.205)^{2}}$ | M1 | oe <br> their 0.205 must have digits 205 and be less than 1 eg 0.0205 used |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1200=\text { their } 0.83 A+\text { their }[0.688, \\ & 0.69] A \\ & \text { or } \\ & 1200=[1.5187,1.52] A \end{aligned}$ | M1dep | calculates $1 \div$ their 1.205 and ( $1 \div$ their $1.205)^{2}$ <br> dep on 2nd M1 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1200 \div \text { their } 1.52 \\ & \text { or } \\ & {[789.47,790.15]} \end{aligned}$ | M1dep | dep on 2nd and 3rd M1 |
|  | 790 | A1 |  |
|  | Alternative method 2 |  |  |
|  | 0.205 or 1.205 seen or used | M1 |  |
|  | $1200=\frac{A}{1+\text { their } 0.205}+\frac{A}{(1+\text { their } 0.205)^{2}}$ | M1 | oe eg 1.205 used <br> their 0.205 must have digits 205 and be less than 1 eg 0.0205 used |
|  | $1200=\frac{\text { their } 1.205 A+A}{\text { their } 1.205^{2}}$ | M1dep | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oe } \\ & \text { dep on } 2^{\text {nd }} \text { M1 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1200 \times \text { their } 1.205^{2}}{\text { their } 2.205}=A \\ & \text { or } 790.2 \ldots . . \end{aligned}$ | M1dep | oe dep on 2nd and 3rd M1 |
|  | 790 | A1 |  |
|  |  | ditional | uidance |
|  |  |  |  |

