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NE - means not enough
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## Level of response marking instructions

Level of response mark schemes are broken down into levels, each of which has a descriptor. The descriptor for the level shows the average performance for the level. There are marks in each level.

Before you apply the mark scheme to a student's answer read through the answer and annotate it (as instructed) to show the qualities that are being looked for. You can then apply the mark scheme.

## Step 1 Determine a level

Start at the lowest level of the mark scheme and use it as a ladder to see whether the answer meets the descriptor for that level. The descriptor for the level indicates the different qualities that might be seen in the student's answer for that level. If it meets the lowest level then go to the next one and decide if it meets this level, and so on, until you have a match between the level descriptor and the answer. With practice and familiarity you will find that for better answers you will be able to quickly skip through the lower levels of the mark scheme.

When assigning a level you should look at the overall quality of the answer and not look to pick holes in small and specific parts of the answer where the student has not performed quite as well as the rest. If the answer covers different aspects of different levels of the mark scheme you should use a best fit approach for defining the level and then use the variability of the response to help decide the mark within the level, ie if the response is predominantly level 3 with a small amount of level 4 material it would be placed in level 3 but be awarded a mark near the top of the level because of the level 4 content.

## Step 2 Determine a mark

Once you have assigned a level you need to decide on the mark. The descriptors on how to allocate marks can help with this. The exemplar materials used during standardisation will help. There will be an answer in the standardising materials which will correspond with each level of the mark scheme. This answer will have been awarded a mark by the Lead Examiner. You can compare the student's answer with the example to determine if it is the same standard, better or worse than the example. You can then use this to allocate a mark for the answer based on the Lead Examiner's mark on the example.

You may well need to read back through the answer as you apply the mark scheme to clarify points and assure yourself that the level and the mark are appropriate.

Indicative content in the mark scheme is provided as a guide for examiners. It is not intended to be exhaustive and you must credit other valid points. Students do not have to cover all of the points mentioned in the Indicative content to reach the highest level of the mark scheme.

An answer which contains nothing of relevance to the question must be awarded no marks.

| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance |  | Total marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 3 marks for AO1 recall <br> 1 mark for 1 correct label; 2 marks for 2 correct labels; 3 marks for 3 correct labels; <br> Correct table is: |  | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Process | Label (A-F) |  |
|  |  | Breaking down a problem into sub-problems. | C |  |
|  |  | Removing unimportant details. | A |  |
|  |  | Ensuring the user enters data that is allowed, for example within a correct range. | B |  |
|  |  | R. all occurrences of a label entered more than once |  |  |


| Qu | Pt | $\quad$ Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Mark is for AO2 <br> Integer/int; <br> A. programming language specific data type | $\mathbf{1}$ |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | Mark is for AO2 <br> (The value) doesn't change/vary (after being initialised); | $\mathbf{1}$ |


| Qu | Pt |  | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Mark is for AO2 <br> $3 / /$ three; <br> A. $3^{\text {rd }}$ (line) $/ /$ third (line); | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance |  |  |  | Total marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  |  | 1 mark for seconds having values 60, 120 and 180 in that order; 1 mark for the final value of seconds as 240; |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 mark for the final value of seconds as 240; <br> 1 mark for the first value of effort as 20 and the first value of OUTPUT as |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 mark for the last three values in the effort column all correct and every output correct for these three values of effort; |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Max 3 marks if any errors. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | I. use of quote marks or minor spelling errors in the OUTPUT column. <br> I. values on different lines as long as the order is correct and no other values have been entered. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Correct table as follows: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | seconds | bpm | effort | OUTPUT |  |
|  |  | 0 | 70 | 20 | faster |  |
|  |  | 60 | 80 | 30 | faster |  |
|  |  | 120 | 100 | 50 | steady |  |
|  |  | 180 | 120 | 70 | slower |  |
|  |  | 240 |  |  |  |  |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 marks for AO2 <br> Max two marks from the following: <br> (The developer has...) <br> decomposed the problem/broken the problem down (into sub-problems); <br> implemented sub-problems as subroutines; <br> used interfaces (including parameters and return values); | $\mathbf{2}$ |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ marks for AO1 (understanding) <br> Max two marks from the following: <br> The subroutines will be easier to test/mistakes will be easier to find; <br> The subroutines can be reused; <br> The subroutines can be changed without affecting the rest of the program; <br> The subroutines create better self-documenting code; | $\mathbf{2}$ |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 3 | $\mathbf{5}$ marks for AO3 (program)   <br> 1 mark for each correct label:   <br> L1 max $;$ <br> L2 getRank $;$ <br> L3 index $;$ <br> L4 c $;$ <br> L5 result $;$ | 5 |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | Mark is for AO1 (understanding) <br> B Unicode includes characters from many different alphabets.; <br> R. if more than one lozenge is shaded. | $\mathbf{1}$ |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 marks for AO2 <br> 1 mark for each correction: <br> (Statement 2) <br> A 2 pixel by 4 pixel bitmap image contains 8 pixels // <br> A $n$ pixel by m pixel bitmap image contains 16 pixels [where $\left.n^{*} m=16\right] ;$ <br> (Statement 4) <br> Black and white images have a minimum colour depth of one // <br> Three/Four-colour images have a minimum colour depth of two; <br> A. Explanation of error that makes it clear what should have been written instead <br> of the corrected statement. | $\mathbf{2}$ |


| Qu | Pt | Total <br> marks |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | Mark is for AO2 <br> 300 (b // bits); | $\mathbf{1}$ |


| Qu | Pt | $\quad$ Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ marks for AO2 <br> 50 (B // bytes);;; <br> If incorrect answer then award a max of two marks for the following working: <br> identifying the colour depth is 4; <br> correctly multiplying 10 x $10 \times$ (possibly incorrect) colour depth; <br> attempt at dividing the calculated size in bits by 8; | $\mathbf{3}$ |



| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Mark is for AO2 <br> Converts (row/grey scale image) to black and white // the values 0 and $99 / /$ two <br> colours/shades; | $\mathbf{1}$ |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 marks for AO1 (understanding) <br> 1 mark for one row correct; <br> 2 marks for all rows correct; <br> R. any duplicated answers <br> Correct table as follows: <br> Description <br> Converts a low-level language designed to be <br> human-readable into machine code. <br> Reads a high-level program line-by-line and <br> calls corresponding subroutines. <br> Takes the entire high-level program as input <br> and produces machine code. | A | C |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 marks for AO1 (understanding) <br> Max two from: <br> (High-level languages) are easier to test // identify mistakes (than low-level <br> languages); <br> (High-level languages) allow faster development (than low-level languages); <br> (High-level languages) are better documented (than low-level languages); <br> (High-level languages) contain complex data structures; <br> (High-level languages) allow code to be more portable (than low-level languages); <br> Refer other plausible answers to Team Leader. | $\mathbf{2}$ |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3 | 9 marks for AO3 (programming) <br> [Mark A] for getting user input and assigning it to a variable; <br> [Mark B] for using selection to check for the length of user input (even if the Boolean condition is incorrect); <br> [Mark C] for a correct Boolean condition to check that the length is 8 (or not 8 if opposite logic used) even if not within a selection structure; <br> *[Mark D] for iterating over the instruction to check for (in)correct characters; <br> *[Mark E] for the iteration structure in Mark D isolating every character in the string (even if the subsequent check for validity is incorrect); <br> [Mark F] for using selection to check if a character is/is not ' 0 ' or ' 1 '; <br> [Mark G] for a correct Boolean condition checking the character is/is not a ' 0 ' and/or a '1'; <br> [Mark H] outputting 'ok' and 'wrong' based on the length of the user input. <br> [Mark I] outputting 'ok' and 'wrong ' based on the characters in the user input. <br> *A. alternative method for obtaining Mark D and Mark E <br> [Mark D] eight selection structures instead of iteration; <br> [Mark E] ensure every character is checked in Mark D; <br> Max 8 marks if any errors. | 9 |



|  |  | Another example of a completely correct solution: ```instruction \leftarrow USERINPUT [A] IF LEN(instruction) = 8 THEN [B, C] valid \leftarrow True FOR i \leftarrow O TO 7 [D, E] IF instruction[i] \not= '0' THEN [Part F, Part G] IF instruction[i] # '1' THEN [Part F, Part G] valid \leftarrow False ENDIF ENDIF ENDFOR IF valid = True THEN OUTPUT 'ok' [Part H, Part I] ELSE OUTPUT 'wrong' [Part I] ENDIF ELSE OUTPUT 'wrong' [Part H]``` ENDIF A final example of a completely correct solution that uses a FOR-EACH style loop to iterate over the characters of the string (note that this is not part of the AQA pseudo-code supplement but still perfectly acceptable): ```instruction \leftarrow USERINPUT [A] valid \leftarrow True [Part H, Part I] IF LEN(instruction) \not= 8 THEN [B, C] valid }\leftarrow\mathrm{ False [Part H] ELSE FOR ch IN instruction [D, E] IF ch \not= '0' AND ch \not= '1' THEN [F, G] valid }\leftarrow\mathrm{ False [Part I]``` ENDIF ENDFOR ENDIF IF valid = True THEN OUTPUT 'ok'. [Part H, Part I] ELSE OUTPUT 'wrong' [Part H, Part I] ENDIF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 3 marks for AO2 <br> (The value 8 is compared to the value) 4; R. if not first comparison <br> (The value 8 is compared to the value) 7; R. if not second comparison <br> (The value 8 is compared to the value) 8; R. if not third comparison <br> Alternatively: <br> (The value 8 is compared to the) first element of the array; <br> (The value 8 is compared to) every subsequent value of the array; <br> When the value 8 is found in the array it returns True; <br> $\mathbf{l}$ |  |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ marks for AO2 <br> (The value 8 is compared to) 13; R. if not first comparison <br> (The value 8 is compared to) 7; <br> (The value 8 is compared to) 8; $\quad$ R. if not third comparison |  |
| Alternatively: <br> (The value 8 is compared to the) midpoint of the array; <br> (The value 8 is compared to the) midpoint of the left subarray ([4, 7, 8]); <br> (The value 8 is compared to the) midpoint of the right subarray ([8]); | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |


| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Mark is for AO1 (understanding) <br> It is more efficient // requires fewer steps/comparisons (on average); | $\mathbf{1}$ |




| Qu | Pt | $\quad$ Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | Mark is for AO2 <br> C (NOT A) AND B; <br> R. If more than one lozenge shaded | $\mathbf{1}$ |


| Qu | Pt | $\quad$ Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Mark is for AO2 <br> D (NOT A) OR (NOT B) ) AND C; <br> R. If more than one lozenge shaded   | $\mathbf{1}$ |


| Qu | Pt | $\quad$ Marking guidance | Total <br> marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ marks for AO2 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| A; |  |  |  |
| C; |  |  |  |
| I. use of quote marks <br> l. if answers are on the same line or different lines as long as order is clear <br> R. if more than two characters are stated |  |  |  |



| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 6 | 3 marks for AO3 (programming) <br> [Mark A] for using selection to compare at least two of the three values; [Mark B] for using selection to compare all pairwise combinations of the three values; <br> [Mark C] for output of 'duplicate' when their conditions are met, even if the conditions contain errors; <br> Max 2 marks if any errors. <br> I. if student has re-written the start of the algorithm using the same or different variable identifiers to the question stem, however, their answer must be consistent. <br> An example of a fully correct answer: ```v1 \leftarrowUSERINPUT v2 \leftarrow USERINPUT v3 \leftarrow USERINPUT IF v1 = v2 THEN [A, part B] OUTPUT 'duplicate' [part C] ELSE IF v1 = v3 THEN [part B] OUTPUT 'duplicate' [part C] ELSE IF v2 = v3 THEN [part B] OUTPUT 'duplicate' [part C] ENDIF``` ENDIF ENDIF <br> An alternative fully correct answer is: ```\(v 1 \leftarrow U S E R I N P U T\) \(\mathrm{v} 2 \leftarrow U S E R I N P U T\) v3 \(\leftarrow\) USERINPUT IF v1 = v2 OR v1 = v3 OR v2 = v3 THEN[A, B] OUTPUT 'duplicate' [C]``` ENDIF | 3 |



| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance |  | Total marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 |  | 3 marks for AO3 (programming) |  | 3 |
|  |  | 1 mark for 1 correct position |  |  |
|  |  | 2 marks for 2 correct position |  |  |
|  |  | 3 marks for 4 correct position |  |  |
|  |  | R. Any position which is use | an once |  |
|  |  | Line of code | Position (1-4 where 1 is the first line) |  |
|  |  | $t \leftarrow t-1$ | 3 |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{n} \leftarrow \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{n}$ | 4 |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{n} \leftarrow 2$ | 1 |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{t} \leftarrow \mathrm{n}$ LEFTSHIFT 3 | 2 |  |




| Qu | Pt | Marking guidance | Total marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 3 | 4 marks for AO3 (programming) <br> [Mark A] use of the gotoRow subroutine with parameters $0,1,2$ and 3; <br> [Mark B] use of shape ('square') to draw four squares in row 0; <br> [Mark C] use of iteration to repeatedly draw the squares; <br> [Mark D] correct squares drawn in rows 1, 2 and 3; <br> Max 3 marks if any errors. <br> An example of a fully correct answer: ```squares }\leftarrow [Part B, Part D] FOR row \leftarrow < TO 3 [Part D] gotoRow(row) [A] FOR x & 1 TO squares [Part B, C] shape('square') [Part B, Part D] ENDFOR squares }\leftarrow squares + 1 [Part D]``` ENDFOR <br> Another example of a fully correct answer: <br> gotoRow (0) <br> FOR $\mathrm{x} \leftarrow 1$ TO 4 <br> shape('square') <br> ENDFOR <br> gotoRow (1) <br> FOR $x \leftarrow 1$ TO 5 <br> shape('square') <br> ENDFOR <br> gotoRow (2) <br> FOR $x \leftarrow 1$ TO 6 <br> shape('square') <br> ENDFOR <br> gotoRow (3) <br> FOR $\mathrm{x} \leftarrow 1$ TO 7 <br> shape('square') <br> ENDFOR <br> Another example of a fully correct answer: ```FOR row \leftarrow 0 TO 3 gotoRow(row) FOR count \leftarrow < TO row + 4. shape('square')``` <br> [Part A] <br> [Part A] <br> [Part B, C] <br> [Part B, D] ```ENDFOR``` | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


|  | ENDFOR |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |




