GCSE
PHYSICS

8463/1H: Paper 1 (Higher tier)
Report on the Examination

8463
June 2022

Version: 1.0

Further copies of this Report are available from aqa.org.uk

General

Grade 4-5 calculation questions ask for students to recall an equation, before using it in a subsequent calculation. In the 2022 series, students were given an Equations Sheet which had all the equations printed on it. Subsequently, the performance on the more straightforward calculations has improved on previous years.

The multistep calculations targeted at candidates working at grades 8-9, questions **09.1** and **10.1**, were well attempted with many students answering correctly. Of these two questions, students were slightly more successful on question **09.1** with about 55% of students scoring full marks, whereas for question **10.1** approximately 45% of students scored full marks.

Question **02.1** was generally well attempted and students who had carried out the density required practical had an advantage over those who hadn't. For this question, about 35% of students scored 6 marks and approximately 25% of students scored 5 marks.

Handwriting continues to be a problem for a number of students, making it very difficult for examiners to read what has been written. Students who have handwriting that is difficult to read may benefit from a scribe or from word processing their answers in exams.

Question 11

Due to incorrect Advance Information guidance being issued for this question, and to avoid students being disadvantaged, Question 11 was discounted and all students were awarded full (9) marks.

Levels of demand

Questions are set at three levels of demand for this paper:

- **Standard demand** questions are designed to broadly target grades 4–5.
- **Standard/high demand** questions are designed to broadly target grades 6–7.
- **High demand** questions are designed to broadly target grades 8–9.

A student's final grade, however, is based on their attainment across the qualification as a whole, not just on questions that may have been targeted at the level at which they are working.

Question 1 (Standard demand)

01.1 About 55% of students scored 2 marks. The unit conversion caused a problem for many students and powers of 10 errors were frequently seen. A correct calculation using an incorrectly or not converted value for power would score 1 mark.

01.2 Students were generally successful at answering this question with approximately 40% scoring 2 marks and about 50% scoring 1 mark. Most students wrote variations of the first and third bullet points in the markscheme. A number of students wrote that the turbines may be broken, which was enough to score a mark for the idea of maintenance.

01.3 'Turbine will rotate faster' was insufficient to score a mark. 'Rotate more smoothly' was not enough to score the 'less friction' mark. Students who stated that 'no energy was wasted' did not score the second marking point. Approximately 35% of students scored 3 marks, 35% of students scored 2 marks and 20% of students scored 1 mark.

01.4 About 30% of students scored 2 marks, while approximately 50% of students scored 1 mark. Many students answered in terms of 'saving energy' which was insufficient. Other students answered in terms of absolute statements which did not score, 'energy efficient appliances waste no energy' for example.

Question 2 (Standard demand)

02.1 Generally very well answered with about 35% of students gaining 6 marks and 25% scoring 5 marks. Students were told that this required practical would appear in the 2022 series via the Advance Information. A number of students quoted the density equation incorrectly, although this was given on the Equations Sheet for the 2022 series. There were extremely few students who didn't attempt the question and less than 1% of students who attempted the question scored zero.

02.2 Approximately 85% of students answered this question correctly. Of the incorrect answers seen, a significant proportion gave the maximum and minimum values the wrong way round. Another common mistake was to write maximum: 2.55 and minimum 0.10, which showed a clear misunderstanding of expressing an uncertainty.

02.3 About 95% of students answered this question correctly.

02.4 Approximately 45% of students scored 2 marks and 40% scored 1 mark. 'In case an error / mistake was made the first time' was insufficient. 'To check the repeatability of the data' was ignored, as this wouldn't improve the accuracy of the data. 'Get rid of anomalies' was assumed to mean 'remove anomalies', but 'stops anomalies from occurring' did not score. Likewise 'stops random errors' did not score.

Question 3 (Standard demand)

03.1 Over 99% of students answered this question correctly as they had all the Physics equations on the Equations Sheet for the 2022 series.

03.2 Nearly 95% of students answered this question correctly. In this series all students were given all the equations on the Equations Sheet. The first mark is for the substitution into the equation as it appears on the equations sheet. Some students failed to score any marks by incorrectly rearranging the equation, then substituting into the incorrectly rearranged equation.

03.3 Over 99% of students answered this question correctly as they had all the Physics equations on the Equations Sheet for the 2022 series.

03.4 95% of students answered this question correctly. In this series all students were given all the equations on the Equations Sheet. The first mark is for the substitution into the equation as it appears on the Equations Sheet. Some students failed to score any marks by incorrectly rearranging the equation, then substituting into the incorrectly rearranged equation.

Question 4 (Standard/High demand)

04.1 A large number of students found it difficult to identify the independent and dependent variables in the investigation that was described. Control variables appeared often in students' answers. 'Temperature change' seemed to be the most common incorrect answer for the dependent variable. About 50% of students scored 2 marks and approximately 35% of students scored 1 mark.

04.2 65% of students answered correctly. The most common incorrect answer was 87.4 °C.

04.3 About 35% of students answered this question correctly. Common incorrect answers referred to the resolution, or just repeated the question and said 'difficult to read' which was insufficient.

04.4 Approximately 75% of students scored 3 marks and about 10% of students scored 2 marks. Power of 10 errors were common and usually resulted in 2 marks being scored for an incorrectly or not converted value of energy.

04.5 Many students found relating the time for the temperature to decrease to thermal conductivity difficult. About 15% of students scored 2 marks, while 40% scored 1 mark. Answers in terms of good / bad insulators were insufficient to score a mark.

Question 5 (Standard/High demand)

05.1 Approximately 45% of students scored 1 mark, with about 5% of students scored 3 marks. Around 30% of students scored no marks. The first marking point of this explanation was most likely to score, the third marking point was least likely to score.

05.2 About 30% of students scored all 3 marks for this explanation. 10% of students scored 2 marks and 10% scored 1 mark. A lot of student answers were not creditworthy, with mixed up descriptions of ion transfer, electron transfer, proton transfer, protons weighing more than electrons and transfer of electrons increasing the mass of the rod on the balance. As a result about 50% of students scored zero.

05.3 Approximately 60% of students scored the mark. Some students evidently did not know what a zero error was. Answers like 'it means there is no error' being a good example of this. Some answers were insufficient, 'the actual mass of the rod is not required' being not enough to score the mark. 'Weight' was allowed for 'mass' when used correctly as the reading on the balance does depend on the weight of the object. An answer of 'only the change in weight was needed' would have scored the mark.

05.4 Some very good explanations were seen and about 10% of students scored all 3 marks. The first marking point was least likely to score. The third marking point needed to be linked to the second marking point before it could be scored.

Question 6 (Standard/High demand)

06.1 This question discriminated well between students. About 15% scored 4 marks with 20% gaining 3 marks. The first two marking points were most commonly scored. Students who said that 'no energy was lost' as a consequence of the step-up transformer's effect did not score the third marking point.

06.2 Approximately 55% of students scored 2 marks, while 15% of students scored 1 mark. Students who scored no marks usually confused the quantity that was decreased (pd) with the quantity that increased (current). Some student answers lacked technical accuracy referring to energy, power or charge incorrectly.

06.3 Two thirds of students scored 4 marks for this calculation, whereas about 20% of students scored 3 marks. A common mistake was to try to multiply the answer by the number of seconds in a day, which would probably only score the significant figure mark if correct, as the Physics equations were applied incorrectly.
Common mistakes were to round the answer to 1 400 000 000 C, which would score 3 marks if the full s.f. answer was seen, or round the answer to 141, which would score 3 marks for the supporting calculations if seen. A common error was to miscount the number of zeroes, so would only score 3 marks.

Question 7 (Standard/High demand)

07.1 54% of students could state what an alpha particle consists of. The list principle was applied when students wrote '2 protons and 2 neutrons – a helium atom', which did not score.

07.2 65% of students completed the nuclear equation correctly, scoring 2 marks, whereas 24% scored just 1 mark.

07.3 Students generally made good attempts to answer this question and marking points 1, 2 and 4 were seen most often. To score the 5th marking point students need to make a comparative statement, internal versus external damage. The third marking point was least likely to score, as students rarely referred to dose or the living cells / tissues / organs inside the body. Some students incorrectly thought that ionisation was a process that happened to cells, rather than atoms, so often didn't score the fifth marking point for this reason. Very few students scored 5 marks and the question discriminated well between students of differing ability.

Question 8 (Standard/High demand)

08.1 About 90% of students gave the correct measuring instrument. While it wasn't seen often, an answer of a 'volumetric pipette' was also creditworthy. 'Pipette' was insufficient.

08.2 Around 90% of students correctly identified the hazard in the investigation.

08.3 For this calculation, about 45% of students scored 5 marks and 20% of students scored 4 marks. A common mistake was to calculate *L* using one of the masses given in the question, rather than the change in mass. The resulting numerical answer would score zero as the equation was not being used correctly.

08.4 About 15% of students scored 2 marks for this explanation and 10% of students scored 1 mark. Many students reached incorrect conclusions and many students simply reworded the information given in the question.

08.5 7% of students scored 2 marks and 3% of students scored 1 mark. Many students reached incorrect conclusions and many students reworded the information given in the question without adding to it.

Question 9 (High demand)

09.1 Approximately 55% of students scored 5 marks, but in the 2022 series students were provided with an Equations Sheet with all the equations. A commonly seen answer was 73 500, which scored 4 marks for not converting the height into metres.

09.2 5% scored 2 marks and about 30% scored 1 mark. Many students failed to score a mark by pointing to the 'inaccuracy of the measured mass / height / gravitational field strength', or referring to the measurements as being 'low resolution'. 'Mass may have been greater than 60 kg' or 'time may have been longer / shorter than 1.40 seconds' were other common incorrect answers.

09.3 About 75% of students identified the correct conclusion.

Question 10 (High demand)

10.1 About 45% of students scored 6 marks for this extended calculation, but in the 2022 series students were provided with an Equations Sheet with all the equations. Therefore, students were supported when it came to attempting this question. With an alternative approach using equations from paper 2, students' answers were marked on whichever approach brought them closest to the correct final answer. An answer of 6 m/s with no supporting working did not score full marks as students could achieve this answer by multiplying the spring constant and the extension together, which would give them the maximum force acting on the toy aeroplane.

10.2 About 65% of students scored the mark. The most common incorrect answers seen were gravitational potential or elastic potential.

10.3 Approximately 20% of students scored a mark. Many students failed to score a mark because they gave a factor without a specific change e.g. 'extension of spring', rather than 'increase the extension of the spring'.

Question 11 (High demand)

Due to incorrect Advance Information guidance being issued for this question, and to avoid students being disadvantaged, Question 11 was discounted and all students were awarded full (9) marks.

Use of statistics

Statistics used in this report may be taken from incomplete processing data. However, this data still gives a true account of how students have performed for each question.

Mark Ranges and Award of Grades

Grade boundaries and cumulative percentage grades are available on the Results Statistics page of the AQA Website.