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## Speaking Tests - Foundation tier

## Part One Mark Scheme

## Principles of marking

The Speaking Tests are marked in accordance with the assessment criteria provided later in this document. No allowance can be made for poor teacher conduct of the tests. You can only assess what you hear.

If a candidate requires a prompt or explanation of any kind, including the provision of an item of obviously unknown vocabulary, this must be given clearly and audibly by the teacher-examiner without stopping the recording.

## Level of response marking instructions

Level of response mark schemes are used in the Photo card and General conversation tasks. The criteria are broken down into levels, each of which has a descriptor. The descriptor for the level shows the average performance for that level.

## Step 1 Determine a level

Start at the lowest level of the mark scheme and use it as a ladder to see whether the student performance meets the descriptor for that level. The descriptor for the level indicates the different qualities that might be seen in the student's performance for that level. If it meets the lowest level then go to the next one and decide if it meets this level, and so on, until you have a match between the level descriptor and the performance. With practice and familiarity, you will find that for better performances you will be able to quickly skip through the lower levels of the mark scheme.

When assigning a level, you should look at the overall quality of the performance and not look to pick holes where the student has not performed quite as well as the rest. If the performance covers different aspects of different levels of the mark scheme, you should use a 'best fit' approach for defining the level and then use the variability of the performance to help decide the mark within the level, ie if the response is predominantly level 3 with a small amount of level 4 material it would be placed in level 3 but be awarded a mark near the top of the level because of the level 4 content.

## Step 2 Determine a mark

Once you have assigned a level, you need to decide on the mark. The exemplar materials used during standardisation will help. You can compare the student's performance with the examples marked by the Lead Examiner to determine if it is the same standard, better or worse than the examples. You can then use this information to allocate a mark for the performance.

You may well need to go back through the test as you apply the mark scheme to assure yourself that the level and the mark are appropriate.

## The test as a whole

Each candidate's speaking test consists of three parts, completed in this order:
Part 1 Role-play - two minutes approximately (15 marks)
Part 2 Discussion of photo card - two minutes at Foundation tier (15 marks)
Part 3 General conversation - 3-5 minutes at Foundation tier (30 marks)
Total marks at Foundation tier $=60$
Nine role-plays and photo cards will be set at each tier in each series for French, German and Spanish and six for small entry languages.

Marks will be allocated in the following way at Foundation tier:

|  | Communication | Knowledge <br> and use of <br> language | Range and <br> accuracy of <br> language | Pronunciation <br> and <br> intonation | Spontaneity <br> and fluency | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Role-play | 10 | 5 |  |  |  | 15 |
| Photo card | 15 |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| General <br> Conversation | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 30 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |

Marks for each candidate must be entered clearly in black pen on the Speaking Score Sheet (see Appendix 2 on p .16 ) and entered into QMS. All additions must be checked carefully to ensure they are correct both on the Speaking Score Sheet and in QMS. Addition errors can result in candidates receiving a wrong grade.

## Section 1: Role-play

This part of the test consists of a role-play which has been prepared by the candidate in the preparation time. Each candidate completes one role-play at the tier for which they have been entered. The role-play is not timed.

There are five tasks for the role-play, each of which is awarded up to two marks for Communication. There is then an overall assessment of the candidate's Knowledge and use of language (KUL) in the role-play and up to five marks are available. The total number of marks for the role-play is $15(10+5)$.

A target language script is provided for the teacher-examiner which must be adhered to. The only occasion when teacher-examiners are permitted to change the target language script is if a candidate's response makes the printed prompt inappropriate. An example of this would be if the candidate was asked what they did at the weekend and their response was that they were ill. So if the target language prompt in the script was 'super', this could justifiably be changed to 'oh dear'. If teacher-examiners give key vocabulary, candidates cannot be rewarded for it.

You must mark the role-plays in accordance with the assessment criteria given below and with Part 2 of the mark scheme. Part 2 of the mark scheme is specific to an examination series and gives examples of marks for possible utterances made by candidates in each of the role-plays. This guidance obviously cannot cover all possibilities and should be used in conjunction with the assessment criteria.

## Assessment criteria for each role-play task

| Mark | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | The message is conveyed without ambiguity. |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | The message is partially conveyed or conveyed with some ambiguity. |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | No part of the message is conveyed. |

## Notes

(a) Students who do not understand a question may show repair strategies* in seeking clarification. If they are then able to respond to the question successfully, they should be awarded the same mark as if they had understood it originally.
(b) Where students are required to give two responses or details in one task, failure to convey an unambiguous message in reply to one of them means that the message is partially conveyed and one mark is awarded.
(c) The tasks on the candidate's card and the notes in the Teacher's Booklet clearly explain how much detail the student is expected to give per task. However, some students may still go beyond the minimum requirement of the task. When this happens, as soon as the task is accomplished, any further incorrect information given by the student is ignored for assessment purposes, for both Communication and for Knowledge and use of language.
*'Repair strategies' include asking questions for clarification, requests for repetition, requests for definition or explanation, self-correction, etc, in the target language. If the candidate asks for repetition in English, the teacher can repeat what has been said, but this has to be taken into account when awarding the mark for Knowledge and use of language.

You should also note the following information.
Material shown in brackets in the Part 2 mark scheme does not need to be produced by the candidate. If it is produced incorrectly it may or may not affect the mark awarded, depending on its effect on the message conveyed. If produced it cannot be ignored. All language produced by the candidate must be marked up to the point that the task is accomplished. As soon as the task is completed, the two marks are given for Communication. Anything said in relation to the task after that point is ignored as far as the mark for Knowledge and use of language is concerned. If the candidate says something which is wrong followed by a correct response to the task, one mark is awarded for Communication and the incorrect part of the message is taken into account when deciding on a mark for Knowledge and use of language.

See these examples:
The task is 'Say what you did last night. Give one detail.'
Candidate A says: 'I went to the cinema and I'm going to the restaurant'. The task is complete as soon as the candidate says 'I went to the cinema.' Two marks are given for Communication and what follows is ignored.

Candidate B says: 'l'm going to the cinema and I went to the restaurant.' The first part of this utterance is in the wrong tense and, although the task is then completed correctly, some ambiguity arises. For this reason, one mark is awarded for Communication and the incorrect language is taken into account when giving the mark for Knowledge and use of language.

If the teacher-examiner supplies key vocabulary, whether requested or not by the candidate, no marks are awarded for that individual role-play task. If the task is a split response, no marks should be awarded for that part of the response but the rest of the response should be marked according to the criteria.

If a candidate uses the incorrect form of address as specified for the role-play, there is no automatic penalty and this should be taken into account when awarding the mark for Knowledge and use of language.

In any given task, once the student has said enough to warrant a mark of two for Communication, ignore any extra information for assessment purposes, for Communication and also for KUL.

You should award no marks where a teacher repeats a question after the candidate has given a complete but incorrect answer. However, if the candidate corrects an initial incorrect response without any intervention from the teacher-examiner, credit is given for the correct version. If the candidate starts to give an incorrect response (maybe because they are responding to the wrong task as they consult their notes), the teacher-examiner can interrupt by repeating exactly the prompt on the Teacher's role. If the candidate then gives a correct reply, two marks are awarded for Communication and the initial incorrect part-answer is ignored when awarding the mark for Knowledge and use of language.

It is acceptable for teachers to prompt the candidate to elicit a second detail and credit should be given without any penalty if the task is completed.

The candidate's answers may not always be produced in the order anticipated, but credit should be given if the tasks are completed over the role-play as a whole.

For the question task, any appropriate question is accepted, including the use of intonation.

For the question task, if the student does not ask the question after the teacher's initial prompt, it is fine for the teacher to prompt with something like ¿La pregunta?

For the question task, it is permissible for the student to ask a question by giving a statement using a verb followed by ¿Y tú? For example, where the prompt on the candidate's card is El gimnasio: Me gusta el gimnasio. ¿Y tú?

This way of asking a question will not suit all question tasks and the question must make sense for the award of two marks.

For extra guidance on the question task in the role-play, look at points 8,9 and 10 of the General Principles for marking the role-play.

## Knowledge and use of language for the role-play overall

| Mark | Knowledge and use of language |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Very good knowledge and use of language. |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Good knowledge and use of language. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Reasonable knowledge and use of language. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Limited knowledge and use of language. |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Poor knowledge and use of language. |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | No language produced is worthy of credit. |

## Additional guidance on application of the assessment criteria for Knowledge and use of language

The guidance below is provided to describe the key features of a performance scoring each particular mark at Foundation tier and should be applied on the basis of a 'best fit' approach.

Minor errors are errors which have no bearing on effective communication, such as incorrect adjectival agreement or gender.

For a student scoring five marks, the language used is generally accurate but there may still be the occasional minor error which has no effect on communication, such as incorrect adjectival agreement or gender. Verbs are handled effectively.

For a student scoring four marks, the language used is generally accurate. However, there may still be minor errors which have no effect on communication, such as incorrect adjectival agreement or gender, and/or a more serious error, such as a lapse in verb formation, which affects communication.

For a student scoring three marks, there are linguistic errors, some of a minor nature which have no effect on communication, and others which are more serious, such as lapses in verb formation or instances where the verb is omitted entirely. There may be a task which the student cannot complete because of a lack of linguistic knowledge.

For a student scoring two marks, although there is an ability to use a verb effectively, linguistic inaccuracy at times makes what is said ambiguous, inappropriate to the task or incomprehensible. There may be tasks which the student cannot attempt because of a lack of linguistic knowledge.

For a student scoring one mark, the language used is sufficient to convey a little information, but is generally ambiguous, inappropriate to the task or incomprehensible. There may be tasks which the student cannot attempt because of a lack of linguistic knowledge.

## Section 2: Discussion of photo card

This part of the test consists of a photo card which has been prepared by the candidate in the preparation time. The teacher-examiner will ask five prescribed questions; three of these questions are printed on the candidate's card and will have been prepared during the preparation time. The remaining two questions are printed in the Teacher's Booklet.

## Assessment criteria

The candidate's responses to the five questions are assessed for Communication only, according to the criteria below.

| Level | Mark | Communication |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $13-15$ | The speaker replies to all questions clearly and develops most answers. <br> He/she gives and explains an opinion. |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $10-12$ | The speaker replies to all or nearly all questions clearly and develops some <br> answers. He/she gives and explains an opinion. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $7-9$ | The speaker gives understandable replies to most questions and develops <br> at least one answer. He/she gives an opinion. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $4-6$ | The speaker gives understandable replies to most questions but they may <br> be short and/or repetitive. |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1-3$ | The speaker replies to some questions but the answers are likely to be short <br> and/or repetitive. |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | Communication does not meet the standard required for Level 1 at this tier. |

## Notes

(a) At least one question on each photo card asks students to give and explain an opinion.
(b) Students who do not understand a question may show repair strategies* in seeking clarification. If they are then able to respond to the question successfully, they should be awarded the same mark as if they had understood it originally.
*'Repair strategies' include asking questions for clarification, requests for repetition, requests for definition or explanation, self-correction, etc in the target language. If the candidate asks for repetition, etc in English, the teacher can repeat what has been said, but this has to be taken into account when awarding the mark.

The photo card task must be timed. Timing begins as soon as the teacher-examiner begins to ask the first question 'What is there in the photo?'. The maximum time for Foundation tier is two minutes and marking will stop at this point. However, if the teacher-examiner has started to ask a question on two minutes, the candidate is allowed to complete their answer and this is eligible for credit. If the candidate is speaking at this point, they also are permitted to complete their answer and again this is eligible for credit.

Only the questions on the candidate card and in the Teacher's Booklet can be asked. No supplementary questions are permitted, even if the test falls short of the recommended maximum time or if candidates fail to develop enough answers to gain access to the higher mark bands in the assessment criteria.

Unlike in the role-play, in the photo card task a question may be paraphrased rather than simply repeated. A paraphrase must keep to the same meaning as the printed question. In all likelihood, this will be a vocabulary item for which there are two words in the target language and the one that is printed is the one with which candidates are least familiar. The teacher-examiner may then change that word for the more familiar one. In Spanish, an example would be Internet instead of Red; in French, ami(e) for copain/copine; in German Job for Beruf.

If there is no answer from the student when the teacher asks the question as it is printed on the card, it is fine to paraphrase. For example:
¿Qué piensas de la Red?
(No reply)
¿Cuál es tu opinión sobre Internet?
When responding to the first question 'What is there in the photo?', candidate responses must be rooted in the content of the photo. Merely to say 'I like the photo' without any justification would not constitute, on its own, an answer to the first question because it does not relate to the content of the photo. Remember candidates must only describe what is in the photo, not what isn't.
Conjecture is appropriate, eg 'I think the weather is hot because the people are wearing shorts.'
If a question asks for an opinion and a reason and the student answers both parts, using a verb each time, this would be annotated as a circled Op plus a circled J. For example:
¿Qué piensas del deporte? ... ¿Por qué?
Me gusta el deporte. Es divertido.
If a question asks if the student likes a particular thing and the reply is 'Yes/no' plus a reason, this would be annotated as Op with a circled J. For example:
¿Te gusta la comida española?
Sí, es muy sabrosa.
The following information relates to the number of questions answered by the candidate:

| All | Defined as all five questions |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearly all | Defined as four questions |
| Most | Defined as three questions or more |
| Some | Defined as two questions |

A student who answers only one question can be awarded a mark in the 1-3 band, depending on the development of the response.

Any development of an answer has to be in the form of a clause, ie it must include a verb. It is expected that, for the top band, at least three ('most') answers will be developed by using at least three clauses.

- To score in the 13-15 band, a candidate must develop at least three ('most') replies, as well as answering all five questions clearly and giving and explaining an opinion.
- To score in the 10-12 band, a candidate must develop at least two ('some') replies, as well as answering at least four ('nearly all') questions clearly and giving an opinion.
- To score in the 7-9 band, a candidate must develop at least one reply, as well as answering at least three ('most') questions understandably and giving an opinion.
- If there is no development on any question, the maximum mark is 6 .


## Section 3: General conversation (Foundation Tier)

Each candidate takes part in a General conversation which is based on the two Themes not covered in the photo card, according to the sequence table in the Teacher's Booklet. Candidates are permitted to nominate the first Theme for discussion in the General conversation but they are not permitted to nominate topics or sub-topics. The choice of nominated Theme is an individual one for each candidate and you may come across centres where many candidates have nominated the same Theme, which is permissible.

For Topic 3 in Theme 1, any free-time activities are acceptable for the Speaking test, not just those listed in the subject content. There is no requirement for a teacher-examiner to cover more than one topic for each Theme. The choice of topic/sub-topic is at the discretion of the teacherexaminer conducting the test.

You must assess and award marks for each of the four categories separately - Communication, Range and accuracy of language, Pronunciation and intonation, Spontaneity and fluency.

At Foundation tier, the General conversation must last between three and five minutes. Timing of the first (nominated) theme begins as soon as the teacher asks the first question on that theme. As soon as the teacher asks the first question on the second theme, note the time on the Speaking Score Sheet. Leave your timer running and make a note of the total time when the teacher says 'End of test' or equivalent. Deduct the timing of the first theme from the total time of the conversation and this will be the time spent on the second theme. Note that time on the Speaking Score Sheet. This means that any change over time between the two themes is added on to the timing of the first theme.

The minimum amount of time per Theme at Foundation tier is one and a half minutes. If time spent on a Theme is less than this, then the maximum mark for Communication is the equivalent mark in the band below. For example, if a mark of 8 (level 4) would be awarded, this is reduced to a mark of 6 (level 3 ).

Penalties for the mark for Communication for short timing of a theme and for not asking a question are cumulative, but, where a penalty is applied, the minimum mark for Communication is 1 (a mark of 0 cannot be given). If coverage of both themes is short, the penalty is still only -2 marks. The maximum penalty overall, therefore, is $-3(-2$ for short coverage of the themes and -1 for not asking a question). The mark for the other categories is not affected. For example, if the first theme at Foundation tier lasts for $1^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$, the second theme for 1 ' 15 " and no question is asked, this is a penalty of $-3(-2 /-1)$. If you would have given the student a mark of $3+4+3+2$, this will become a mark of $1+4+3+2$. The penalty of -3 should mean a mark of 0 for Communication, but the minimum mark we can give is 1 .

If a candidate only covers one Theme in the General conversation, the maximum mark is 5 out of 10 for Communication; the other criteria are not automatically affected. If a teacher-examiner does not adhere to the Teacher's Booklet Themes and speaks about the Photo Card Theme instead of the two other Themes, this is treated in the same way as a candidate only covering one Theme in the General conversation and the maximum mark for Communication would be 5 out of 10.

If the General conversation is too long, you should stop marking after the prescribed time (five minutes at Foundation tier). However, if the teacher-examiner has started to ask a question on five minutes, the candidate is allowed to complete the answer and this is eligible for credit.

There are references to 'narration' in the assessment criteria for Communication. Narration should be considered as extension of answers.

If a question asks if the student likes a particular thing and the reply is 'Yes/no' plus a reason, this would be annotated as Op with a circled J. For example:
¿Te gusta la comida española?
Sí, es muy sabrosa.

| Level | Mark | Communication |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $9-10$ | A speaker who usually gives quite short responses but occasionally gives <br> extended responses. Occasionally narrates events briefly when asked to do <br> so. Usually gives clear information but lacks clarity from time to time. Gives <br> opinions, some of which are explained. |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $7-8$ | A speaker who tends to give quite short responses, but with occasional <br> attempts at longer responses. He/she has only limited success in narrating <br> events. There may be a few occasions when he/she is unable to answer <br> successfully or where responses are very unclear. Gives opinions. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $5-6$ | A speaker who gives short responses. Attempts at longer responses or at <br> narrating events require an effort of concentration to be understood and <br> some responses may be unintelligible. Gives at least one opinion. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $3-4$ | A speaker who is able only to give very short responses. Any attempts at <br> slightly longer responses or at narrating events tend to be very unclear or <br> even unintelligible. There may be occasions where the speaker is unable to <br> respond. |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1-2$ | A speaker who is able to communicate very little, either because most of the <br> time he/she is unable to respond, and/or because most of what is said is <br> unintelligible. |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | Communication does not meet the standard required for Level 1 at this tier. |

## Notes

It is a requirement for candidates to ask the teacher-examiner a question in the General conversation section of the speaking test. Candidates who do not fulfil this requirement will incur a deduction of 1 mark from their mark for Communication in this section. For example, a candidate who would have received 8 marks out of 10 for Communication had he/she asked a question, will actually receive a final mark of 7 . There is no impact on the marks awarded for the other categories for the General conversation. If this penalty is applied, please indicate it on the Speaking Score Sheet.

The question asked by the candidate must relate broadly to one of the two Themes covered in the General conversation for that particular candidate. It is not essential to include a verb, if information can be elicited in another way. The example, therefore, of the candidate asking 'And you?' would be perfectly acceptable provided that it made sense in, and was appropriate to, the context of what else had just been said by the teacher-examiner or candidate.

A random question unrelated to the two Themes will therefore not be credited and the one-mark penalty for Communication will apply. A question prompted by the teacher-examiner is treated in exactly the same way as one which is asked by the candidate without prompting. Asking for a question to be repeated does not meet the requirement to ask a question.

A zero score for Communication means that the mark in the other three categories must also be zero but, apart from that, the Communication mark does not limit the marks in the other categories. For example, a mark of $1+0+1+0$ is possible. However, a very short conversation will not have
enough evidence to support a high mark for Range and Accuracy, for Spontaneity and Fluency or for Pronunciation and Intonation, even though the little that is said is very accurate and well pronounced. For example, a student at Foundation tier may answer just three or four questions with short phrases or sentences, and he/she gives no answer whatsoever to any other question. Even though what is said is accurate and well pronounced, there is little evidence to support a mark any higher than $1+1+1+1=4$.

| Level | Mark | Range and accuracy of language |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $9-10$ | Generally good language which involves mainly simple linguistic structures <br> and vocabulary, with some repetition, but with attempts to use more <br> complex linguistic structures and more varied vocabulary. There is some <br> success in making reference to past and future, as well as present, <br> events. Although there may be errors they do not generally impede <br> comprehension. |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7 - 8}$ | Reasonable language which uses simple structures and vocabulary and <br> may be repetitive at times. Any attempts to make reference to past or <br> future events may have only limited success. There may be frequent <br> errors, which may occasionally impede communication. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $5-6$ | Basic language which uses simple structures and vocabulary and may <br> often be repetitive. There is little or no success in making reference to <br> past or future events. There are likely to be frequent errors, which <br> sometimes impede communication. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $3-4$ | Limited language which uses very simple structures and vocabulary and is <br> likely to be repetitive. There is little or no success in making reference to <br> past or future events. There are likely to be frequent errors which <br> regularly impede communication. |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1-2$ | Very poor language which may show little understanding of how the <br> language works. There are likely to be errors it the vast majority of <br> sentences, or there may be so little said that it is impossible to make a <br> judgement. |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | The language does not meet the standard required for Level 1 at this tier. |

In order to score in the 9-10 band for Range and accuracy of language at Foundation tier, a candidate must have had a recognisable attempt at all three time frames. For the 7-8 band at Foundation tier, there need only be one time frame successfully attempted.

In this specification, the criteria refer to time frames and not tenses, so if a candidate uses the perfect and imperfect tense, this counts as one time frame. Similarly, the use of the future and conditional tense counts as the same time frame.

A reference to a present, past or future event can only be credited if a verb is used in a tense that relates to that time period. It cannot be, for example, a response of Sí to the teacher's question ¿Te gustó la película? The verb for an utterance communicating a past or future time frame may be in the present tense in Spanish. For example: Estudio español desde hace tres años (past time frame); Voy al partido este fin de semana (future time frame).

| Level | Mark | Pronunciation and intonation |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Generally good but some inconsistency at times. |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | Pronunciation generally understandable with some intonation. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Pronunciation is understandable, with a little intonation, but <br> comprehension is sometimes delayed. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | Pronunciation very anglicised with almost no intonation, making <br> comprehension difficult at times. |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Pronunciation is only just understandable making comprehension difficult. |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | Pronunciation and intonation do not meet the standard required for Level 1 <br> at this tier. |


| Level | Mark | Spontaneity and fluency |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Generally good exchange in which the speaker shows some spontaneity, <br> but also relies on pre-learnt responses. Sometimes hesitates and may not <br> be able to respond to some questions. |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | Reasonable exchange in which the speaker shows a little spontaneity, but <br> much of what is said involves pre-learnt responses. The flow is often <br> broken by hesitation and delivery can be quite slow at times. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Basic exchange in which the speaker shows little or no spontaneity and <br> relies heavily on pre-learnt responses. The flow is broken by hesitations, <br> some of them long, and delivery is quite slow. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | Limited exchange in which the speaker may show no spontaneity and all <br> successful responses may be pre-learnt. Hesitates frequently, and often <br> at length, before answering questions. Slow delivery means that the <br> conversation lacks any flow. |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Poor exchange in which the speaker hesitates at length before answering <br> most questions, which makes the conversation very disjointed. Often <br> cannot answer questions, while at other times there may be pre-learnt <br> responses. |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | Spontaneity and fluency do not meet the standard required for Level 1 at <br> this tier. |

## Notes

Students who do not understand a question may show repair strategies in seeking clarification. If they are then able to respond to the question successfully, this will not have a negative impact on the overall mark, unless it happens regularly and affects fluency. If, in any part of the test the teacher either asks a question or gives a prompt in English, you must ignore the student's reply.

## Appendix 1 - Subject content (Themes)

## Theme 1: Identity and culture

Topic 1 : Me, my family and friends

- relationships with family and friends
- marriage/partnerships.

Topic 2: Technology in everyday life

- social media
- mobile technology.

Topic 3: Free-time activities

- music
- cinema and TV
- food and eating out
- sport.

Topic 4: Customs and festivals in target language-speaking countries/communities

## Theme 2: Local, national, international and global areas of interest

Topic 1: Home, town, neighbourhood and region
Topic 2: Social issues

- charity/voluntary work
- healthy/unhealthy living.

Topic 3: Global issues

- the environment
- poverty/homelessness.

Topic 4: Travel and tourism

Theme 3: Current and future study and employment
Topic 1: My studies
Topic 2: Life at school/college
Topic 3: Education post-16
Topic 4: Jobs, career choices and ambitions

## GCSE Spanish (8698/SF and 8698/SH Speaking Score Sheet)

Examiner name:

| Centre <br> Number |  |  |  |  |  | Candidate name <br> and number |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Role Play №:

| Task | Annotation | Mark |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |

Photo Card Letter:


PC Theme №: $\square$

| Task | Communication | Time: |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |

## General conversation

| Nominated Theme №: |  | Second Theme №: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |



Other notes:

Total mark for test


## Appendix 3 - GCSE Spanish Symbols for annotating Speaking Score Sheet <br> Role-play and General Conversation <br> NB For the Role-play, don't use these symbols: + S ^

| General |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\checkmark$ | A clear piece of information in the form of a phrase or sentence with a verb |
| - | A clear piece of information with no verb |
| + | An enhancement, eg a more complex structure or unusual vocabulary item |
| S | A statement which lacks fluency but which is clearly a spontaneous response rather <br> than mere hesitation through lack of linguistic capability |
| A | A minor inaccuracy, eg incorrect gender/adjectival agreement, which does not affect <br> communication |
| A | A more serious inaccuracy, usually a problem with a verb (wrong tense/person), <br> which affects immediate understanding |
| R | Repetition of information already given by the student |
| X | No answer given |
| W | A wrong answer to the question |
| $\bullet$ | Síno alone |
| i | A question asked by the student without a verb |
| C | A question asked by the student with a verb |
| Opinions and Justifications |  |
| Op | An opinion without a verb |
| Op | An opinion with a verb |
| J | Justification of an opinion without a verb |
| J | Justification of an opinion with a verb |
| Delivery |  |
| P | A minor mispronunciation, which doesn't hinder communication |
| P | A more serious mispronunciation which would make comprehension difficult |
| ^ | A hesitation |
| ^^ | A longer hesitation |
| $?$ | What is said is incomprehensible |
|  |  |

## Appendix 4 - Marking of photo card - Guidance Notes

## Symbols to be used:

| General |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\checkmark$ | A clear piece of information in the form of a phrase or sentence with a verb. This <br> may be a conjugated verb or an appropriately used infinitive or gerund. |
| - | A clear piece of information with no verb |
| R | Repetition of information already given by the student |
| X | No answer given |
| W | A wrong answer to the question |
| $\bullet$ | Sílno alone |
| $?$ | An understandable piece of information with some lack of clarity. <br> There may be poor pronunciation or incorrect use of a verb, for example, but <br> something can be understood from the response as a whole. |
| ? | What is said is unclear or incomprehensible so the response is not credited. <br> The message may be wrong, for example if the wrong person of the verb is used. |
| Opinions and Justifications |  |
| Op | An opinion without a verb |
| (Y) | An opinion with a verb. This may be a conjugated verb or an appropriately used <br> infinitive or gerund. |
| J | Justification of an opinion without a verb |
| D | Justification of an opinion with a verb. This may be a conjugated verb or an <br> appropriately used infinitive or gerund. |

Unclear/incomprehensible language includes errors that affect communication, such as the wrong person or tense of a verb and very poor pronunciation which has a bearing on understanding.

## Automatic access to the band if the minimum requirements are achieved:

| Band | Requirements |
| :---: | :---: |
| 13-15 | - all 5 questions answered clearly <br> - minimum 3 of $\checkmark$ in 3 answers <br> - minimum 1 Op or Op <br> - minimum 1 (Jor J <br> - Note: Opor (J can count instead of a $\checkmark$ (see Example 1, below) |
| 10-12 | - minimum 4 questions answered clearly <br> - minimum $2 \checkmark \mathrm{~s}$ in 2 answers <br> - minimum 1 (Opor Op <br> - minimum 1 1 or J <br> - Note: (ODor (J) can count instead of a $\checkmark$ |
| 7-9 | - minimum 3 questions answered which are understandable <br> - minimum $2 \checkmark$ sin 1 answer <br> - minimum $1 \bigcirc 0$ or $O p$ <br> - Note: ©por (J)can count instead of a $\checkmark$ |
| 4-6 | - minimum 3 questions answered which are understandable |
| 1-3 | - only 1 or 2 questions answered which are understandable |

If a response fulfils the minimum requirements for the band, a mark in that band must be awarded. If, outside of the information conveyed clearly, there is other language which is unclear, this will mean that the top mark in the band is unlikely to be awarded.

## Example 1

| Task | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark--$ |
| 2 | (D) $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | $\checkmark$ |

## Photo Card mark:

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 13-15 band.

- All questions are answered clearly (there are no ? or $\sqrt[2]{ }$ symbols)
- 3 answers have $3 \checkmark \mathrm{~s}$ (with (p) + counting instead of $\checkmark \mathrm{s}$ on one occasion)
- There is one opinion
- There is one justification.

Because everything is clear, 15 marks are given.

## Example 2

| Task | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ |
| 2 | Op (J) ? ? ? |
| 3 | $\checkmark-\checkmark-\checkmark \checkmark ? \stackrel{?}{ン}$ |
| 4 | OP (D) OPD |
| 5 | $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark ?$ |

Photo Card mark:
13

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 13-15 band.

- All questions are answered clearly, but there are occasions where some other information is unclear or incomprehensible
- More than 3 answers have $3 \checkmark \mathrm{~s}$ (with (OD+(D)counting instead of $\checkmark \mathrm{s}$ )
- There is more than one opinion
- There is more than one justification.

A mark in the 13-15 band has to be given, because the minimum requirements have been fulfilled. However, because of the lack of clarity on a number of occasions, the bottom mark in the band is awarded.

## Example 3

| Task | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\checkmark \checkmark$ ? |
| 2 | $\checkmark \checkmark$ ODO |
| 3 | $\checkmark \checkmark$ |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ Ob |
| 5 | $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ |

## Photo Card mark: 12

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 10-12 band.

- At least four questions are answered. All of them contain clear information, although there are also two occasions where there is a lack of clarity
- The requirements for development of answers are exceeded as there are three $\checkmark \mathrm{s}$ in two answers (with counting instead of a $\checkmark$ in the second answer).
- There is more than one opinion
- There is one justification.

Although five questions contain clear information, a mark in the 13-15 band cannot be given as there are not three ticks in three answers. However, we can award a mark of 12 because the criteria for the 10-12 band are exceeded. For this band, four answers need to contain clear information, when in fact all five do. Two of the answers need to contain at least two clear clauses (shown by $\checkmark \mathrm{s}$ or or (J) when all five do. There are two occasions where there is some lack of clarity, but this is not enough to prevent a mark of 12.

## Example 4

| Task | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark--$ |
| 2 | X |
| 3 | Op ${ }^{\text {( }} \downarrow$ |
| 4 | $\checkmark ?$ |
| 5 | $\checkmark ? \checkmark \checkmark$ |

## Photo Card mark: 11

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 10-12 band.

- Four questions are answered. All of them contain clear information, although there are also two occasions when what is said is incomprehensible
- The requirements for development of answers are exceeded, with three of them being developed well with three $\checkmark \mathrm{s}$ (with (D)+(J) counting instead of $\checkmark \mathrm{s}$ )
- There is one opinion
- There is one justification.

As only four questions are answered clearly, the 13-15 band is out of reach. There are two occasions where the attempts at conveying information are unclear and so a mark in the middle of the 10-12 band is given.

## Example 5

| Task | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark-$ |
| 2 | $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark-$ |
| 3 | OP |
| 4 | Op $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ |
| 5 | OPDOP $\checkmark \checkmark$ |

## Photo Card mark: 9

There is good development of four of the answers and opinions are given. However, there is no justification of an opinion and so the minimum requirements for the 13-15 and 10-12 bands are not met. The criteria for the 7-9 band are exceeded and so a mark at the top of the band is received.

## Example 6

| Task | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\checkmark \checkmark$ |
| 2 | W |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ ? |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | Op |

Photo Card mark: 9

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 7-9 band.

- Four questions are answered (the minimum requirement is three). All of them contain clear information, although there is also part of a response which cannot be understood on one occasion
- One answer is developed with two $\checkmark \mathrm{s}$
- There is one opinion.

There is one occasion where the information put forward cannot be understood, but four, rather than the minimum three, questions contain clear information. On balance, the mark of 9 at the top of the band is merited.

## Example 7

| Task | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\stackrel{?}{\mathbf{V}} \stackrel{?}{2}$ |
| 2 | $\checkmark \checkmark$ Op |
| 3 | $\stackrel{?}{\vee} \stackrel{?}{2}$ |
| 4 | $\checkmark \checkmark$ |
| 5 | O(J) |

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\text { Photo Card mark: } & 9
\end{array}
$$

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 7-9 band.

- There are understandable answers to three questions
- At least one answer is developed with two $\checkmark$ s
- There is at least one opinion.

There are two $\checkmark \mathrm{s}$ (or valid substitutes) in three responses whilst the responses to the other two questions contain some information but this is exclusively unclear, denoted by the use of the symbol. $\stackrel{?}{2}$ Therefore, only the responses to three questions contain clear information.
The criteria for the 7-9 band are exceeded and so a mark at the top of the band is awarded.

## Example 8

| Task | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\checkmark \checkmark-$ |
| 2 | $? ?$ |
| 3 | Op $J$ |
| 4 | W |
| 5 | - |

> Photo Card mark:

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 7-9 band.

- Three questions have understandable answers.
- One answer is developed with two $\checkmark \mathrm{s}$.
- There is one opinion

There is one answer which is incomprehensible and one where an incorrect answer is given. Of the three valid responses, the last question is answered very briefly without a verb so a mark at the bottom of the 7-9 band is appropriate.

## Example 9

| Task | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ |
| 2 | X |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | W |

Photo Card mark:

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 4-6 band.

- Three questions are answered clearly, although none has any development. The wrong answer is given to the last question and the second question is not answered.

Although there is no lack of clarity in the three answers that are given correctly, the replies are short and so the mid-mark in the band is awarded.

## Example 10

| Task | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | - |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | X |
| 4 | X |
| 5 | $X$ |

## Photo Card mark: <br> ```2```

The minimum requirements are fulfilled for the 1-3 band.

- Two questions (the minimum is one for this band) are answered clearly, although they are short answers, with only one of them including a verb
- Three of the questions have no response.

Most (ie. three) questions must be answered understandably in order to access the 4-6 band and this is not the case here. As the answers given are extremely short, the mid-mark in the 1-3 band is awarded.

## Example 11

| Task | Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | - |
| 2 | $?$ |
| 3 | $X$ |
| 4 | $? ?$ |
| 5 | $X$ |

Photo Card mark:

Attempts are made to answer three questions, but only the first one has a reply that is understandable, and that question is answered giving a minimal amount of information. However, something is conveyed and one mark is awarded.

## Appendix 5 - Role-Plays - General Principles

Here are some commonly-made errors and omissions. In order to ensure a standard approach, see the third column for the marks to award.

The type and frequency of error will also impact on the global mark you award for Knowledge and use of language [KUL].

OAR = Otherwise appropriate response

|  | Type of error or omission | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mark } \\ (0 / 1 / 2) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Only one detail is given when two are required. | 1 mark |
| 2 | Opinion is given but without a reason when this is required. | 1 mark |
| 3 | Time marker and tense don't match. For example: La semana pasada voy al cine. / El próximo sábado fui a la piscina. | 1 mark |
| 4 | Wrong tense is used with no correct timer marker. For example: <br> - ¿Adónde vas de vacaciones? <br> - Fui a Roma. | 1 mark |
| 5 | No auxiliary verb is used in a compound tense. For example: Jugado al fútbol. | 1 mark |
| 6 | When requesting an item in a formal Role Play, no verb is used but por favor is included. For example: La cuenta, por favor. | 2 marks |
| 7 | An incorrect form of address (usted form of the verb instead of tú form and vice versa) in the ? task in OAR. | 2 marks |
| 8 | In the ? task, use of ¿Qué tal? when a question is clearly understood (even though there is no verb). For example: <br> - ? Madre. $\rightarrow$ ¿Qué tal tu madre? | 2 marks |
| 9 | In the ? task, the prompt word(s) is (are) used with minimal extra language, but no verb. It is still understandable as a question. For example: <br> - ? Precio. $\rightarrow(Y)$, ¿el precio? <br> NB: see use of ¿Qué tal? in 8. | 1 mark |
| 10 | In the ? task, the prompt word(s) is (are) simply lifted and used, even if with a questioning intonation. | 0 marks |
| 11 | The pronoun used in a task is lifted and used in the candidate's response. For example: <br> - Tu colegio (un detalle). $\rightarrow$ Tu colegio es grande. | 0 marks |
| 12 | Use of Es instead of Hay in OAR | 1 mark |
| 13 | Cand's cue: Tu opinión del deporte <br> Cand's response: Mi opinión es interesante | 2 marks |
| 14 | Cand's cue: <br> Cand's response: Tu instituto (dos detalles) <br> Tu instituto es grande y los profesores son <br> buenos. <br> or: bud's cue:$\quad$Una opinión de tu instituto y una razón <br> Cand's <br> Cand's response: <br> Tu instituto negates the firgst part of the tastituto porque es moderno. <br> second part, so one mark. | 1 mark |

## Appendix 6 - Photo Card - General Principles

Here are some common-type responses. In order to ensure a standard approach, see the third column for the symbol to use when annotating the SSS (Speaking score sheet). This cannot be an exhaustive list because of the wide variety of answers given by candidates. Refer to page 18 of this mark scheme for an explanation of the symbols.

NB OAR = otherwise appropriate response

|  | Response | Symbol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A clear piece of information using a verb. [See mark scheme, page 18.] | $\checkmark$ Op |
| 2 | A comment about the photo rather than the content of the photo. Eg - Me gusta la foto. Es bonita. <br> [A wrong answer to the question] | W |
| 3 | Use of es instead of hay in OAR. Eg <br> - En la foto es una chica. <br> [Something can be understood, but a lack of clarity] | $\stackrel{?}{2}$ |
| 4 | Incorrect person of the verb. Eg <br> - ¿Qué haces en tu tiempo libre? <br> - Juegas al tenis. <br> [Wrong answer to the question / wrong message conveyed] | W or? |
| 5 | Correct subject but wrong person of the verb. Eg - El chico como un bocadillo. <br> [Something can be understood, but a lack of clarity] | $\stackrel{?}{2}$ |
| 6 | Incorrect tense, with or without time marker. Eg <br> - ¿Qué hiciste de vacaciones el año pasado? <br> - Voy a España. <br> [Something can be understood but a lack of clarity] | $\stackrel{?}{V}$ |
| 7 | No auxiliary verb is used in a compound tense. Eg - Comido en un restaurante. [Something can be understood, but a lack of clarity] | $\stackrel{?}{2}$ |
| 8 | Statements of future desire are credited as opinions. Eg <br> - Quiero / Quisiera / Me gustaría trabajar en España. | (P) |
| 9 | The use of an indefinite article with a plural noun. Eg - Hay un chicos. <br> [Something can be understood, but a lack of clarity] | $\stackrel{?}{2}$ |
| 10 | The use of a number higher than one and a singular noun. Eg - Hay dos chica. <br> [Information is clear, despite the use of a singular noun] | $\checkmark$ |
| 11 | Incorrect pronoun (maybe taken from the question). Eg <br> - ¿Qué instalaciones hay en tu instituto? <br> - En tu instituto hay una biblioteca. <br> [Wrong message conveyed / wrong answer to the question] | ? or W |
| 12 | Incorrect pronoun, but other information given in a separate clause. Eg <br> - Háblame de tu casa. <br> - Tu casa es grande y hay un jardín pequeño. <br> [Credit is given for the second part of the answer] | ? $\checkmark$ |

## Appendix 7 - Photo cards - Guidance on paraphrasing

When considering any paraphrasing of Photo card questions, the exact original meaning of the question must be maintained. This can be achieved through the substitution of an individual word with a synonym or, in some cases, the use of a different language structure. However, no additional elements, which aim to clarify the question further, are permitted.
Here are some examples of typical question structures and what changes are permissible. In addition to these, further guidance may be given relating to specific questions in a particular examination series.

|  | Original wording | Allow | Reject |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | ¿Qué quieres hacer en <br> setiembre? | Substitution of the conditional <br> tense of gustar for the present <br> tense of querer and vice versa: <br> ¿Qué te gustaría hacer en <br> setiembre? | A re-wording that doesn't retain the same <br> meaning: <br> ¿Qué planes tienes para el futuro? |
| 2 | ¿Qué haces en tu tiempo <br> libre? | A change to the language which <br> retains the same meaning: ¿Qué <br> haces en tus ratos libres? | Addition of an extra element: <br> ¿Qué actividades haces en tu tiempo libre? |
| 3 | ¿Te gusta la idea de trabajar <br> en otro país | A change to the language which <br> retains the same meaning: ¿Te <br> gusta la idea de trabajar en el <br> extranjero? | Addition of an extra element: |
| ¿Te gusta la idea de trabajar en otro país, por |  |  |  |

## GCSE Spanish Speaking Foundation - Part Two Mark Scheme

## Section 1: Role-plays - specific June 2022 mark schemes

| Foundation Role Play 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Task | 2 marks <br> Message conveyed without ambiguity | 1 mark Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity | 0 marks No part of the message is conveyed |
| a | Tus hermanos cuántos | How many siblings the student has, with a verb. For example: <br> Tengo dos (hermanos). <br> No tengo hermanos. <br> Tengo muchos (hermanos). | Number of siblings, without a verb. For example: <br> Dos (hermanos). | Incomprehensible pronunciation. For example: <br> Tengo dizo hermanos. Irrelevant information in relation to the task. For example: <br> Mi hermana es alta. |
| b | Tener hermanos o no tener hermanos: tu preferencia y una razón | (No) tener hermanos + appropriate reason for the preference. Verb required. For example: <br> Tener hermanos ... es (más) divertido. | (No) tener hermanos + appropriate reason without a verb. Bear in mind under KUL. For example: <br> Tener hermanos ... (más) divertido. One element only. For example: <br> (No) tener hermanos. <br> (Es) divertido. | Nothing conveyed. |
| C | ! ¿Cuál es tu actividad favorita con tus amigos? | Any activity, verb not required. For example: Tenis. <br> Nadar. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: Fítbol. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. For example: Peskwino. |
| d | Pasar tiempo con tus amigos - cuándo | When the student spends time with his/her friends. Verb not required. <br> For example: <br> Fin(es) de semana. <br> Clear indication that the student doesn't spend time with his/her friends. For example: <br> No paso tiempo con mis amigos. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. <br> For example: <br> Sábidos. | Wrong person of verb, for example: <br> Pasa tiempo los sábados. Poor pronunciation or grammatical inaccuracy is such that nothing is conveyed. |
| e | ? Familia | Any clearly understandable question about family, with a verb. For example: <br> ¿Te gusta tu familia? <br> ¿Tienes hermanos? <br> Use of ¿Qué tal? For example: <br> ¿Qué tal tu familia? | Question asked with no verb. For example: <br> $Y$, ¿tu madre? | Message not conveyed. For example: <br> ¿Tu padre trabajo? <br> Repetition of the prompt alone: ¿Familia? |


| Foundation Role Play 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Task | 2 marks <br> Message conveyed without ambiguity | 1 mark Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity | 0 marks No part of the message is conveyed |
| a | Tu uso de las redes sociales - cuándo | When the student uses social media. Verb not required. <br> For example: <br> Fin(es) de semana. <br> Clear indication that the student doesn't use social media. For example: <br> No uso (las) redes sociales. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. <br> For example: Sábidos. | Wrong person of verb, for example: <br> Usas las redes sociales los sábados. <br> Poor pronunciation or grammatical inaccuracy is such that nothing is conveyed. |
| b | Snapchat o Instagram: tu preferencia y una razón | Snapchat/Instagram + appropriate reason for the preference. Verb required. For example: <br> Snapchat ... es (más) divertido. <br> A clear reference to not liking Snapchat or Instagram. For example: <br> No me gusta(n) Snapchat e/o Instagram. | Snapchat/Instagram + appropriate reason without a verb. Bear in mind under KUL. For example: <br> Instagram ... (más) divertido. <br> One element only. For example: <br> Snapchat. <br> (Es) divertido. | Nothing conveyed. |
| C | ! ¿Quién usa más las redes sociales en su casa? | Who uses social media most in the house. Verb not required. For example: <br> Mi hermano. Yo. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: Mi hermeno. Mi. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. <br> For example: <br> Mi harmuno. <br> A person's name alone. For example: <br> Billy. |
| d | Tu opinión de mandar emails (un detalle) | One opinion with a verb. For example: Es aburrido. Me gusta. | One opinion, no verb. For example: Aburrido. | Message not communicated or wrong person of verb. For example: Tiene estupendo. Soy fantástico. |
| e | ? Redes sociales en Bolivia | Any clearly understandable question about social media in Bolivia, with a verb. For example: <br> ¿Te gusta(n) (las) redes sociales en Bolivia? <br> ¿Hay Instagram en Bolivia? <br> Use of ¿Qué tal? For example: <br> ¿Qué tal las redes sociales en Bolivia? | Question asked about social media but no mention of Bolivia. <br> For example: <br> ¿Te gusta(n) (las) redes sociales? <br> Question asked with no verb or infinitive. <br> For example: <br> ¿(Tener) Instagram en Bolivia? | Wrong person of verb, for example: <br> ¿Soy redes sociales en Bolivia? Repetition of the prompt alone: ¿Redes sociales en Bolivia? |


| Foundation Role Play 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Task | 2 marks <br> Message conveyed without ambiguity | 1 mark <br> Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity | 0 marks <br> No part of the message is conveyed |
| a | ? Deportes en el polideportivo | Any clearly understandable question about sports in the sports centre, with a verb. For example: <br> ¿Hay canchas de tenis aquí? <br> ¿Se puede jugar al baloncesto? <br> ¿Te gusta(n) los deportes en el polideportivo? | Question asked about sports in the sports centre, without a verb. For example: ¿Fútbol en el polideportivo? | Wrong person of verb, for example: ¿Soy deportes en el polideportivo? Repetition of the prompt alone: ¿Deportes en el polideportivo? |
| b | ! ¿Cuál es su deporte favorito? | Any sport. Verb not required. <br> For example: <br> Fútbol. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. <br> For example: <br> Balconcestio. | Wrong person of the verb or incorrect pronoun. <br> For example: <br> Prefieres el tenis. <br> Su deporte favorito es el rugby. <br> Message not communicated. <br> For example: Prefiero el foot. |
| C | Ir al polideportivo cuándo | When the student wants to go to the sports centre. Verb not required. <br> For example: <br> Fin(es) de semana. <br> Suggestion of a regular event. For example: <br> Voy al polideportivo los sábados. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. <br> For example: <br> Sábidos. | Wrong person of verb, for example: Quiere venir los sábados. Poor pronunciation or grammatical inaccuracy is such that nothing is conveyed. |
| d | Deportes individuales o en equipo: tu preferencia y una razón | Individuales/(En) equipo + appropriate reason for the preference. Verb required. For example: <br> Individuales ... es (más) divertido. | Individuales/(En) equipo + appropriate reason without a verb. Bear in mind under KUL. For example: Individuales ... (más) divertido. One element only. For example: <br> (En) equipo. <br> (Es) divertido. | Nothing conveyed. |
| e | Tu opinión sobre el polideportivo (un detalle) | One opinion with a verb. For example: Es fenomenal. | One opinion, no verb. For example: Fenomenal. | Message not communicated or wrong person of verb. For example: <br> Tiene estupendo. |


| Foundation Role Play 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Task | 2 marks <br> Message conveyed without ambiguity | 1 mark Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity | 0 marks <br> No part of the message is conveyed |
| a | Qué regalo | Clear request for a gift, with a verb. For example: Quiero/quisiera una camiseta. Gift with por favor. For example: Un abanico, por favor. | Language that causes a delay in communication. <br> For example: <br> Es una camiseta, por favor. <br> Gift alone. For example: <br> Abanico. <br> Use of regalo. For example: <br> Quiero un regalo. <br> Un regalo, por favor. | Wrong person of verb. For example: Quieres un libro. |
| b | Color del regalo | Any colour, verb not required. For example: (Quiero) negro. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. <br> For example: <br> Nigro. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. For example: Bleu. |
| c | ? Precio | Any clearly understandable question about the price. Must include a verb. <br> For example: ¿Cuánto es? <br> ¿Es caro/a? | Question asked with no verb. <br> For example: <br> ¿Cuánto? <br> ¿Caro/a? | Wrong person of verb. <br> For example: <br> ¿Cuánto soy? <br> Repetition of the prompt alone: <br> ¿Precio? <br> ¿Te gusta el precio? |
| d | Tu opinión sobre las tiendas en España (un detalle) | One opinion with a verb. For example: Es/son fenomenal(es). | One opinion, no verb. For example: Fenomenal(es). | Message not communicated or wrong person of verb. For example: <br> Tiene estupendo/a/os/as. Soy fantástico/a/os/as. |


| e | ¿Cuántas semanas <br> va a pasar aquí en <br> España? | Any number. Verb not required. For example: <br> Dos. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in <br> communication. For example: <br> Tris. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. <br> For example: <br> Dizo. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Foundation Role Play 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Task | 2 marks Message conveyed without ambiguity | 1 mark Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity | 0 marks No part of the message is conveyed |
| a | ? Sitios de interés turístico | Any clearly understandable question about places of interest for tourists, with a verb. For example: <br> ¿Hay (muchos)sitios de interés (turístico) en la región? <br> ¿Hay playas cerca de aquí? | Question asked with no verb. For example: ¿Playas cerca? <br> Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: <br> ¿Hay pleyas cerca de akwi? | Message not conveyed. For example: ¿Es playas? <br> Repetition of the prompt alone: ¿Sitios de interés turístico? |
| b | Excursión al campo o a una ciudad: tu preferencia y una razón | Campo/Ciudad + appropriate reason for the preference. Verb required. For example: <br> Ciudad ... es (más) interesante. | Campo/Ciudad + appropriate reason without a verb. Bear in mind under KUL. For example: <br> Campo ... (más) tranquilo. <br> One element only. For example: <br> Campo. <br> (Es) tranquilo. | Nothing conveyed. |
| C | ! ¿Qué transporte prefiere? | Any form of transport, verb not required. For example: Tren. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: Otobiús. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. For example: <br> Chochi. |
| d | Tu actividad preferida durante la excursión (un detalle) | Preferred activity, with a verb. For example: Prefiero nadar. <br> Me gusta ir a la piscina. | Preferred activity, without a verb. For example: <br> Natación. <br> Ir a la piscina | Wrong person of the verb or incorrect pronoun. <br> For example: <br> Prefieres nadar. <br> Tu actividad preferida es la natación. <br> Message not communicated. <br> For example: Prefiero excursión. |
| e | Tu excursión - qué día | Any day of the week. Verb not required. For example: Sábado. | Number between 1-31 alone. For example: Veinte. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. For example: |


|  | A date. For example: <br> (EI) dos de mayo. <br> El (día) + any number between 1-31. For example: <br> El (día) veinte. | Pronunciation of a day that causes a delay in <br> communication. For example: <br> Lundes. | Vendredes. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Foundation Role Play 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Task | 2 marks Message conveyed without ambiguity | 1 mark <br> Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity | 0 marks <br> No part of the message is conveyed |
| a | Tus horas de ejercicio físico a la semana - cuántas | Any number. Verb not required. For example: Dos. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: Tris. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. For example: Dizo. |
| b | !¿Dónde haces ejercicio físico? | Any appropriate place to do exercise. Verb not required. For example: <br> (En la) piscina. <br> (En) casa. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: (En la) pisquina. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. For example: <br> Porque. |
| c | Tu dieta (un detalle) | Any detail of the student's diet, with a verb. For example: <br> Como (muchas) verduras. <br> Bebo (mucha) agua. | Any detail, without a verb. For example: (Muchas) verduras. (Mucha) agua. | Wrong person of the verb or incorrect pronoun. <br> For example: <br> Comes fruta. <br> Tu dieta tiene muchas verduras. <br> Message not communicated. <br> For example: Como dieta. |
| d | Tu opinión sobre el tabaco (un detalle) | One opinion with a verb. For example: Es malo (para la salud). Es divertido. | One opinion, no verb. For example: Malo (para la salud). | Message not communicated or wrong person of verb. For example: Tiene estupendo. Soy malo. |
| e | ? Malos hábitos | Any clearly understandable question about bad habits, with a verb. For example: <br> ¿Tienes malos hábitos? | Question asked with no verb. For example: <br> ¿Muchos malos hábitos? | Message not conveyed. For example: ¿Es malos hábitos? |


|  | ¿Fumas? | Pronunciation or grammatical <br> inaccuracy that causes a delay in <br> communication. For example: <br> ¿Tienes tabaco en noches? | Repetition of the prompt alone: <br> iMalos hábitos? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Foundation Role Play 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Task | 2 marks <br> Message conveyed without ambiguity | 1 mark <br> Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity | 0 marks <br> No part of the message is conveyed |
| a | Profesores en tu colegio - cuántos | Any number. Verb not required. For example: Ochenta. <br> Dos (Unlikely though such a low number is, it is not impossible. Some learners, usually adults, may say uno because they are taught privately). <br> (Hay) muchos (profesores). | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: Sitento. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. For example: <br> Sin (for cien). |
| b | !¿Qué transporte usas para ir al colegio? | Any form of transport, verb not required. For example: <br> Tren. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: Otobiús. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. <br> For example: <br> 'Pie' (English pronunciation). |
| c | Tu opinión sobre las actividades deportivas (un detalle) | One opinion with a verb. For example: Es/son fenomenal(es). | One opinion, no verb. For example: Fenomenal(es). | Message not communicated or wrong person of verb. For example: Tiene estupendo/a/os/as. Soy fantástico/a/os/as. |
| d | ? Recreo | Any clearly understandable question about break time, with a verb. For example: <br> ¿A qué hora es el recreo? <br> ¿Qué haces durante el recreo? <br> ¿Tienes recreo? | Question asked with no verb. For example: <br> ¿A qué hora el recreo? <br> Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: <br> ¿Kwi haces en récrio? | Message not conveyed. For example: <br> ¿Es recreo? <br> Repetition of the prompt alone: ¿Recreo? |

e Tus actividades durante la hora de comer (un detalle)

A lunch time activity with first person verb.
For example:
Voy / vamos al patio.

A lunch time activity with an infinitive. For example:
Ir al patio.
Any activity without a verb. For example:
Fútbol.

Wrong person of verb
For example:
Van al patio.

## Foundation Role Play 8

|  | Task | 2 marks <br> Message conveyed without ambiguity | 1 mark Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity | 0 marks No part of the message is conveyed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | Tu asignatura favorita y una razón | School subject + one reason with a verb. For example: Inglés .... Es interesante. | School subject + one reason without a verb. For example: <br> Inglés .... Interesante. <br> Pronunciation or grammatical inaccuracy that causes a delay in communication. For example: <br> Kwímica ... es emoshante. <br> School subject alone. <br> Omission of school subject, but reason with or without a verb. | Incomprehensible pronunciation / message not communicated. <br> For example: <br> Quemestri ... ficil. <br> Wrong person of verb and/or incorrect pronoun. For example: <br> Tu asignatura favorita es español ... soy interesante. |
| b | ! ¿Qué aspecto del colegio no te gusta? | Any aspect of school, verb not required. For example: (Los) profesores. <br> (La) biblioteca. | Pronunciation or grammatical inaccuracy that causes a delay in communication. For example: <br> Comida hora. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. For example: <br> Pitio. |
| C | Clases de matemáticas a la semana - cuántas | Any number. Verb not required. For example: Dos. Muchas/os/o/a | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: Tris. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. For example: <br> Dizo. |
| d | Aspecto positivo de los deberes (un detalle) | One positive aspect of homework, with a verb. For example: <br> Es/son divertido/a/os/as. | One opinion, no verb. For example: Divertido/a/os/as. | Message not communicated or wrong person of verb. <br> For example: <br> Tiene divertido. <br> Soy fantástico. |


|  |  |  |  | A clearly negative opinion. For <br> example: <br> Es/son aburridos(s). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| e | ? Profesores | Any clearly understandable question about teachers, with <br> a verb. For example: <br> ¿Te gustan tus/l/s profesores? <br> iCómo es tu profesor de español? <br> Use of ¿Qué tal? For example: <br> ¿Qué tal tus profesores? | Question asked with no verb. For example: <br> iTus profesores buenos? | Message not conveyed. For example: <br> iEs profesores? |
|  |  | Pronunciation that causes a delay in <br> communication. For example: <br> iTu professor (Eng pron) de jjografía es <br> bien? | Repetition of the prompt alone: <br> ¿Profesores? |  |


| Foundation Role Play 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Task | 2 marks <br> Message conveyed without ambiguity | 1 mark <br> Message partially conveyed OR conveyed with some ambiguity | 0 marks <br> No part of the message is conveyed |
| a | El aspecto más importante de un trabajo | Any aspect of work, verb not required. For example: <br> (El) dinero. <br> (Las) horas | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: Salirio. | Incomprehensible pronunciation. For example: <br> Houros. |
| b | Tu opinión sobre trabajar en España (un detalle) | One opinion with a verb. For example: Es divertido. | One opinion, no verb. For example: Emocionante. | Message not communicated or wrong person of verb. For example: Tiene estupendo. Soy interesante. |
| c | Trabajar en una oficina o en un restaurante: tu preferencia y una razón | Oficina/Restaurante + appropriate reason for the preference. Verb required. For example: <br> Oficina ... es (más) interesante. <br> Accept use of me gusta in the first part of the answer. For example: <br> Me gusta trabajar en una oficina ya que es tranquilo. | Oficina/Restaurante + appropriate reason without a verb. Bear in mind under KUL. For example: Restaurante ... (más) divertido. One element only. For example: Oficina. <br> (Es) divertido. | Nothing conveyed. |
| d | !¿Cuál es tu trabajo perfecto? | Any job. Verb not required. For example: Enfermerola. | Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: Pelikwero. | Wrong personal pronoun. For example: <br> Tu trabajo perfecto es profesor(a). Poor pronunciation or grammatical inaccuracy is such that nothing is conveyed. |
| e | ? Jefe | Any clearly understandable question about a boss, with a verb. For example: <br> ¿Te gusta tu jefe? <br> ¿Cómo es tu jefe? <br> Use of ¿Qué tal? For example: <br> ¿Qué tal tu jefe? <br> Use of un jefe. For example: <br> ¿Cómo es un jefe? | Question asked with no verb. For example: <br> ¿Tu jefe bueno? <br> Pronunciation that causes a delay in communication. For example: ¿Tu jjefe (Eng pron of ${ }^{j}$ ') es bien? | Message not conveyed. For example: <br> ¿Es jefe? <br> Repetition of the prompt alone: ¿Jefe? |

Section 2: Photo cards - specific June 2022 guidance

| Question | Allow | Reject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CARD A |  |  |
| 2 | ¿Te gusta (más) ...? or ¿Es mejor ...? for ¿Prefieres? |  |
| 5 | Describe a ... Or ¿Cómo es ...? for Háblame de ... |  |
| CARD B |  |  |
| 4 | ¿Cuándo prefieres ...? or ¿Cuándo es mejor? for ¿Cuándo te gusta ...? |  |
| 5 | ¿Te gusta (más) ...? or ¿Es mejor ...? for ¿Prefieres? |  |
| CARD C |  |  |
| 2 | ¿Qué piensas de ...? for ¿Cuál es tu opinión sobre ...? |  |
| 4 | Describe ... or ¿Cómo es ...? for Háblame de ... |  |
| CARD D |  |  |
| 2 | Háblame de ... or ¿Cómo es ...? for Describe ... |  |
| 4 | ¿...te gusta (más)? or ¿... es mejor? for ¿... prefieres? |  |
| 5 | Describe ... or ¿Cómo es ...? for Háblame de ... |  |
| CARD E |  |  |
| 2 | ¿Cuándo prefieres ...? or ¿Cuándo es mejor ...? for ¿Cuándo te gusta ...? |  |
| 4 | ¿Te gustan (más) ...? for ¿Prefieres? |  |
| 5 |  | ¿Qué prefieres hacer ...? for ¿Qué prefieren hacer tus amigos ...? |
| CARD F |  |  |
| 2 | ¿qué causa más problemas? for ¿qué es más problemático? |  |
| 4 | ¿Qué piensas de ...? for ¿Cuál es tu opinión sobre ...? |  |
| CARD G |  |  |
| 5 | ¿Qué piensas de ...? for ¿Cuál es tu opinión sobre ...? |  |
| CARD H |  |  |
| 2 | ¿Qué te gusta (más) ...? or ¿Qué es mejor ...? for ¿Qué prefieres? |  |
| 4 | ¿...te gusta? or ¿... prefieres? or ¿... es mejor? for ¿...te gusta más? ¿Quién/cuál es tu profesor favorito? for ¿Qué profesor te gusta más? |  |
| 5 | Háblame de ... or ¿Cómo es ...? for Describe ... |  |
| CARDI |  |  |
| 3 | ¿Crees que ...? for ¿Piensas que ...? <br> Pensar que and creer que are always interchangeable. |  |
| 4 | ¿Te gusta más ...? or ¿Es mejor ...? for ¿Prefieres ...? |  |

